

Chromatic numbers and conflict free chromatic numbers of (strongly)almost disjoint set-systems

Lajos Soukup

MTA Alfréd Rényi Institute of Mathematics

Joint work with A. Hajnal, I. Juhász and Z. Szentmiklóssy

Basic definition

A set \mathcal{A} is a **set-system** if all elements of it have size at least 2.

f is a **proper coloring** of a set-system \mathcal{A} iff $|f''A| \geq 2$ for each $A \in \mathcal{A}$.

f is a **conflict free coloring** of a set-system \mathcal{A} with ρ colors iff $f: \cup\mathcal{A} \rightarrow \rho$ and $\forall A \in \mathcal{A} (\exists \zeta < \rho) |A \cap f^{-1}\{\zeta\}| = 1$.

f is a **weak conflict free coloring** iff we weaken the assumption $\text{dom}(f) = \cup\mathcal{A}$ to $\text{dom}(f) \subset \cup\mathcal{A}$.

The **chromatic number**, the **conflict-free chromatic number** and the **weak conflict-free chromatic number** of a set-system \mathcal{A} , denoted by

$$\chi(\mathcal{A}), \chi_{\text{CF}}(\mathcal{A}), w\chi_{\text{CF}}(\mathcal{A})$$

respectively, are defined as the minimal number of colors in a proper, conflict free or a weak conflict-free coloring, respectively.

Basic definition

A set \mathcal{A} is a **set-system** if all elements of it have size at least 2.

f is a **proper coloring** of a set-system \mathcal{A} iff $|f''A| \geq 2$ for each $A \in \mathcal{A}$.

f is a **conflict free coloring** of a set-system \mathcal{A} with ρ colors iff $f: \cup\mathcal{A} \rightarrow \rho$ and $\forall A \in \mathcal{A} (\exists \zeta < \rho) |A \cap f^{-1}\{\zeta\}| = 1$.

f is a **weak conflict free coloring** iff we weaken the assumption $\text{dom}(f) = \cup\mathcal{A}$ to $\text{dom}(f) \subset \cup\mathcal{A}$.

The **chromatic number**, the **conflict-free chromatic number** and the **weak conflict-free chromatic number** of a set-system \mathcal{A} , denoted by

$$\chi(\mathcal{A}), \chi_{\text{CF}}(\mathcal{A}), w\chi_{\text{CF}}(\mathcal{A})$$

respectively, are defined as the minimal number of colors in a proper, conflict free or a weak conflict-free coloring, respectively.

Basic definition

A set \mathcal{A} is a **set-system** if all elements of it have size at least 2.

f is a **proper coloring** of a set-system \mathcal{A} iff $|f''A| \geq 2$ for each $A \in \mathcal{A}$.

f is a **conflict free coloring** of a set-system \mathcal{A} with ρ colors iff $f: \cup\mathcal{A} \rightarrow \rho$ and $\forall A \in \mathcal{A} (\exists \zeta < \rho) |A \cap f^{-1}\{\zeta\}| = 1$.

f is a **weak conflict free coloring** iff we weaken the assumption $\text{dom}(f) = \cup\mathcal{A}$ to $\text{dom}(f) \subset \cup\mathcal{A}$.

The **chromatic number**, the **conflict-free chromatic number** and the **weak conflict-free chromatic number** of a set-system \mathcal{A} , denoted by

$$\chi(\mathcal{A}), \chi_{\text{CF}}(\mathcal{A}), w\chi_{\text{CF}}(\mathcal{A})$$

respectively, are defined as the minimal number of colors in a proper, conflict free or a weak conflict-free coloring, respectively.

Basic definition

A set \mathcal{A} is a **set-system** if all elements of it have size at least 2.

f is a **proper coloring** of a set-system \mathcal{A} iff $|f''A| \geq 2$ for each $A \in \mathcal{A}$.

f is a **conflict free coloring** of a set-system \mathcal{A} with ρ colors iff $f: \cup A \rightarrow \rho$ and $\forall A \in \mathcal{A} (\exists \zeta < \rho) |A \cap f^{-1}\{\zeta\}| = 1$.

f is a **weak conflict free coloring** iff we weaken the assumption $\text{dom}(f) = \cup A$ to $\text{dom}(f) \subset \cup A$.

The **chromatic number**, the **conflict-free chromatic number** and the **weak conflict-free chromatic number** of a set-system \mathcal{A} , denoted by

$$\chi(\mathcal{A}), \chi_{\text{CF}}(\mathcal{A}), w\chi_{\text{CF}}(\mathcal{A})$$

respectively, are defined as the minimal number of colors in a proper, conflict free or a weak conflict-free coloring, respectively.

Basic definition

A set \mathcal{A} is a **set-system** if all elements of it have size at least 2.

f is a **proper coloring** of a set-system \mathcal{A} iff $|f''A| \geq 2$ for each $A \in \mathcal{A}$.

f is a **conflict free coloring** of a set-system \mathcal{A} with ρ colors iff $f: \cup A \rightarrow \rho$ and $\forall A \in \mathcal{A} (\exists \zeta < \rho) |A \cap f^{-1}\{\zeta\}| = 1$.

f is a **weak conflict free coloring** iff we weaken the assumption $\text{dom}(f) = \cup A$ to $\text{dom}(f) \subset \cup A$.

The **chromatic number**, the **conflict-free chromatic number** and the **weak conflict-free chromatic number** of a set-system \mathcal{A} , denoted by

$$\chi(\mathcal{A}), \chi_{\text{CF}}(\mathcal{A}), w\chi_{\text{CF}}(\mathcal{A})$$

respectively, are defined as the minimal number of colors in a proper, conflict free or a weak conflict-free coloring, respectively.

Basic definition

A set \mathcal{A} is a **set-system** if all elements of it have size at least 2.

f is a **proper coloring** of a set-system \mathcal{A} iff $|f''A| \geq 2$ for each $A \in \mathcal{A}$.

f is a **conflict free coloring** of a set-system \mathcal{A} with ρ colors iff $f : \cup \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \rho$ and $\forall A \in \mathcal{A} (\exists \zeta < \rho) |A \cap f^{-1}\{\zeta\}| = 1$.

f is a **weak conflict free coloring** iff we weaken the assumption $\text{dom}(f) = \cup \mathcal{A}$ to $\text{dom}(f) \subset \cup \mathcal{A}$.

The **chromatic number**, the **conflict-free chromatic number** and the **weak conflict-free chromatic number** of a set-system \mathcal{A} , denoted by

$$\chi(\mathcal{A}), \chi_{\text{CF}}(\mathcal{A}), w\chi_{\text{CF}}(\mathcal{A})$$

respectively, are defined as the minimal number of colors in a proper, conflict free or a weak conflict-free coloring, respectively.

Basic definition

A set \mathcal{A} is a **set-system** if all elements of it have size at least 2.

f is a **proper coloring** of a set-system \mathcal{A} iff $|f''A| \geq 2$ for each $A \in \mathcal{A}$.

f is a **conflict free coloring** of a set-system \mathcal{A} with ρ colors iff $f : \cup \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \rho$ and $\forall A \in \mathcal{A} (\exists \zeta < \rho) |A \cap f^{-1}\{\zeta\}| = 1$.

f is a **weak conflict free coloring** iff we weaken the assumption $\text{dom}(f) = \cup \mathcal{A}$ to $\text{dom}(f) \subset \cup \mathcal{A}$.

The **chromatic number**, the **conflict-free chromatic number** and the **weak conflict-free chromatic number** of a set-system \mathcal{A} , denoted by

$$\chi(\mathcal{A}), \chi_{\text{CF}}(\mathcal{A}), w\chi_{\text{CF}}(\mathcal{A})$$

respectively, are defined as the minimal number of colors in a proper, conflict free or a weak conflict-free coloring, respectively.

Basic definition

A set \mathcal{A} is a **set-system** if all elements of it have size at least 2.

f is a **proper coloring** of a set-system \mathcal{A} iff $|f''A| \geq 2$ for each $A \in \mathcal{A}$.

f is a **conflict free coloring** of a set-system \mathcal{A} with ρ colors iff $f : \cup \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \rho$ and $\forall A \in \mathcal{A} (\exists \zeta < \rho) |A \cap f^{-1}\{\zeta\}| = 1$.

f is a **weak conflict free coloring** iff we weaken the assumption $\text{dom}(f) = \cup \mathcal{A}$ to $\text{dom}(f) \subset \cup \mathcal{A}$.

The **chromatic number**, the **conflict-free chromatic number** and the **weak conflict-free chromatic number** of a set-system \mathcal{A} , denoted by

$$\chi(\mathcal{A}), \chi_{\text{CF}}(\mathcal{A}), w\chi_{\text{CF}}(\mathcal{A})$$

respectively, are defined as the minimal number of colors in a proper, conflict free or a weak conflict-free coloring, respectively.

Basic definition

A set \mathcal{A} is a **set-system** if all elements of it have size at least 2.

f is a **proper coloring** of a set-system \mathcal{A} iff $|f''A| \geq 2$ for each $A \in \mathcal{A}$.

f is a **conflict free coloring** of a set-system \mathcal{A} with ρ colors iff $f : \cup \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \rho$ and $\forall A \in \mathcal{A} (\exists \zeta < \rho) |A \cap f^{-1}\{\zeta\}| = 1$.

f is a **weak conflict free coloring** iff we weaken the assumption $\text{dom}(f) = \cup \mathcal{A}$ to $\text{dom}(f) \subset \cup \mathcal{A}$.

The **chromatic number**, the **conflict-free chromatic number** and the **weak conflict-free chromatic number** of a set-system \mathcal{A} , denoted by

$$\chi(\mathcal{A}), \chi_{\text{CF}}(\mathcal{A}), w\chi_{\text{CF}}(\mathcal{A})$$

respectively, are defined as the **minimal number of colors** in a **proper**, **conflict free** or a **weak conflict-free coloring**, respectively.

Basic definition

A set \mathcal{A} is a **set-system** if all elements of it have size at least 2.

f is a **proper coloring** of a set-system \mathcal{A} iff $|f''A| \geq 2$ for each $A \in \mathcal{A}$.

f is a **conflict free coloring** of a set-system \mathcal{A} with ρ colors iff $f : \cup \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \rho$ and $\forall A \in \mathcal{A} (\exists \zeta < \rho) |A \cap f^{-1}\{\zeta\}| = 1$.

f is a **weak conflict free coloring** iff we weaken the assumption $\text{dom}(f) = \cup \mathcal{A}$ to $\text{dom}(f) \subset \cup \mathcal{A}$.

The **chromatic number**, the **conflict-free chromatic number** and the **weak conflict-free chromatic number** of a set-system \mathcal{A} , denoted by

$$\chi(\mathcal{A}), \chi_{\text{CF}}(\mathcal{A}), w\chi_{\text{CF}}(\mathcal{A})$$

respectively, are defined as the **minimal number of colors** in a **proper**, **conflict free** or a **weak conflict-free coloring**, respectively.

Basic definition

A set \mathcal{A} is a **set-system** if all elements of it have size at least 2.

f is a **proper coloring** of a set-system \mathcal{A} iff $|f''A| \geq 2$ for each $A \in \mathcal{A}$.

f is a **conflict free coloring** of a set-system \mathcal{A} with ρ colors iff $f : \cup \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \rho$ and $\forall A \in \mathcal{A} (\exists \zeta < \rho) |A \cap f^{-1}\{\zeta\}| = 1$.

f is a **weak conflict free coloring** iff we weaken the assumption $\text{dom}(f) = \cup \mathcal{A}$ to $\text{dom}(f) \subset \cup \mathcal{A}$.

The **chromatic number**, the **conflict-free chromatic number** and the **weak conflict-free chromatic number** of a set-system \mathcal{A} , denoted by

$$\chi(\mathcal{A}), \chi_{\text{CF}}(\mathcal{A}), w\chi_{\text{CF}}(\mathcal{A})$$

respectively, are defined as the **minimal number of colors** in a **proper**, **conflict free** or a **weak conflict-free coloring**, respectively.

Basic definition

A set \mathcal{A} is a **set-system** if all elements of it have size at least 2.

f is a **proper coloring** of a set-system \mathcal{A} iff $|f''A| \geq 2$ for each $A \in \mathcal{A}$.

f is a **conflict free coloring** of a set-system \mathcal{A} with ρ colors iff $f : \cup \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \rho$ and $\forall A \in \mathcal{A} (\exists \zeta < \rho) |A \cap f^{-1}\{\zeta\}| = 1$.

f is a **weak conflict free coloring** iff we weaken the assumption $\text{dom}(f) = \cup \mathcal{A}$ to $\text{dom}(f) \subset \cup \mathcal{A}$.

The **chromatic number**, the **conflict-free chromatic number** and the **weak conflict-free chromatic number** of a set-system \mathcal{A} , denoted by

$$\chi(\mathcal{A}), \chi_{\text{CF}}(\mathcal{A}), w\chi_{\text{CF}}(\mathcal{A})$$

respectively, are defined as the **minimal number of colors** in a **proper**, **conflict free** or a **weak conflict-free coloring**, respectively.

Basic definition

A set \mathcal{A} is a **set-system** if all elements of it have size at least 2.

f is a **proper coloring** of a set-system \mathcal{A} iff $|f''A| \geq 2$ for each $A \in \mathcal{A}$.

f is a **conflict free coloring** of a set-system \mathcal{A} with ρ colors iff $f : \cup \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \rho$ and $\forall A \in \mathcal{A} (\exists \zeta < \rho) |A \cap f^{-1}\{\zeta\}| = 1$.

f is a **weak conflict free coloring** iff we weaken the assumption $\text{dom}(f) = \cup \mathcal{A}$ to $\text{dom}(f) \subset \cup \mathcal{A}$.

The **chromatic number**, the **conflict-free chromatic number** and the **weak conflict-free chromatic number** of a set-system \mathcal{A} , denoted by

$$\chi(\mathcal{A}), \chi_{\text{CF}}(\mathcal{A}), w\chi_{\text{CF}}(\mathcal{A})$$

respectively, are defined as the **minimal number of colors** in a **proper**, **conflict free** or a **weak conflict-free coloring**, respectively.

- $f : \cup \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \rho$ is a **CF-coloring** iff $\forall A \in \mathcal{A} (\exists \zeta < \rho) |A \cap f^{-1}\{\zeta\}| = 1$.
- f is **wCF-coloring** if $\text{dom}(f) \subset \cup \mathcal{A}$
- f is a **proper coloring** iff $|f''A| \geq 2$ for each $A \in \mathcal{A}$
- $\chi(\mathcal{A}) \leq \chi_{\text{CF}}(\mathcal{A}) \leq w\chi_{\text{CF}}(\mathcal{A}) + 1$
- $\chi(\mathcal{A}) = \chi_{\text{CF}}(\mathcal{A})$ provided $|A| \leq 3$ for all $A \in \mathcal{A}$
- For all $\kappa \geq \omega$ there exists a quadruple system \mathcal{A} with $\chi(\mathcal{A}) = 2$ and $\chi_{\text{CF}}(\mathcal{A}) = \kappa$:
 $\mathcal{A} = \{H \in [\kappa]^4 : H \text{ contains even and odd ordinals as well}\}.$

- $f : \cup \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \rho$ is a **CF-coloring** iff $\forall A \in \mathcal{A} (\exists \zeta < \rho) |A \cap f^{-1}\{\zeta\}| = 1$.
- f is **wCF-coloring** if $\text{dom}(f) \subset \cup \mathcal{A}$
- f is a **proper coloring** iff $|f''A| \geq 2$ for each $A \in \mathcal{A}$
- $\chi(\mathcal{A}) \leq \chi_{\text{CF}}(\mathcal{A}) \leq w\chi_{\text{CF}}(\mathcal{A}) + 1$
- $\chi(\mathcal{A}) = \chi_{\text{CF}}(\mathcal{A})$ provided $|A| \leq 3$ for all $A \in \mathcal{A}$
- For all $\kappa \geq \omega$ there exists a quadruple system \mathcal{A} with $\chi(\mathcal{A}) = 2$ and $\chi_{\text{CF}}(\mathcal{A}) = \kappa$:
 $\mathcal{A} = \{H \in [\kappa]^4 : H \text{ contains even and odd ordinals as well}\}.$

- $f : \cup \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \rho$ is a **CF-coloring** iff $\forall A \in \mathcal{A} (\exists \zeta < \rho) |A \cap f^{-1}\{\zeta\}| = 1$.
- f is **wCF-coloring** if $\text{dom}(f) \subset \cup \mathcal{A}$
- f is a **proper coloring** iff $|f''A| \geq 2$ for each $A \in \mathcal{A}$
- $\chi(\mathcal{A}) \leq \chi_{\text{CF}}(\mathcal{A}) \leq w\chi_{\text{CF}}(\mathcal{A}) + 1$
- $\chi(\mathcal{A}) = \chi_{\text{CF}}(\mathcal{A})$ provided $|A| \leq 3$ for all $A \in \mathcal{A}$
- For all $\kappa \geq \omega$ there exists a quadruple system \mathcal{A} with $\chi(\mathcal{A}) = 2$ and $\chi_{\text{CF}}(\mathcal{A}) = \kappa$:
 $\mathcal{A} = \{H \in [\kappa]^4 : H \text{ contains even and odd ordinals as well}\}.$

- $f : \cup \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \rho$ is a **CF-coloring** iff $\forall A \in \mathcal{A} (\exists \zeta < \rho) |A \cap f^{-1}\{\zeta\}| = 1$.
- f is **wCF-coloring** if $\text{dom}(f) \subset \cup \mathcal{A}$
- f is a **proper coloring** iff $|f''A| \geq 2$ for each $A \in \mathcal{A}$
- $\chi(\mathcal{A}) \leq \chi_{\text{CF}}(\mathcal{A}) \leq w\chi_{\text{CF}}(\mathcal{A}) + 1$
- $\chi(\mathcal{A}) = \chi_{\text{CF}}(\mathcal{A})$ provided $|A| \leq 3$ for all $A \in \mathcal{A}$
- For all $\kappa \geq \omega$ there exists a quadruple system \mathcal{A} with $\chi(\mathcal{A}) = 2$ and $\chi_{\text{CF}}(\mathcal{A}) = \kappa$:
 $\mathcal{A} = \{H \in [\kappa]^4 : H \text{ contains even and odd ordinals as well}\}.$

- $f : \cup \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \rho$ is a **CF-coloring** iff $\forall A \in \mathcal{A} (\exists \zeta < \rho) |A \cap f^{-1}\{\zeta\}| = 1$.
- f is **wCF-coloring** if $\text{dom}(f) \subset \cup \mathcal{A}$
- f is a **proper coloring** iff $|f''A| \geq 2$ for each $A \in \mathcal{A}$
- $\chi(\mathcal{A}) \leq \chi_{\text{CF}}(\mathcal{A}) \leq w\chi_{\text{CF}}(\mathcal{A}) + 1$
- $\chi(\mathcal{A}) = \chi_{\text{CF}}(\mathcal{A})$ provided $|A| \leq 3$ for all $A \in \mathcal{A}$
- For all $\kappa \geq \omega$ there exists a quadruple system \mathcal{A} with $\chi(\mathcal{A}) = 2$ and $\chi_{\text{CF}}(\mathcal{A}) = \kappa$:
 $\mathcal{A} = \{H \in [\kappa]^4 : H \text{ contains even and odd ordinals as well}\}.$

- $f : \cup \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \rho$ is a **CF-coloring** iff $\forall A \in \mathcal{A} (\exists \zeta < \rho) |A \cap f^{-1}\{\zeta\}| = 1$.
 - f is **wCF-coloring** if $\text{dom}(f) \subset \cup \mathcal{A}$
 - f is a **proper coloring** iff $|f''A| \geq 2$ for each $A \in \mathcal{A}$
-
- \mathcal{A} is **(μ, ν) -almost disjoint** iff $|\bigcap \mathcal{B}| < \mu$ for each $\mathcal{B} \in [\mathcal{A}]^\nu$
 - \mathcal{A} is **μ -almost disjoint** iff $|A \cap B| < \mu$ for $A \neq B \in \mathcal{A}$
 - μ -almost disjoint = $(\mu, 2)$ -almost disjoint
 - **Erdős-Hajnal-Rothchild-Galvin** studied chromatic numbers of (μ, ν) -almost disjoint set-systems
 - If \mathcal{A} is **(n, ω_1) -almost disjoint** then $\chi(\mathcal{A}) \leq \omega$

- $f : \cup \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \rho$ is a **CF-coloring** iff $\forall A \in \mathcal{A} (\exists \zeta < \rho) |A \cap f^{-1}\{\zeta\}| = 1$.
- f is **wCF-coloring** if $\text{dom}(f) \subset \cup \mathcal{A}$
- f is a **proper coloring** iff $|f''A| \geq 2$ for each $A \in \mathcal{A}$

- \mathcal{A} is **(μ, ν) -almost disjoint** iff $|A \cap B| < \mu$ for each $B \in [\mathcal{A}]^\nu$
- \mathcal{A} is **μ -almost disjoint** iff $|A \cap B| < \mu$ for $A \neq B \in \mathcal{A}$
- μ -almost disjoint = $(\mu, 2)$ -almost disjoint
- **Erdős-Hajnal-Rothchild-Galvin** studied chromatic numbers of (μ, ν) -almost disjoint set-systems
- If \mathcal{A} is **(n, ω_1) -almost disjoint** then $\chi(\mathcal{A}) \leq \omega$

- $f : \cup \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \rho$ is a **CF-coloring** iff $\forall A \in \mathcal{A} (\exists \zeta < \rho) |A \cap f^{-1}\{\zeta\}| = 1$.
- f is **wCF-coloring** if $\text{dom}(f) \subset \cup \mathcal{A}$
- f is a **proper coloring** iff $|f''A| \geq 2$ for each $A \in \mathcal{A}$

- \mathcal{A} is **(μ, ν) -almost disjoint** iff $|A \cap B| < \mu$ for each $B \in [\mathcal{A}]^\nu$
- \mathcal{A} is **μ -almost disjoint** iff $|A \cap B| < \mu$ for $A \neq B \in \mathcal{A}$
- μ -almost disjoint = $(\mu, 2)$ -almost disjoint
- **Erdős-Hajnal-Rothchild-Galvin** studied chromatic numbers of (μ, ν) -almost disjoint set-systems
- If \mathcal{A} is **(n, ω_1) -almost disjoint** then $\chi(\mathcal{A}) \leq \omega$

- $f : \cup \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \rho$ is a **CF-coloring** iff $\forall A \in \mathcal{A} (\exists \zeta < \rho) |A \cap f^{-1}\{\zeta\}| = 1$.
- f is **wCF-coloring** if $\text{dom}(f) \subset \cup \mathcal{A}$
- f is a **proper coloring** iff $|f''A| \geq 2$ for each $A \in \mathcal{A}$

- \mathcal{A} is **(μ, ν) -almost disjoint** iff $|A \cap B| < \mu$ for each $B \in [\mathcal{A}]^\nu$
- \mathcal{A} is **μ -almost disjoint** iff $|A \cap B| < \mu$ for $A \neq B \in \mathcal{A}$
- μ -almost disjoint = $(\mu, 2)$ -almost disjoint
- **Erdős-Hajnal-Rothchild-Galvin** studied chromatic numbers of (μ, ν) -almost disjoint set-systems
- If \mathcal{A} is **(n, ω_1) -almost disjoint** then $\chi(\mathcal{A}) \leq \omega$

- $f : \cup \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \rho$ is a **CF-coloring** iff $\forall A \in \mathcal{A} (\exists \zeta < \rho) |A \cap f^{-1}\{\zeta\}| = 1$.
- f is **wCF-coloring** if $\text{dom}(f) \subset \cup \mathcal{A}$
- f is a **proper coloring** iff $|f''A| \geq 2$ for each $A \in \mathcal{A}$

- \mathcal{A} is **(μ, ν) -almost disjoint** iff $|A \cap B| < \mu$ for each $B \in [\mathcal{A}]^\nu$
- \mathcal{A} is **μ -almost disjoint** iff $|A \cap B| < \mu$ for $A \neq B \in \mathcal{A}$
- μ -almost disjoint = $(\mu, 2)$ -almost disjoint
- **Erdős-Hajnal-Rothchild-Galvin** studied chromatic numbers of (μ, ν) -almost disjoint set-systems
- If \mathcal{A} is (n, ω_1) -almost disjoint then $\chi(\mathcal{A}) \leq \omega$

- $f : \cup \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \rho$ is a **CF-coloring** iff $\forall A \in \mathcal{A} (\exists \zeta < \rho) |A \cap f^{-1}\{\zeta\}| = 1$.
- f is **wCF-coloring** if $\text{dom}(f) \subset \cup \mathcal{A}$
- f is a **proper coloring** iff $|f''A| \geq 2$ for each $A \in \mathcal{A}$

- \mathcal{A} is **(μ, ν) -almost disjoint** iff $|A \cap B| < \mu$ for each $B \in [\mathcal{A}]^\nu$
- \mathcal{A} is **μ -almost disjoint** iff $|A \cap B| < \mu$ for $A \neq B \in \mathcal{A}$
- μ -almost disjoint = $(\mu, 2)$ -almost disjoint
- **Erdős-Hajnal-Rothchild-Galvin** studied chromatic numbers of (μ, ν) -almost disjoint set-systems
- If \mathcal{A} is (n, ω_1) -almost disjoint then $\chi(\mathcal{A}) \leq \omega$

- $f : \cup \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \rho$ is a **CF-coloring** iff $\forall A \in \mathcal{A} (\exists \zeta < \rho) |A \cap f^{-1}\{\zeta\}| = 1$.
- f is **wCF-coloring** if $\text{dom}(f) \subset \cup \mathcal{A}$
- f is a **proper coloring** iff $|f''A| \geq 2$ for each $A \in \mathcal{A}$

- \mathcal{A} is **(μ, ν) -almost disjoint** iff $|A \cap B| < \mu$ for each $B \in [\mathcal{A}]^\nu$
- \mathcal{A} is **μ -almost disjoint** iff $|A \cap B| < \mu$ for $A \neq B \in \mathcal{A}$
- μ -almost disjoint = $(\mu, 2)$ -almost disjoint
- **Erdős-Hajnal-Rothchild-Galvin** studied chromatic numbers of (μ, ν) -almost disjoint set-systems
- If \mathcal{A} is (n, ω_1) -almost disjoint then $\chi(\mathcal{A}) \leq \omega$

- $f : \cup \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \rho$ is a **CF-coloring** iff $\forall A \in \mathcal{A} (\exists \zeta < \rho) |A \cap f^{-1}\{\zeta\}| = 1$.
- f is **wCF-coloring** if $\text{dom}(f) \subset \cup \mathcal{A}$
- f is a **proper coloring** iff $|f''A| \geq 2$ for each $A \in \mathcal{A}$

- \mathcal{A} is **(μ, ν) -almost disjoint** iff $|A \cap B| < \mu$ for each $B \in [\mathcal{A}]^\nu$
- \mathcal{A} is **μ -almost disjoint** iff $|A \cap B| < \mu$ for $A \neq B \in \mathcal{A}$
- μ -almost disjoint = $(\mu, 2)$ -almost disjoint
- **Erdős-Hajnal-Rothchild-Galvin** studied chromatic numbers of (μ, ν) -almost disjoint set-systems
- **If \mathcal{A} is (n, ω_1) -almost disjoint then $\chi(\mathcal{A}) \leq \omega$**

- $f : \cup \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \rho$ is a **CF-coloring** iff $\forall A \in \mathcal{A} (\exists \zeta < \rho) |A \cap f^{-1}\{\zeta\}| = 1$.
- f is **wCF-coloring** if $\text{dom}(f) \subset \cup \mathcal{A}$
- \mathcal{A} is **μ -almost disjoint** iff $|A \cap B| < \mu$ for $A \neq B \in \mathcal{A}$
- **Part 1.** $\mu < \omega$: ZFC(+GCH) results
- **Part 2.** $\mu = \omega$: Consistency results
- **Part 3.** More ZFC results

- $f : \cup \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \rho$ is a **CF-coloring** iff $\forall A \in \mathcal{A} (\exists \zeta < \rho) |A \cap f^{-1}\{\zeta\}| = 1$.
- f is **wCF-coloring** if $\text{dom}(f) \subset \cup \mathcal{A}$
- \mathcal{A} is **μ -almost disjoint** iff $|A \cap B| < \mu$ for $A \neq B \in \mathcal{A}$

- **Part 1.** $\mu < \omega$: ZFC(+GCH) results
- **Part 2.** $\mu = \omega$: Consistency results
- **Part 3.** More ZFC results

- $f : \cup \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \rho$ is a **CF-coloring** iff $\forall A \in \mathcal{A} (\exists \zeta < \rho) |A \cap f^{-1}\{\zeta\}| = 1$.
- f is **wCF-coloring** if $\text{dom}(f) \subset \cup \mathcal{A}$
- \mathcal{A} is **μ -almost disjoint** iff $|A \cap B| < \mu$ for $A \neq B \in \mathcal{A}$

- **Part 1.** $\mu < \omega$: ZFC(+GCH) results
- **Part 2.** $\mu = \omega$: Consistency results
- **Part 3.** More ZFC results

- $f : \cup \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \rho$ is a **CF-coloring** iff $\forall A \in \mathcal{A} (\exists \zeta < \rho) |A \cap f^{-1}\{\zeta\}| = 1$.
- f is **wCF-coloring** if $\text{dom}(f) \subset \cup \mathcal{A}$
- \mathcal{A} is **μ -almost disjoint** iff $|A \cap B| < \mu$ for $A \neq B \in \mathcal{A}$

- **Part 1.** $\mu < \omega$: ZFC(+GCH) results
- **Part 2.** $\mu = \omega$: Consistency results
- **Part 3.** More ZFC results

- $f : \cup \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \rho$ is a **CF-coloring** iff $\forall A \in \mathcal{A} (\exists \zeta < \rho) |A \cap f^{-1}\{\zeta\}| = 1$.
 - f is **wCF-coloring** if $\text{dom}(f) \subset \cup \mathcal{A}$
 - \mathcal{A} is **μ -almost disjoint** iff $|A \cap B| < \mu$ for $A \neq B \in \mathcal{A}$
-
- **Part 1.** $\mu < \omega$: ZFC(+GCH) results
 - **Part 2.** $\mu = \omega$: Consistency results
 - **Part 3.** More ZFC results

- $f : \cup \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \rho$ is a **CF-coloring** iff $\forall A \in \mathcal{A} (\exists \zeta < \rho) |A \cap f^{-1}\{\zeta\}| = 1$.
- f is **wCF-coloring** if $\text{dom}(f) \subset \cup \mathcal{A}$
- \mathcal{A} is **μ -almost disjoint** iff $|A \cap B| < \mu$ for $A \neq B \in \mathcal{A}$
- $\kappa, \lambda, \mu,$ and ρ are cardinals.
- $[\lambda, \kappa, \mu] \rightarrow \rho$ iff every μ -almost disjoint family $\mathcal{A} \subset [\lambda]^\kappa$ of size λ has a conflict free coloring with ρ colors.
- $[\lambda, \kappa, \mu] \rightarrow_w \rho$ iff every μ -almost disjoint family $\mathcal{A} \subset [\lambda]^\kappa$ of size λ has a conflict free coloring with ρ colors.
- If $\mathcal{S} = \langle S_\alpha : \alpha < \omega_1 \rangle$ witnesses \clubsuit_{ω_1} then $\mathcal{S} \subset [\omega_1]^\omega$ is ω -almost disjoint so $[\omega_1, \omega, \omega] \not\rightarrow \omega$.

- $f : \cup \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \rho$ is a **CF-coloring** iff $\forall A \in \mathcal{A} (\exists \zeta < \rho) |A \cap f^{-1}\{\zeta\}| = 1$.
- f is **wCF-coloring** if $\text{dom}(f) \subset \cup \mathcal{A}$
- \mathcal{A} is **μ -almost disjoint** iff $|A \cap B| < \mu$ for $A \neq B \in \mathcal{A}$
- $\kappa, \lambda, \mu,$ and ρ are cardinals.
- $[\lambda, \kappa, \mu] \rightarrow \rho$ iff every μ -almost disjoint family $\mathcal{A} \subset [\lambda]^\kappa$ of size λ has a conflict free coloring with ρ colors.
- $[\lambda, \kappa, \mu] \rightarrow_w \rho$ iff every μ -almost disjoint family $\mathcal{A} \subset [\lambda]^\kappa$ of size λ has a conflict free coloring with ρ colors.
- If $\mathcal{S} = \langle S_\alpha : \alpha < \omega_1 \rangle$ witnesses \clubsuit_{ω_1} then $\mathcal{S} \subset [\omega_1]^\omega$ is ω -almost disjoint so $[\omega_1, \omega, \omega] \not\rightarrow \omega$.

- $f : \cup \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \rho$ is a **CF-coloring** iff $\forall A \in \mathcal{A} (\exists \zeta < \rho) |A \cap f^{-1}\{\zeta\}| = 1$.
- f is **wCF-coloring** if $\text{dom}(f) \subset \cup \mathcal{A}$
- \mathcal{A} is **μ -almost disjoint** iff $|A \cap B| < \mu$ for $A \neq B \in \mathcal{A}$
- $\kappa, \lambda, \mu,$ and ρ are cardinals.
- $[\lambda, \kappa, \mu] \rightarrow \rho$ iff every μ -almost disjoint family $\mathcal{A} \subset [\lambda]^\kappa$ of size λ has a conflict free coloring with ρ colors.
- $[\lambda, \kappa, \mu] \rightarrow_w \rho$ iff every μ -almost disjoint family $\mathcal{A} \subset [\lambda]^\kappa$ of size λ has a conflict free coloring with ρ colors.
- If $\mathcal{S} = \langle S_\alpha : \alpha < \omega_1 \rangle$ witnesses \clubsuit_{ω_1} then $\mathcal{S} \subset [\omega_1]^\omega$ is ω -almost disjoint so $[\omega_1, \omega, \omega] \not\rightarrow \omega$.

- $f : \cup \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \rho$ is a **CF-coloring** iff $\forall A \in \mathcal{A} (\exists \zeta < \rho) |A \cap f^{-1}\{\zeta\}| = 1$.
- f is **wCF-coloring** if $\text{dom}(f) \subset \cup \mathcal{A}$
- \mathcal{A} is **μ -almost disjoint** iff $|A \cap B| < \mu$ for $A \neq B \in \mathcal{A}$
- $\kappa, \lambda, \mu,$ and ρ are cardinals.
- $[\lambda, \kappa, \mu] \rightarrow \rho$ iff every μ -almost disjoint family $\mathcal{A} \subset [\lambda]^\kappa$ of size λ has a conflict free coloring with ρ colors.
- $[\lambda, \kappa, \mu] \rightarrow_w \rho$ iff every μ -almost disjoint family $\mathcal{A} \subset [\lambda]^\kappa$ of size λ has a conflict free coloring with ρ colors.
- If $\mathcal{S} = \langle S_\alpha : \alpha < \omega_1 \rangle$ witnesses \clubsuit_{ω_1} then $\mathcal{S} \subset [\omega_1]^\omega$ is ω -almost disjoint so $[\omega_1, \omega, \omega] \not\rightarrow \omega$.

- $f : \cup \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \rho$ is a **CF-coloring** iff $\forall A \in \mathcal{A} (\exists \zeta < \rho) |A \cap f^{-1}\{\zeta\}| = 1$.
- f is **wCF-coloring** if $\text{dom}(f) \subset \cup \mathcal{A}$
- \mathcal{A} is **μ -almost disjoint** iff $|A \cap B| < \mu$ for $A \neq B \in \mathcal{A}$
- $\kappa, \lambda, \mu,$ and ρ are cardinals.
- $[\lambda, \kappa, \mu] \rightarrow \rho$ iff every μ -almost disjoint family $\mathcal{A} \subset [\lambda]^\kappa$ of size λ has a conflict free coloring with ρ colors.
- $[\lambda, \kappa, \mu] \rightarrow_w \rho$ iff every μ -almost disjoint family $\mathcal{A} \subset [\lambda]^\kappa$ of size λ has a conflict free coloring with ρ colors.
- If $\mathcal{S} = \langle S_\alpha : \alpha < \omega_1 \rangle$ witnesses \clubsuit_{ω_1} then $\mathcal{S} \subset [\omega_1]^\omega$ is ω -almost disjoint so $[\omega_1, \omega, \omega] \not\rightarrow \omega$.

- $f : \cup \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \rho$ is a **CF-coloring** iff $\forall A \in \mathcal{A} (\exists \zeta < \rho) |A \cap f^{-1}\{\zeta\}| = 1$.
- f is **wCF-coloring** if $\text{dom}(f) \subset \cup \mathcal{A}$
- \mathcal{A} is **μ -almost disjoint** iff $|A \cap B| < \mu$ for $A \neq B \in \mathcal{A}$
- $\kappa, \lambda, \mu,$ and ρ are cardinals.
- $[\lambda, \kappa, \mu] \rightarrow \rho$ iff every μ -almost disjoint family $\mathcal{A} \subset [\lambda]^\kappa$ of size λ has a conflict free coloring with ρ colors.
- $[\lambda, \kappa, \mu] \rightarrow_w \rho$ iff every μ -almost disjoint family $\mathcal{A} \subset [\lambda]^\kappa$ of size λ has a conflict free coloring with ρ colors.
- If $\mathcal{S} = \langle S_\alpha : \alpha < \omega_1 \rangle$ witnesses \clubsuit_{ω_1} then $\mathcal{S} \subset [\omega_1]^\omega$ is ω -almost disjoint so $[\omega_1, \omega, \omega] \not\rightarrow \omega$.

- $f : \cup \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \rho$ is a **CF-coloring** iff $\forall A \in \mathcal{A} (\exists \zeta < \rho) |A \cap f^{-1}\{\zeta\}| = 1$.
- f is **wCF-coloring** if $\text{dom}(f) \subset \cup \mathcal{A}$
- \mathcal{A} is **μ -almost disjoint** iff $|A \cap B| < \mu$ for $A \neq B \in \mathcal{A}$
- $\kappa, \lambda, \mu,$ and ρ are cardinals.
- $[\lambda, \kappa, \mu] \rightarrow \rho$ iff every μ -almost disjoint family $\mathcal{A} \subset [\lambda]^\kappa$ of size λ has a conflict free coloring with ρ colors.
- $[\lambda, \kappa, \mu] \rightarrow_w \rho$ iff every μ -almost disjoint family $\mathcal{A} \subset [\lambda]^\kappa$ of size λ has a conflict free coloring with ρ colors.
- If $\mathcal{S} = \langle S_\alpha : \alpha < \omega_1 \rangle$ witnesses \clubsuit_{ω_1} then $\mathcal{S} \subset [\omega_1]^\omega$ is ω -almost disjoint and $\chi_{\text{CF}}(\mathcal{S}) = \chi(\mathcal{S}) = \omega_1$, so $[\omega_1, \omega, \omega] \not\rightarrow \omega$.

- $f : \cup \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \rho$ is a **CF-coloring** iff $\forall A \in \mathcal{A} (\exists \zeta < \rho) |A \cap f^{-1}\{\zeta\}| = 1$.
- f is **wCF-coloring** if $\text{dom}(f) \subset \cup \mathcal{A}$
- \mathcal{A} is **μ -almost disjoint** iff $|A \cap B| < \mu$ for $A \neq B \in \mathcal{A}$
- $\kappa, \lambda, \mu,$ and ρ are cardinals.
- $[\lambda, \kappa, \mu] \rightarrow \rho$ iff every μ -almost disjoint family $\mathcal{A} \subset [\lambda]^\kappa$ of size λ has a conflict free coloring with ρ colors.
- $[\lambda, \kappa, \mu] \rightarrow_w \rho$ iff every μ -almost disjoint family $\mathcal{A} \subset [\lambda]^\kappa$ of size λ has a conflict free coloring with ρ colors.
- If $\mathcal{S} = \langle S_\alpha : \alpha < \omega_1 \rangle$ witnesses \clubsuit_{ω_1} then $\mathcal{S} \subset [\omega_1]^\omega$ is ω -almost disjoint and $\chi_{\text{CF}}(\mathcal{S}) = \chi(\mathcal{S}) = \omega_1$, so $[\omega_1, \omega, \omega] \not\rightarrow \omega$.

- $f : \cup \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \rho$ is a **CF-coloring** iff $\forall A \in \mathcal{A} (\exists \zeta < \rho) |A \cap f^{-1}\{\zeta\}| = 1$.
- f is **wCF-coloring** if $\text{dom}(f) \subset \cup \mathcal{A}$
- \mathcal{A} is **μ -almost disjoint** iff $|A \cap B| < \mu$ for $A \neq B \in \mathcal{A}$
- $\kappa, \lambda, \mu,$ and ρ are cardinals.
- $[\lambda, \kappa, \mu] \rightarrow \rho$ iff every μ -almost disjoint family $\mathcal{A} \subset [\lambda]^\kappa$ of size λ has a conflict free coloring with ρ colors.
- $[\lambda, \kappa, \mu] \rightarrow_w \rho$ iff every μ -almost disjoint family $\mathcal{A} \subset [\lambda]^\kappa$ of size λ has a conflict free coloring with ρ colors.
- If $\mathcal{S} = \langle S_\alpha : \alpha < \omega_1 \rangle$ witnesses \clubsuit_{ω_1} then $\mathcal{S} \subset [\omega_1]^\omega$ is ω -almost disjoint and $\chi_{\text{CF}}(\mathcal{S}) = \chi(\mathcal{S}) = \omega_1$, so $[\omega_1, \omega, \omega] \not\rightarrow \omega$.

- $f : \cup \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \rho$ is a **CF-coloring** iff $\forall A \in \mathcal{A} (\exists \zeta < \rho) |A \cap f^{-1}\{\zeta\}| = 1$.
- f is **wCF-coloring** if $\text{dom}(f) \subset \cup \mathcal{A}$
- \mathcal{A} is **μ -almost disjoint** iff $|A \cap B| < \mu$ for $A \neq B \in \mathcal{A}$
- $\kappa, \lambda, \mu,$ and ρ are cardinals.
- $[\lambda, \kappa, \mu] \rightarrow \rho$ iff every μ -almost disjoint family $\mathcal{A} \subset [\lambda]^\kappa$ of size λ has a conflict free coloring with ρ colors.
- $[\lambda, \kappa, \mu] \rightarrow_w \rho$ iff every μ -almost disjoint family $\mathcal{A} \subset [\lambda]^\kappa$ of size λ has a conflict free coloring with ρ colors.
- If $\mathcal{S} = \langle S_\alpha : \alpha < \omega_1 \rangle$ witnesses \clubsuit_{ω_1} then $\mathcal{S} \subset [\omega_1]^\omega$ is ω -almost disjoint and $\chi_{\text{CF}}(\mathcal{S}) = \chi(\mathcal{S}) = \omega_1$, so $[\omega_1, \omega, \omega] \not\rightarrow \omega$.

\mathcal{A} is d -almost disjoint for some $d < \omega$.

- $[\lambda, \kappa, \mu] \rightarrow \rho$ iff every μ -almost $\mathcal{A} \subset [\lambda]^\kappa$ has a CF-coloring with ρ -colors
- $[\lambda, \kappa, \mu] \rightarrow_w \rho$ iff every μ -almost $\mathcal{A} \subset [\lambda]^\kappa$ has a wCF-col w. ρ -colors

Theorem

For each infinite cardinals $\kappa \leq \lambda$ and $d \in \omega$ we have $[\lambda, \kappa, d] \rightarrow \omega$.

\mathcal{A} is d -almost disjoint for some $d < \omega$.

- $[\lambda, \kappa, \mu] \rightarrow \rho$ iff every μ -almost $\mathcal{A} \subset [\lambda]^\kappa$ has a CF-colorings with ρ -colors
- $[\lambda, \kappa, \mu] \rightarrow_w \rho$ iff every μ -almost $\mathcal{A} \subset [\lambda]^\kappa$ has a wCF-col w. ρ -colors

Theorem

For each infinite cardinals $\kappa \leq \lambda$ and $d \in \omega$ we have $[\lambda, \kappa, d] \rightarrow \omega$.

Proof: $[\lambda, \kappa, d] \rightarrow \omega$

$I_c(A) = \{\xi : |c^{-1}\{\xi\} \cap A| = 1\}$ c is **CF** iff $I_c(A) \neq \emptyset$

$(\star_{\kappa, \lambda}) \forall d$ -AD family $\mathcal{A} = \{A_\nu : \nu < \lambda\} \subset [\lambda]^\kappa$ there is $f : \lambda \rightarrow \omega$ s.t.
 $(\forall \nu < \lambda) c''A_\nu = \omega$ and $\omega \setminus I_c(A_\nu)$ is finite.

Case 3: $\lambda > \kappa$.

- Let $\langle N_\alpha : \alpha < \lambda \rangle$ be a λ -chain of models with $(\kappa + 1) \cup \{\mathcal{A}\} \subset N_0$.
- If $A \in \mathcal{A} \setminus N_\alpha$ then $|A \cap N_\alpha| < d$
- $\mathcal{A}'_\alpha = \{A \setminus N_\alpha : A \in N_{\alpha+1} \setminus N_\alpha\} \subset [(N_{\alpha+1} \setminus N_\alpha) \cap \lambda]^\kappa$ is d -AD.
- $\exists c_\alpha : (N_{\alpha+1} \setminus N_\alpha) \cap \lambda \rightarrow \omega$ s.t.
 $c''_\alpha A' = \omega$ and $\omega \setminus I_{c_\alpha}(A')$ is finite for all $A' \in \mathcal{A}'_\alpha$.
- $c = \cup\{c_\alpha : \alpha < \kappa\}$ witnesses $(\star_{\kappa, \lambda})$.
- Indeed, let $A \in \mathcal{A} \cap (N_{\alpha+1} \setminus N_\alpha)$. Then $A' = A \cap (N_{\alpha+1} \setminus N_\alpha) \in \mathcal{A}'_\alpha$.
 $c''A = \omega$ Moreover $|\text{dom}(c \setminus c_\alpha) \cap A| < d$.
- Thus $\omega \setminus I_c(A) \subset (\omega \setminus I_{c_\alpha}(A')) \cup (I_{c_\alpha}(A') \setminus I_c(A))$,
so $\omega \setminus I_c(A)$ is finite.

Proof: $[\lambda, \kappa, d] \rightarrow \omega$

$I_c(A) = \{\xi : |c^{-1}\{\xi\} \cap A| = 1\}$ c is CF iff $I_c(A) \neq \emptyset$

$(\star_{\kappa, \lambda}) \forall d$ -AD family $\mathcal{A} = \{A_\nu : \nu < \lambda\} \subset [\lambda]^\kappa$ there is $f : \lambda \rightarrow \omega$ s.t.
 $(\forall \nu < \lambda) c''A_\nu = \omega$ and $\omega \setminus I_c(A_\nu)$ is finite.

Case 3: $\lambda > \kappa$.

- Let $\langle N_\alpha : \alpha < \lambda \rangle$ be a λ -chain of models with $(\kappa + 1) \cup \{\mathcal{A}\} \subset N_0$.
- If $A \in \mathcal{A} \setminus N_\alpha$ then $|A \cap N_\alpha| < d$
- $\mathcal{A}'_\alpha = \{A \setminus N_\alpha : A \in N_{\alpha+1} \setminus N_\alpha\} \subset [(N_{\alpha+1} \setminus N_\alpha) \cap \lambda]^\kappa$ is d -AD.
- $\exists c_\alpha : (N_{\alpha+1} \setminus N_\alpha) \cap \lambda \rightarrow \omega$ s.t.
 $c''_\alpha A' = \omega$ and $\omega \setminus I_{c_\alpha}(A')$ is finite for all $A' \in \mathcal{A}'_\alpha$.
- $c = \cup\{c_\alpha : \alpha < \kappa\}$ witnesses $(\star_{\kappa, \lambda})$.
- Indeed, let $A \in \mathcal{A} \cap (N_{\alpha+1} \setminus N_\alpha)$. Then $A' = A \cap (N_{\alpha+1} \setminus N_\alpha) \in \mathcal{A}'_\alpha$.
 $c''A = \omega$. Moreover $|\text{dom}(c \setminus c_\alpha) \cap A| < d$.
- Thus $\omega \setminus I_c(A) \subset (\omega \setminus I_{c_\alpha}(A')) \cup (I_{c_\alpha}(A') \setminus I_c(A))$,
so $\omega \setminus I_c(A)$ is finite.

Proof: $[\lambda, \kappa, d] \rightarrow \omega$

$I_c(A) = \{\xi : |c^{-1}\{\xi\} \cap A| = 1\}$ c is **CF** iff $I_c(A) \neq \emptyset$

$(\star_{\kappa, \lambda}) \forall d$ -AD family $\mathcal{A} = \{A_\nu : \nu < \lambda\} \subset [\lambda]^\kappa$ there is $f : \lambda \rightarrow \omega$ s.t.
 $(\forall \nu < \lambda) c''A_\nu = \omega$ and $\omega \setminus I_c(A_\nu)$ is finite.

Case 3: $\lambda > \kappa$.

- Let $\langle N_\alpha : \alpha < \lambda \rangle$ be a λ -chain of models with $(\kappa + 1) \cup \{\mathcal{A}\} \subset N_0$.
- If $A \in \mathcal{A} \setminus N_\alpha$ then $|A \cap N_\alpha| < d$
- $\mathcal{A}'_\alpha = \{A \setminus N_\alpha : A \in N_{\alpha+1} \setminus N_\alpha\} \subset [(N_{\alpha+1} \setminus N_\alpha) \cap \lambda]^\kappa$ is d -AD.
- $\exists c_\alpha : (N_{\alpha+1} \setminus N_\alpha) \cap \lambda \rightarrow \omega$ s.t.
 $c''_\alpha A' = \omega$ and $\omega \setminus I_{c_\alpha}(A')$ is finite for all $A' \in \mathcal{A}'_\alpha$.
- $c = \cup\{c_\alpha : \alpha < \kappa\}$ witnesses $(\star_{\kappa, \lambda})$.
- Indeed, let $A \in \mathcal{A} \cap (N_{\alpha+1} \setminus N_\alpha)$. Then $A' = A \cap (N_{\alpha+1} \setminus N_\alpha) \in \mathcal{A}'_\alpha$.
 $c''A = \omega$ Moreover $|\text{dom}(c \setminus c_\alpha) \cap A| < d$.
- Thus $\omega \setminus I_c(A) \subset (\omega \setminus I_{c_\alpha}(A')) \cup (I_{c_\alpha}(A') \setminus I_c(A))$,
so $\omega \setminus I_c(A)$ is finite.

Proof: $[\lambda, \kappa, d] \rightarrow \omega$

$I_c(A) = \{\xi : |c^{-1}\{\xi\} \cap A| = 1\}$ c is **CF** iff $I_c(A) \neq \emptyset$

$(\star_{\kappa, \lambda}) \forall d$ -AD family $\mathcal{A} = \{A_\nu : \nu < \lambda\} \subset [\lambda]^\kappa$ there is $f : \lambda \rightarrow \omega$ s.t.
 $(\forall \nu < \lambda) c''A_\nu = \omega$ and $\omega \setminus I_c(A_\nu)$ is finite.

Case 3: $\lambda > \kappa$.

- Let $\langle N_\alpha : \alpha < \lambda \rangle$ be a λ -chain of models with $(\kappa + 1) \cup \{\mathcal{A}\} \subset N_0$.
- If $A \in \mathcal{A} \setminus N_\alpha$ then $|A \cap N_\alpha| < d$
- $\mathcal{A}'_\alpha = \{A \setminus N_\alpha : A \in N_{\alpha+1} \setminus N_\alpha\} \subset [(N_{\alpha+1} \setminus N_\alpha) \cap \lambda]^\kappa$ is d -AD.
- $\exists c_\alpha : (N_{\alpha+1} \setminus N_\alpha) \cap \lambda \rightarrow \omega$ s.t.
 $c''_\alpha A' = \omega$ and $\omega \setminus I_{c_\alpha}(A')$ is finite for all $A' \in \mathcal{A}'_\alpha$.
- $c = \cup\{c_\alpha : \alpha < \kappa\}$ witnesses $(\star_{\kappa, \lambda})$.
- Indeed, let $A \in \mathcal{A} \cap (N_{\alpha+1} \setminus N_\alpha)$. Then $A' = A \cap (N_{\alpha+1} \setminus N_\alpha) \in \mathcal{A}'_\alpha$.
Moreover $|\text{dom}(c \setminus c_\alpha) \cap A| < d$.
- Thus $\omega \setminus I_c(A) \subset (\omega \setminus I_{c_\alpha}(A')) \cup (I_{c_\alpha}(A') \setminus I_c(A))$,
so $\omega \setminus I_c(A)$ is finite.

Proof: $[\lambda, \kappa, d] \rightarrow \omega$

$I_c(A) = \{\xi : |c^{-1}\{\xi\} \cap A| = 1\}$ c is **CF** iff $I_c(A) \neq \emptyset$

$(\star_{\kappa, \lambda}) \forall d$ -AD family $\mathcal{A} = \{A_\nu : \nu < \lambda\} \subset [\lambda]^\kappa$ there is $f : \lambda \rightarrow \omega$ s.t.
 $(\forall \nu < \lambda) c''A_\nu = \omega$ and $\omega \setminus I_c(A_\nu)$ is finite.

Case 3: $\lambda > \kappa$.

- Let $\langle N_\alpha : \alpha < \lambda \rangle$ be a λ -chain of models with $(\kappa + 1) \cup \{\mathcal{A}\} \subset N_0$.
- If $A \in \mathcal{A} \setminus N_\alpha$ then $|A \cap N_\alpha| < d$
- $\mathcal{A}'_\alpha = \{A \setminus N_\alpha : A \in N_{\alpha+1} \setminus N_\alpha\} \subset [(N_{\alpha+1} \setminus N_\alpha) \cap \lambda]^\kappa$ is d -AD.
- $\exists c_\alpha : (N_{\alpha+1} \setminus N_\alpha) \cap \lambda \rightarrow \omega$ s.t.
 $c''_\alpha A' = \omega$ and $\omega \setminus I_{c_\alpha}(A')$ is finite for all $A' \in \mathcal{A}'_\alpha$.
- $c = \cup\{c_\alpha : \alpha < \kappa\}$ witnesses $(\star_{\kappa, \lambda})$.
- Indeed, let $A \in \mathcal{A} \cap (N_{\alpha+1} \setminus N_\alpha)$. Then $A' = A \cap (N_{\alpha+1} \setminus N_\alpha) \in \mathcal{A}'_\alpha$.
Moreover $|\text{dom}(c \setminus c_\alpha) \cap A| < d$.
- Thus $\omega \setminus I_c(A) \subset (\omega \setminus I_{c_\alpha}(A')) \cup (I_{c_\alpha}(A') \setminus I_c(A))$,
so $\omega \setminus I_c(A)$ is finite.

Proof: $[\lambda, \kappa, d] \rightarrow \omega$

$I_c(A) = \{\xi : |c^{-1}\{\xi\} \cap A| = 1\}$ c is **CF** iff $I_c(A) \neq \emptyset$

$(\star_{\kappa, \lambda}) \forall d$ -AD family $\mathcal{A} = \{A_\nu : \nu < \lambda\} \subset [\lambda]^\kappa$ there is $f : \lambda \rightarrow \omega$ s.t.
 $(\forall \nu < \lambda) c''A_\nu = \omega$ and $\omega \setminus I_c(A_\nu)$ is **finite**.

Case 1: $\lambda = \kappa = \omega$. Any injective function $c : \omega \rightarrow \omega$ works.

Case 3: $\lambda > \kappa$.

- Let $\langle N_\alpha : \alpha < \lambda \rangle$ be a λ -chain of models with $(\kappa + 1) \cup \{\mathcal{A}\} \subset N_0$.
- If $A \in \mathcal{A} \setminus N_\alpha$ then $|A \cap N_\alpha| < d$
- $\mathcal{A}'_\alpha = \{A \setminus N_\alpha : A \in N_{\alpha+1} \setminus N_\alpha\} \subset [(N_{\alpha+1} \setminus N_\alpha) \cap \lambda]^\kappa$ is d -AD.
- $\exists c_\alpha : (N_{\alpha+1} \setminus N_\alpha) \cap \lambda \rightarrow \omega$ s.t.
 $c''_\alpha A' = \omega$ and $\omega \setminus I_{c_\alpha}(A')$ is **finite** for all $A' \in \mathcal{A}'_\alpha$.
- $c = \cup\{c_\alpha : \alpha < \kappa\}$ **witnesses** $(\star_{\kappa, \lambda})$.
- Indeed, let $A \in \mathcal{A} \cap (N_{\alpha+1} \setminus N_\alpha)$. Then $A' = A \cap (N_{\alpha+1} \setminus N_\alpha) \in \mathcal{A}'_\alpha$.
Moreover $|\text{dom}(c \setminus c_\alpha) \cap A| < d$.
- Thus $\omega \setminus I_c(A) \subset (\omega \setminus I_{c_\alpha}(A')) \cup (I_{c_\alpha}(A') \setminus I_c(A))$,
so $\omega \setminus I_c(A)$ is finite.

Proof: $[\lambda, \kappa, d] \rightarrow \omega$

$I_c(A) = \{\xi : |c^{-1}\{\xi\} \cap A| = 1\}$ c is **CF** iff $I_c(A) \neq \emptyset$

$(\star_{\kappa, \lambda}) \forall d$ -AD family $\mathcal{A} = \{A_\nu : \nu < \lambda\} \subset [\lambda]^\kappa$ there is $f : \lambda \rightarrow \omega$ s.t.
 $(\forall \nu < \lambda) c''A_\nu = \omega$ and $\omega \setminus I_c(A_\nu)$ is finite.

Case 2: $\lambda = \kappa > \omega$.

Case 3: $\lambda > \kappa$.

- Let $\langle N_\alpha : \alpha < \lambda \rangle$ be a λ -chain of models with $(\kappa + 1) \cup \{\mathcal{A}\} \subset N_0$.
- If $A \in \mathcal{A} \setminus N_\alpha$ then $|A \cap N_\alpha| < d$
- $\mathcal{A}'_\alpha = \{A \setminus N_\alpha : A \in N_{\alpha+1} \setminus N_\alpha\} \subset [(N_{\alpha+1} \setminus N_\alpha) \cap \lambda]^\kappa$ is d -AD.
- $\exists c_\alpha : (N_{\alpha+1} \setminus N_\alpha) \cap \lambda \rightarrow \omega$ s.t.
 $c''_\alpha A' = \omega$ and $\omega \setminus I_{c_\alpha}(A')$ is finite for all $A' \in \mathcal{A}'_\alpha$.
- $c = \cup\{c_\alpha : \alpha < \kappa\}$ witnesses $(\star_{\kappa, \lambda})$.
- Indeed, let $A \in \mathcal{A} \cap (N_{\alpha+1} \setminus N_\alpha)$. Then $A' = A \cap (N_{\alpha+1} \setminus N_\alpha) \in \mathcal{A}'_\alpha$.
Moreover $|\text{dom}(c \setminus c_\alpha) \cap A| < d$.
- Thus $\omega \setminus I_c(A) \subset (\omega \setminus I_{c_\alpha}(A')) \cup (I_{c_\alpha}(A') \setminus I_c(A))$,
so $\omega \setminus I_c(A)$ is finite.

Proof: $[\lambda, \kappa, d] \rightarrow \omega$

$I_c(A) = \{\xi : |c^{-1}\{\xi\} \cap A| = 1\}$ c is **CF** iff $I_c(A) \neq \emptyset$

$(\star_{\kappa, \lambda}) \forall d$ -AD family $\mathcal{A} = \{A_\nu : \nu < \lambda\} \subset [\lambda]^\kappa$ there is $f : \lambda \rightarrow \omega$ s.t.
 $(\forall \nu < \lambda) c''A_\nu = \omega$ and $\omega \setminus I_c(A_\nu)$ is finite.

Case 3: $\lambda > \kappa$.

- Let $\langle N_\alpha : \alpha < \lambda \rangle$ be a λ -chain of models with $(\kappa + 1) \cup \{\mathcal{A}\} \subset N_0$.
- If $A \in \mathcal{A} \setminus N_\alpha$ then $|A \cap N_\alpha| < d$
- $\mathcal{A}'_\alpha = \{A \setminus N_\alpha : A \in N_{\alpha+1} \setminus N_\alpha\} \subset [(N_{\alpha+1} \setminus N_\alpha) \cap \lambda]^\kappa$ is d -AD.
- $\exists c_\alpha : (N_{\alpha+1} \setminus N_\alpha) \cap \lambda \rightarrow \omega$ s.t.
 $c''_\alpha A' = \omega$ and $\omega \setminus I_{c_\alpha}(A')$ is finite for all $A' \in \mathcal{A}'_\alpha$.
- $c = \cup\{c_\alpha : \alpha < \kappa\}$ witnesses $(\star_{\kappa, \lambda})$.
- Indeed, let $A \in \mathcal{A} \cap (N_{\alpha+1} \setminus N_\alpha)$. Then $A' = A \cap (N_{\alpha+1} \setminus N_\alpha) \in \mathcal{A}'_\alpha$.
Moreover $|\text{dom}(c \setminus c_\alpha) \cap A| < d$.
- Thus $\omega \setminus I_c(A) \subset (\omega \setminus I_{c_\alpha}(A')) \cup (I_{c_\alpha}(A') \setminus I_c(A))$,
so $\omega \setminus I_c(A)$ is finite.

Proof: $[\lambda, \kappa, d] \rightarrow \omega$

$I_c(A) = \{\xi : |c^{-1}\{\xi\} \cap A| = 1\}$ c is **CF** iff $I_c(A) \neq \emptyset$

$(\star_{\kappa, \lambda}) \forall d$ -AD family $\mathcal{A} = \{A_\nu : \nu < \lambda\} \subset [\lambda]^\kappa$ there is $f : \lambda \rightarrow \omega$ s.t.
 $(\forall \nu < \lambda) c''A_\nu = \omega$ and $\omega \setminus I_c(A_\nu)$ is finite.

Case 3: $\lambda > \kappa$.

- Let $\langle N_\alpha : \alpha < \lambda \rangle$ be a λ -chain of models with $(\kappa + 1) \cup \{\mathcal{A}\} \subset N_0$.
- If $A \in \mathcal{A} \setminus N_\alpha$ then $|A \cap N_\alpha| < d$
- $\mathcal{A}'_\alpha = \{A \setminus N_\alpha : A \in N_{\alpha+1} \setminus N_\alpha\} \subset [(N_{\alpha+1} \setminus N_\alpha) \cap \lambda]^\kappa$ is d -AD.
- $\exists c_\alpha : (N_{\alpha+1} \setminus N_\alpha) \cap \lambda \rightarrow \omega$ s.t.
 $c''_\alpha A' = \omega$ and $\omega \setminus I_{c_\alpha}(A')$ is finite for all $A' \in \mathcal{A}'_\alpha$.
- $c = \cup\{c_\alpha : \alpha < \kappa\}$ witnesses $(\star_{\kappa, \lambda})$.
- Indeed, let $A \in \mathcal{A} \cap (N_{\alpha+1} \setminus N_\alpha)$. Then $A' = A \cap (N_{\alpha+1} \setminus N_\alpha) \in \mathcal{A}'_\alpha$.
Moreover $|\text{dom}(c \setminus c_\alpha) \cap A| < d$.
- Thus $\omega \setminus I_c(A) \subset (\omega \setminus I_{c_\alpha}(A')) \cup (I_{c_\alpha}(A') \setminus I_c(A))$,
so $\omega \setminus I_c(A)$ is finite.

Proof: $[\lambda, \kappa, d] \rightarrow \omega$

$I_c(A) = \{\xi : |c^{-1}\{\xi\} \cap A| = 1\}$ c is **CF** iff $I_c(A) \neq \emptyset$

$(\star_{\kappa, \lambda}) \forall d$ -AD family $\mathcal{A} = \{A_\nu : \nu < \lambda\} \subset [\lambda]^\kappa$ there is $f : \lambda \rightarrow \omega$ s.t.
 $(\forall \nu < \lambda) c''A_\nu = \omega$ and $\omega \setminus I_c(A_\nu)$ is finite.

Case 3: $\lambda > \kappa$.

- Let $\langle N_\alpha : \alpha < \lambda \rangle$ be a λ -chain of models with $(\kappa + 1) \cup \{\mathcal{A}\} \subset N_0$.
- If $A \in \mathcal{A} \setminus N_\alpha$ then $|A \cap N_\alpha| < d$
- $\mathcal{A}'_\alpha = \{A \setminus N_\alpha : A \in N_{\alpha+1} \setminus N_\alpha\} \subset [(N_{\alpha+1} \setminus N_\alpha) \cap \lambda]^\kappa$ is d -AD.
- $\exists c_\alpha : (N_{\alpha+1} \setminus N_\alpha) \cap \lambda \rightarrow \omega$ s.t.
 $c''_\alpha A' = \omega$ and $\omega \setminus I_{c_\alpha}(A')$ is finite for all $A' \in \mathcal{A}'_\alpha$.
- $c = \cup\{c_\alpha : \alpha < \kappa\}$ witnesses $(\star_{\kappa, \lambda})$.
- Indeed, let $A \in \mathcal{A} \cap (N_{\alpha+1} \setminus N_\alpha)$. Then $A' = A \cap (N_{\alpha+1} \setminus N_\alpha) \in \mathcal{A}'_\alpha$.
Moreover $|\text{dom}(c \setminus c_\alpha) \cap A| < d$.
- Thus $\omega \setminus I_c(A) \subset (\omega \setminus I_{c_\alpha}(A')) \cup (I_{c_\alpha}(A') \setminus I_c(A))$,
so $\omega \setminus I_c(A)$ is finite.

Proof: $[\lambda, \kappa, d] \rightarrow \omega$

$I_c(A) = \{\xi : |c^{-1}\{\xi\} \cap A| = 1\}$ c is **CF** iff $I_c(A) \neq \emptyset$

$(\star_{\kappa, \lambda}) \forall d$ -AD family $\mathcal{A} = \{A_\nu : \nu < \lambda\} \subset [\lambda]^\kappa$ there is $f : \lambda \rightarrow \omega$ s.t.
 $(\forall \nu < \lambda) c''A_\nu = \omega$ and $\omega \setminus I_c(A_\nu)$ is finite.

Case 3: $\lambda > \kappa$.

- Let $\langle N_\alpha : \alpha < \lambda \rangle$ be a λ -chain of models with $(\kappa + 1) \cup \{\mathcal{A}\} \subset N_0$.
- If $A \in \mathcal{A} \setminus N_\alpha$ then $|A \cap N_\alpha| < d$
- $\mathcal{A}'_\alpha = \{A \setminus N_\alpha : A \in N_{\alpha+1} \setminus N_\alpha\} \subset [(N_{\alpha+1} \setminus N_\alpha) \cap \lambda]^\kappa$ is d -AD.
- $\exists c_\alpha : (N_{\alpha+1} \setminus N_\alpha) \cap \lambda \rightarrow \omega$ s.t.
 $c''_\alpha A' = \omega$ and $\omega \setminus I_{c_\alpha}(A')$ is finite for all $A' \in \mathcal{A}'_\alpha$.
- $c = \cup\{c_\alpha : \alpha < \kappa\}$ witnesses $(\star_{\kappa, \lambda})$.
- Indeed, let $A \in \mathcal{A} \cap (N_{\alpha+1} \setminus N_\alpha)$. Then $A' = A \cap (N_{\alpha+1} \setminus N_\alpha) \in \mathcal{A}'_\alpha$.
Moreover $|\text{dom}(c \setminus c_\alpha) \cap A| < d$.
- Thus $\omega \setminus I_c(A) \subset (\omega \setminus I_{c_\alpha}(A')) \cup (I_{c_\alpha}(A') \setminus I_c(A))$,
so $\omega \setminus I_c(A)$ is finite.

Proof: $[\lambda, \kappa, d] \rightarrow \omega$

$I_c(A) = \{\xi : |c^{-1}\{\xi\} \cap A| = 1\}$ c is **CF** iff $I_c(A) \neq \emptyset$

$(\star_{\kappa, \lambda}) \forall d$ -AD family $\mathcal{A} = \{A_\nu : \nu < \lambda\} \subset [\lambda]^\kappa$ there is $f : \lambda \rightarrow \omega$ s.t.
 $(\forall \nu < \lambda) c''A_\nu = \omega$ and $\omega \setminus I_c(A_\nu)$ is finite.

Case 3: $\lambda > \kappa$.

- Let $\langle N_\alpha : \alpha < \lambda \rangle$ be a λ -chain of models with $(\kappa + 1) \cup \{\mathcal{A}\} \subset N_0$.
- If $A \in \mathcal{A} \setminus N_\alpha$ then $|A \cap N_\alpha| < d$
- $\mathcal{A}'_\alpha = \{A \setminus N_\alpha : A \in N_{\alpha+1} \setminus N_\alpha\} \subset [(N_{\alpha+1} \setminus N_\alpha) \cap \lambda]^\kappa$ is d -AD.
- $\exists c_\alpha : (N_{\alpha+1} \setminus N_\alpha) \cap \lambda \rightarrow \omega$ s.t.
 $c''_\alpha A' = \omega$ and $\omega \setminus I_{c_\alpha}(A')$ is finite for all $A' \in \mathcal{A}'_\alpha$.
- $c = \cup \{c_\alpha : \alpha < \kappa\}$ witnesses $(\star_{\kappa, \lambda})$.
- Indeed, let $A \in \mathcal{A} \cap (N_{\alpha+1} \setminus N_\alpha)$. Then $A' = A \cap (N_{\alpha+1} \setminus N_\alpha) \in \mathcal{A}'_\alpha$.
Moreover $|\text{dom}(c \setminus c_\alpha) \cap A| < d$.
- Thus $\omega \setminus I_c(A) \subset (\omega \setminus I_{c_\alpha}(A')) \cup (I_{c_\alpha}(A') \setminus I_c(A))$,
so $\omega \setminus I_c(A)$ is finite.

Proof: $[\lambda, \kappa, d] \rightarrow \omega$

$I_c(A) = \{\xi : |c^{-1}\{\xi\} \cap A| = 1\}$ c is **CF** iff $I_c(A) \neq \emptyset$

$(\star_{\kappa, \lambda}) \forall d$ -AD family $\mathcal{A} = \{A_\nu : \nu < \lambda\} \subset [\lambda]^\kappa$ there is $f : \lambda \rightarrow \omega$ s.t.
 $(\forall \nu < \lambda) c''A_\nu = \omega$ and $\omega \setminus I_c(A_\nu)$ is finite.

Case 3: $\lambda > \kappa$.

- Let $\langle N_\alpha : \alpha < \lambda \rangle$ be a λ -chain of models with $(\kappa + 1) \cup \{\mathcal{A}\} \subset N_0$.
- If $A \in \mathcal{A} \setminus N_\alpha$ then $|A \cap N_\alpha| < d$
- $\mathcal{A}'_\alpha = \{A \setminus N_\alpha : A \in N_{\alpha+1} \setminus N_\alpha\} \subset [(N_{\alpha+1} \setminus N_\alpha) \cap \lambda]^\kappa$ is d -AD.
- $\exists c_\alpha : (N_{\alpha+1} \setminus N_\alpha) \cap \lambda \rightarrow \omega$ s.t.
 $c''_\alpha A' = \omega$ and $\omega \setminus I_{c_\alpha}(A')$ is finite for all $A' \in \mathcal{A}'_\alpha$.
- $c = \cup \{c_\alpha : \alpha < \kappa\}$ witnesses $(\star_{\kappa, \lambda})$.
- Indeed, let $A \in \mathcal{A} \cap (N_{\alpha+1} \setminus N_\alpha)$. Then $A' = A \cap (N_{\alpha+1} \setminus N_\alpha) \in \mathcal{A}'_\alpha$.
Moreover $|\text{dom}(c \setminus c_\alpha) \cap A| < d$.
- Thus $\omega \setminus I_c(A) \subset (\omega \setminus I_{c_\alpha}(A')) \cup (I_{c_\alpha}(A') \setminus I_c(A))$,
so $\omega \setminus I_c(A)$ is finite.

Proof: $[\lambda, \kappa, d] \rightarrow \omega$

$I_c(A) = \{\xi : |c^{-1}\{\xi\} \cap A| = 1\}$ c is **CF** iff $I_c(A) \neq \emptyset$

$(\star_{\kappa, \lambda}) \forall d$ -AD family $\mathcal{A} = \{A_\nu : \nu < \lambda\} \subset [\lambda]^\kappa$ there is $f : \lambda \rightarrow \omega$ s.t.
 $(\forall \nu < \lambda) c''A_\nu = \omega$ and $\omega \setminus I_c(A_\nu)$ is finite.

Case 3: $\lambda > \kappa$.

- Let $\langle N_\alpha : \alpha < \lambda \rangle$ be a λ -chain of models with $(\kappa + 1) \cup \{\mathcal{A}\} \subset N_0$.
- If $A \in \mathcal{A} \setminus N_\alpha$ then $|A \cap N_\alpha| < d$
- $\mathcal{A}'_\alpha = \{A \setminus N_\alpha : A \in N_{\alpha+1} \setminus N_\alpha\} \subset [(N_{\alpha+1} \setminus N_\alpha) \cap \lambda]^\kappa$ is d -AD.
- $\exists c_\alpha : (N_{\alpha+1} \setminus N_\alpha) \cap \lambda \rightarrow \omega$ s.t.
 $c''_\alpha A' = \omega$ and $\omega \setminus I_{c_\alpha}(A')$ is finite for all $A' \in \mathcal{A}'_\alpha$.
- $c = \cup\{c_\alpha : \alpha < \kappa\}$ witnesses $(\star_{\kappa, \lambda})$.
- Indeed, let $A \in \mathcal{A} \cap (N_{\alpha+1} \setminus N_\alpha)$. Then $A' = A \cap (N_{\alpha+1} \setminus N_\alpha) \in \mathcal{A}'_\alpha$.
Moreover $|\text{dom}(c \setminus c_\alpha) \cap A| < d$.
- Thus $\omega \setminus I_c(A) \subset (\omega \setminus I_{c_\alpha}(A')) \cup (I_{c_\alpha}(A') \setminus I_c(A))$,
so $\omega \setminus I_c(A)$ is finite.

Proof: $[\lambda, \kappa, d] \rightarrow \omega$

$I_c(A) = \{\xi : |c^{-1}\{\xi\} \cap A| = 1\}$ c is **CF** iff $I_c(A) \neq \emptyset$

$(\star_{\kappa, \lambda}) \forall d$ -AD family $\mathcal{A} = \{A_\nu : \nu < \lambda\} \subset [\lambda]^\kappa$ there is $f : \lambda \rightarrow \omega$ s.t.
 $(\forall \nu < \lambda) c''A_\nu = \omega$ and $\omega \setminus I_c(A_\nu)$ is finite.

Case 3: $\lambda > \kappa$.

- Let $\langle N_\alpha : \alpha < \lambda \rangle$ be a λ -chain of models with $(\kappa + 1) \cup \{\mathcal{A}\} \subset N_0$.
- If $A \in \mathcal{A} \setminus N_\alpha$ then $|A \cap N_\alpha| < d$
- $\mathcal{A}'_\alpha = \{A \setminus N_\alpha : A \in N_{\alpha+1} \setminus N_\alpha\} \subset [(N_{\alpha+1} \setminus N_\alpha) \cap \lambda]^\kappa$ is d -AD.
- $\exists c_\alpha : (N_{\alpha+1} \setminus N_\alpha) \cap \lambda \rightarrow \omega$ s.t.
 $c''_\alpha A' = \omega$ and $\omega \setminus I_{c_\alpha}(A')$ is finite for all $A' \in \mathcal{A}'_\alpha$.
- $c = \cup\{c_\alpha : \alpha < \kappa\}$ witnesses $(\star_{\kappa, \lambda})$.
- Indeed, let $A \in \mathcal{A} \cap (N_{\alpha+1} \setminus N_\alpha)$. Then $A' = A \cap (N_{\alpha+1} \setminus N_\alpha) \in \mathcal{A}'_\alpha$.
Moreover $|\text{dom}(c \setminus c_\alpha) \cap A| < d$.
- Thus $\omega \setminus I_c(A) \subset (\omega \setminus I_{c_\alpha}(A')) \cup (I_{c_\alpha}(A') \setminus I_c(A))$,
so $\omega \setminus I_c(A)$ is finite.

Proof: $[\lambda, \kappa, d] \rightarrow \omega$

$I_c(A) = \{\xi : |c^{-1}\{\xi\} \cap A| = 1\}$ c is **CF** iff $I_c(A) \neq \emptyset$

$(\star_{\kappa, \lambda}) \forall d$ -AD family $\mathcal{A} = \{A_\nu : \nu < \lambda\} \subset [\lambda]^\kappa$ there is $f : \lambda \rightarrow \omega$ s.t.
 $(\forall \nu < \lambda) c''A_\nu = \omega$ and $\omega \setminus I_c(A_\nu)$ is finite.

Case 3: $\lambda > \kappa$.

- Let $\langle N_\alpha : \alpha < \lambda \rangle$ be a λ -chain of models with $(\kappa + 1) \cup \{\mathcal{A}\} \subset N_0$.
- If $A \in \mathcal{A} \setminus N_\alpha$ then $|A \cap N_\alpha| < d$
- $\mathcal{A}'_\alpha = \{A \setminus N_\alpha : A \in N_{\alpha+1} \setminus N_\alpha\} \subset [(N_{\alpha+1} \setminus N_\alpha) \cap \lambda]^\kappa$ is d -AD.
- $\exists c_\alpha : (N_{\alpha+1} \setminus N_\alpha) \cap \lambda \rightarrow \omega$ s.t.
 $c''_\alpha A' = \omega$ and $\omega \setminus I_{c_\alpha}(A')$ is finite for all $A' \in \mathcal{A}'_\alpha$.
- $c = \cup\{c_\alpha : \alpha < \kappa\}$ witnesses $(\star_{\kappa, \lambda})$.
- Indeed, let $A \in \mathcal{A} \cap (N_{\alpha+1} \setminus N_\alpha)$. Then $A' = A \cap (N_{\alpha+1} \setminus N_\alpha) \in \mathcal{A}'_\alpha$.
 $c''A \supset c''_\alpha A' = \omega$. Moreover $|\text{dom}(c \setminus c_\alpha) \cap A| < d$.
- Thus $\omega \setminus I_c(A) \subset (\omega \setminus I_{c_\alpha}(A')) \cup (I_{c_\alpha}(A') \setminus I_c(A))$,
so $\omega \setminus I_c(A)$ is finite.

Proof: $[\lambda, \kappa, d] \rightarrow \omega$

$I_c(A) = \{\xi : |c^{-1}\{\xi\} \cap A| = 1\}$ c is **CF** iff $I_c(A) \neq \emptyset$

$(\star_{\kappa, \lambda}) \forall d$ -AD family $\mathcal{A} = \{A_\nu : \nu < \lambda\} \subset [\lambda]^\kappa$ there is $f : \lambda \rightarrow \omega$ s.t.
 $(\forall \nu < \lambda) c''A_\nu = \omega$ and $\omega \setminus I_c(A_\nu)$ is finite.

Case 3: $\lambda > \kappa$.

- Let $\langle N_\alpha : \alpha < \lambda \rangle$ be a λ -chain of models with $(\kappa + 1) \cup \{\mathcal{A}\} \subset N_0$.
- If $A \in \mathcal{A} \setminus N_\alpha$ then $|A \cap N_\alpha| < d$
- $\mathcal{A}'_\alpha = \{A \setminus N_\alpha : A \in N_{\alpha+1} \setminus N_\alpha\} \subset [(N_{\alpha+1} \setminus N_\alpha) \cap \lambda]^\kappa$ is d -AD.
- $\exists c_\alpha : (N_{\alpha+1} \setminus N_\alpha) \cap \lambda \rightarrow \omega$ s.t.
 $c''_\alpha A' = \omega$ and $\omega \setminus I_{c_\alpha}(A')$ is finite for all $A' \in \mathcal{A}'_\alpha$.
- $c = \cup\{c_\alpha : \alpha < \kappa\}$ witnesses $(\star_{\kappa, \lambda})$.
- Indeed, let $A \in \mathcal{A} \cap (N_{\alpha+1} \setminus N_\alpha)$. Then $A' = A \cap (N_{\alpha+1} \setminus N_\alpha) \in \mathcal{A}'_\alpha$.
 $c''A \supset c''_\alpha A' = \omega$. Moreover $|\text{dom}(c \setminus c_\alpha) \cap A| < d$.
- Thus $\omega \setminus I_c(A) \subset (\omega \setminus I_{c_\alpha}(A')) \cup (I_{c_\alpha}(A') \setminus I_c(A))$,
so $\omega \setminus I_c(A)$ is finite.

Proof: $[\lambda, \kappa, d] \rightarrow \omega$

$I_c(A) = \{\xi : |c^{-1}\{\xi\} \cap A| = 1\}$ c is **CF** iff $I_c(A) \neq \emptyset$

$(\star_{\kappa, \lambda}) \forall d$ -AD family $\mathcal{A} = \{A_\nu : \nu < \lambda\} \subset [\lambda]^\kappa$ there is $f : \lambda \rightarrow \omega$ s.t.
 $(\forall \nu < \lambda) c''A_\nu = \omega$ and $\omega \setminus I_c(A_\nu)$ is finite.

Case 3: $\lambda > \kappa$.

- Let $\langle N_\alpha : \alpha < \lambda \rangle$ be a λ -chain of models with $(\kappa + 1) \cup \{\mathcal{A}\} \subset N_0$.
- If $A \in \mathcal{A} \setminus N_\alpha$ then $|A \cap N_\alpha| < d$
- $\mathcal{A}'_\alpha = \{A \setminus N_\alpha : A \in N_{\alpha+1} \setminus N_\alpha\} \subset [(N_{\alpha+1} \setminus N_\alpha) \cap \lambda]^\kappa$ is d -AD.
- $\exists c_\alpha : (N_{\alpha+1} \setminus N_\alpha) \cap \lambda \rightarrow \omega$ s.t.
 $c''_\alpha A' = \omega$ and $\omega \setminus I_{c_\alpha}(A')$ is finite for all $A' \in \mathcal{A}'_\alpha$.
- $c = \cup\{c_\alpha : \alpha < \kappa\}$ witnesses $(\star_{\kappa, \lambda})$.
- Indeed, let $A \in \mathcal{A} \cap (N_{\alpha+1} \setminus N_\alpha)$. Then $A' = A \cap (N_{\alpha+1} \setminus N_\alpha) \in \mathcal{A}'_\alpha$.
 $c''A \supset c''_\alpha A' = \omega$. Moreover $|\text{dom}(c \setminus c_\alpha) \cap A| < d$.
- Thus $\omega \setminus I_c(A) \subset (\omega \setminus I_{c_\alpha}(A')) \cup (I_{c_\alpha}(A') \setminus I_c(A))$,
so $\omega \setminus I_c(A)$ is finite.

Proof: $[\lambda, \kappa, d] \rightarrow \omega$

$I_c(A) = \{\xi : |c^{-1}\{\xi\} \cap A| = 1\}$ c is **CF** iff $I_c(A) \neq \emptyset$

$(\star_{\kappa, \lambda}) \forall d$ -AD family $\mathcal{A} = \{A_\nu : \nu < \lambda\} \subset [\lambda]^\kappa$ there is $f : \lambda \rightarrow \omega$ s.t.
 $(\forall \nu < \lambda) c''A_\nu = \omega$ and $\omega \setminus I_c(A_\nu)$ is finite.

Case 3: $\lambda > \kappa$.

- Let $\langle N_\alpha : \alpha < \lambda \rangle$ be a λ -chain of models with $(\kappa + 1) \cup \{\mathcal{A}\} \subset N_0$.
- If $A \in \mathcal{A} \setminus N_\alpha$ then $|A \cap N_\alpha| < d$
- $\mathcal{A}'_\alpha = \{A \setminus N_\alpha : A \in N_{\alpha+1} \setminus N_\alpha\} \subset [(N_{\alpha+1} \setminus N_\alpha) \cap \lambda]^\kappa$ is d -AD.
- $\exists c_\alpha : (N_{\alpha+1} \setminus N_\alpha) \cap \lambda \rightarrow \omega$ s.t.
 $c''_\alpha A' = \omega$ and $\omega \setminus I_{c_\alpha}(A')$ is finite for all $A' \in \mathcal{A}'_\alpha$.
- $c = \cup\{c_\alpha : \alpha < \kappa\}$ witnesses $(\star_{\kappa, \lambda})$.
- Indeed, let $A \in \mathcal{A} \cap (N_{\alpha+1} \setminus N_\alpha)$. Then $A' = A \cap (N_{\alpha+1} \setminus N_\alpha) \in \mathcal{A}'_\alpha$.
 $c''A \supset c''_\alpha A' = \omega$. Moreover $|\text{dom}(c \setminus c_\alpha) \cap A| < d$.
- Thus $\omega \setminus I_c(A) \subset (\omega \setminus I_{c_\alpha}(A')) \cup (I_{c_\alpha}(A') \setminus I_c(A))$,
so $\omega \setminus I_c(A)$ is finite.

Proof: $[\lambda, \kappa, d] \rightarrow \omega$

$I_c(A) = \{\xi : |c^{-1}\{\xi\} \cap A| = 1\}$ c is **CF** iff $I_c(A) \neq \emptyset$

$(\star_{\kappa, \lambda}) \forall d$ -AD family $\mathcal{A} = \{A_\nu : \nu < \lambda\} \subset [\lambda]^\kappa$ there is $f : \lambda \rightarrow \omega$ s.t.
 $(\forall \nu < \lambda) c''A_\nu = \omega$ and $\omega \setminus I_c(A_\nu)$ is finite.

Case 3: $\lambda > \kappa$.

- Let $\langle N_\alpha : \alpha < \lambda \rangle$ be a λ -chain of models with $(\kappa + 1) \cup \{\mathcal{A}\} \subset N_0$.
- If $A \in \mathcal{A} \setminus N_\alpha$ then $|A \cap N_\alpha| < d$
- $\mathcal{A}'_\alpha = \{A \setminus N_\alpha : A \in N_{\alpha+1} \setminus N_\alpha\} \subset [(N_{\alpha+1} \setminus N_\alpha) \cap \lambda]^\kappa$ is d -AD.
- $\exists c_\alpha : (N_{\alpha+1} \setminus N_\alpha) \cap \lambda \rightarrow \omega$ s.t.
 $c''_\alpha A' = \omega$ and $\omega \setminus I_{c_\alpha}(A')$ is finite for all $A' \in \mathcal{A}'_\alpha$.
- $c = \cup \{c_\alpha : \alpha < \kappa\}$ witnesses $(\star_{\kappa, \lambda})$.
- Indeed, let $A \in \mathcal{A} \cap (N_{\alpha+1} \setminus N_\alpha)$. Then $A' = A \cap (N_{\alpha+1} \setminus N_\alpha) \in \mathcal{A}'_\alpha$.
 $c''A \supset c''_\alpha A' = \omega$. Moreover $|\text{dom}(c \setminus c_\alpha) \cap A| < d$.
- Thus $\omega \setminus I_c(A) \subset (\omega \setminus I_{c_\alpha}(A')) \cup (I_{c_\alpha}(A') \setminus I_c(A))$,
so $\omega \setminus I_c(A)$ is finite.

Proof: $[\lambda, \kappa, d] \rightarrow \omega$

$I_c(A) = \{\xi : |c^{-1}\{\xi\} \cap A| = 1\}$ c is **CF** iff $I_c(A) \neq \emptyset$

$(\star_{\kappa, \lambda}) \forall d$ -AD family $\mathcal{A} = \{A_\nu : \nu < \lambda\} \subset [\lambda]^\kappa$ there is $f : \lambda \rightarrow \omega$ s.t.
 $(\forall \nu < \lambda) c''A_\nu = \omega$ and $\omega \setminus I_c(A_\nu)$ is finite.

Case 3: $\lambda > \kappa$.

- Let $\langle N_\alpha : \alpha < \lambda \rangle$ be a λ -chain of models with $(\kappa + 1) \cup \{\mathcal{A}\} \subset N_0$.
- If $A \in \mathcal{A} \setminus N_\alpha$ then $|A \cap N_\alpha| < d$
- $\mathcal{A}'_\alpha = \{A \setminus N_\alpha : A \in N_{\alpha+1} \setminus N_\alpha\} \subset [(N_{\alpha+1} \setminus N_\alpha) \cap \lambda]^\kappa$ is d -AD.
- $\exists c_\alpha : (N_{\alpha+1} \setminus N_\alpha) \cap \lambda \rightarrow \omega$ s.t.
 $c''_\alpha A' = \omega$ and $\omega \setminus I_{c_\alpha}(A')$ is finite for all $A' \in \mathcal{A}'_\alpha$.
- $c = \cup\{c_\alpha : \alpha < \kappa\}$ witnesses $(\star_{\kappa, \lambda})$.
- Indeed, let $A \in \mathcal{A} \cap (N_{\alpha+1} \setminus N_\alpha)$. Then $A' = A \cap (N_{\alpha+1} \setminus N_\alpha) \in \mathcal{A}'_\alpha$.
 $c''A \supset c''_\alpha A' = \omega$. Moreover $|\text{dom}(c \setminus c_\alpha) \cap A| < d$.
- Thus $\omega \setminus I_c(A) \subset (\omega \setminus I_{c_\alpha}(A')) \cup (I_{c_\alpha}(A') \setminus I_c(A))$,
so $\omega \setminus I_c(A)$ is finite.

Proof: $[\lambda, \kappa, d] \rightarrow \omega$

$I_c(A) = \{\xi : |c^{-1}\{\xi\} \cap A| = 1\}$ c is **CF** iff $I_c(A) \neq \emptyset$

$(\star_{\kappa, \lambda}) \forall d$ -AD family $\mathcal{A} = \{A_\nu : \nu < \lambda\} \subset [\lambda]^\kappa$ there is $f : \lambda \rightarrow \omega$ s.t.
 $(\forall \nu < \lambda) c''A_\nu = \omega$ and $\omega \setminus I_c(A_\nu)$ is finite.

Case 3: $\lambda > \kappa$.

- Let $\langle N_\alpha : \alpha < \lambda \rangle$ be a λ -chain of models with $(\kappa + 1) \cup \{\mathcal{A}\} \subset N_0$.
- If $A \in \mathcal{A} \setminus N_\alpha$ then $|A \cap N_\alpha| < d$
- $\mathcal{A}'_\alpha = \{A \setminus N_\alpha : A \in N_{\alpha+1} \setminus N_\alpha\} \subset [(N_{\alpha+1} \setminus N_\alpha) \cap \lambda]^\kappa$ is d -AD.
- $\exists c_\alpha : (N_{\alpha+1} \setminus N_\alpha) \cap \lambda \rightarrow \omega$ s.t.
 $c''_\alpha A' = \omega$ and $\omega \setminus I_{c_\alpha}(A')$ is finite for all $A' \in \mathcal{A}'_\alpha$.
- $c = \cup\{c_\alpha : \alpha < \kappa\}$ witnesses $(\star_{\kappa, \lambda})$.
- Indeed, let $A \in \mathcal{A} \cap (N_{\alpha+1} \setminus N_\alpha)$. Then $A' = A \cap (N_{\alpha+1} \setminus N_\alpha) \in \mathcal{A}'_\alpha$.
 $c''A \supset c''_\alpha A' = \omega$. Moreover $|\text{dom}(c \setminus c_\alpha) \cap A| < d$.
- Thus $\omega \setminus I_c(A) \subset (\omega \setminus I_{c_\alpha}(A')) \cup (I_{c_\alpha}(A') \setminus I_c(A))$,
so $\omega \setminus I_c(A)$ is finite.

- **Do we really need ω colors?**
- **Special case:** consider the 2-dimensional vector space V above \mathbb{Q} , and let \mathcal{E} be the family of the lines of V .
- $\chi_{\text{CF}}(\mathcal{E}) = 3$,
- if $\mathcal{A} \subset [\omega]^\omega$ is 2-almost-disjoint then $\chi_{\text{CF}}(\mathcal{A}) \leq 3$.
- if $\mathcal{A} \subset [\omega_1]^\omega$ is 2-almost-disjoint then $\chi_{\text{CF}}(\mathcal{A}) \leq 3$.

- **Do we really need ω colors?**
- **Special case:** consider the 2-dimensional vector space V above \mathbb{Q} , and let \mathcal{E} be the family of the lines of V .
- $\chi_{\text{CF}}(\mathcal{E}) = 3$,
- if $\mathcal{A} \subset [\omega]^\omega$ is 2-almost-disjoint then $\chi_{\text{CF}}(\mathcal{A}) \leq 3$.
- if $\mathcal{A} \subset [\omega_1]^\omega$ is 2-almost-disjoint then $\chi_{\text{CF}}(\mathcal{A}) \leq 3$.

- **Do we really need ω colors?**
- **Special case:** consider the 2-dimensional vector space V above \mathbb{Q} , and let \mathcal{E} be the family of the lines of V .
- $\chi_{\text{CF}}(\mathcal{E}) = 3$,
- if $\mathcal{A} \subset [\omega]^\omega$ is 2-almost-disjoint then $\chi_{\text{CF}}(\mathcal{A}) \leq 3$.
- if $\mathcal{A} \subset [\omega_1]^\omega$ is 2-almost-disjoint then $\chi_{\text{CF}}(\mathcal{A}) \leq 3$.

- **Do we really need ω colors?**
- **Special case:** consider the 2-dimensional vector space V above \mathbb{Q} , and let \mathcal{E} be the family of the lines of V .
- $\chi_{\text{CF}}(\mathcal{E}) = 3$,
- if $\mathcal{A} \subset [\omega]^\omega$ is 2-almost-disjoint then $\chi_{\text{CF}}(\mathcal{A}) \leq 3$.
- if $\mathcal{A} \subset [\omega_1]^\omega$ is 2-almost-disjoint then $\chi_{\text{CF}}(\mathcal{A}) \leq 3$.

- **Do we really need ω colors?**
- **Special case:** consider the 2-dimensional vector space V above \mathbb{Q} , and let \mathcal{E} be the family of the lines of V .
- $\chi_{\text{CF}}(\mathcal{E}) = 3$,
- if $\mathcal{A} \subset [\omega]^\omega$ is 2-almost-disjoint then $\chi_{\text{CF}}(\mathcal{A}) \leq 3$.
- if $\mathcal{A} \subset [\omega_1]^\omega$ is 2-almost-disjoint then $\chi_{\text{CF}}(\mathcal{A}) \leq 3$.

- **Do we really need ω colors?**
- **Special case:** consider the 2-dimensional vector space V above \mathbb{Q} , and let \mathcal{E} be the family of the lines of V .
- $\chi_{\text{CF}}(\mathcal{E}) = 3$,
- if $\mathcal{A} \subset [\omega]^\omega$ is 2-almost-disjoint then $\chi_{\text{CF}}(\mathcal{A}) \leq 3$.
- if $\mathcal{A} \subset [\omega_1]^\omega$ is 2-almost-disjoint then $\chi_{\text{CF}}(\mathcal{A}) \leq 3$.

- **Do we really need ω colors?**
- **Special case:** consider the 2-dimensional vector space V above \mathbb{Q} , and let \mathcal{E} be the family of the lines of V .
- $\chi_{\text{CF}}(\mathcal{E}) = 3$,
- if $\mathcal{A} \subset [\omega]^\omega$ is 2-almost-disjoint then $\chi_{\text{CF}}(\mathcal{A}) \leq 3$.
- if $\mathcal{A} \subset [\omega_1]^\omega$ is 2-almost-disjoint then $\chi_{\text{CF}}(\mathcal{A}) \leq 3$.

- **Do we really need ω colors?**
- **Special case:** consider the 2-dimensional vector space V above \mathbb{Q} , and let \mathcal{E} be the family of the lines of V .
- $\chi_{\text{CF}}(\mathcal{E}) = 3$,
- if $\mathcal{A} \subset [\omega]^\omega$ is 2-almost-disjoint then $\chi_{\text{CF}}(\mathcal{A}) \leq 3$.
- if $\mathcal{A} \subset [\omega_1]^\omega$ is 2-almost-disjoint then $\chi_{\text{CF}}(\mathcal{A}) \leq 3$.

Theorem

If κ is an infinite cardinal, m, d are natural numbers, then

$$[\kappa^{+m}, \kappa, d] \rightarrow_w \left\lfloor \frac{(m+1)(d-1)+1}{2} \right\rfloor + 1.$$

- $[\omega_1, \omega, 2] \rightarrow_w 2$.
- $[\omega_3, \omega, 2] \rightarrow_w 3$ and $[\omega_4, \omega, 2] \rightarrow_w 3$

Theorem

If κ is an infinite cardinal, m, d are natural numbers, then

$$[\kappa^{+m}, \kappa, d] \rightarrow_w \left\lfloor \frac{(m+1)(d-1)+1}{2} \right\rfloor + 1.$$

- $[\omega_1, \omega, 2] \rightarrow_w 2$.
- $[\omega_3, \omega, 2] \rightarrow_w 3$ and $[\omega_4, \omega, 2] \rightarrow_w 3$

Theorem

If κ is an infinite cardinal, m, d are natural numbers, then

$$[\kappa^{+m}, \kappa, d] \rightarrow_w \left\lfloor \frac{(m+1)(d-1)+1}{2} \right\rfloor + 1.$$

- $[\omega_1, \omega, 2] \rightarrow_w 2$.
- $[\omega_3, \omega, 2] \rightarrow_w 3$ and $[\omega_4, \omega, 2] \rightarrow_w 3$

Theorem

If κ is an infinite cardinal, m, d are natural numbers, then

$$[\kappa^{+m}, \kappa, d] \rightarrow_w \left\lfloor \frac{(m+1)(d-1)+1}{2} \right\rfloor + 1.$$

- $[\omega_1, \omega, 2] \rightarrow_w 2$.
- $[\omega_3, \omega, 2] \rightarrow_w 3$ and $[\omega_4, \omega, 2] \rightarrow_w 3$

Theorem

If κ is an infinite cardinal, m, d are natural numbers, then

$$[\kappa^{+m}, \kappa, d] \rightarrow_w \left\lfloor \frac{(m+1)(d-1)+1}{2} \right\rfloor + 1.$$

- $[\omega_1, \omega, 2] \rightarrow_w 2$.
- $[\omega_3, \omega, 2] \rightarrow_w 3$ and $[\omega_4, \omega, 2] \rightarrow_w 3$

Theorem

If κ is an infinite cardinal, m, d are natural numbers, then

$$[\kappa^{+m}, \kappa, d] \rightarrow_w \left\lfloor \frac{(m+1)(d-1)+1}{2} \right\rfloor + 1.$$

Theorem

If GCH holds, and if $d = 2$ or d is odd then the result above is sharp for each κ and m .

- Do we really need ω colors?

Theorem

Yes, we need: $[\beth_\omega, \omega, 2] \not\rightarrow_w m$ for $m < \omega$.

Theorem

If κ is an infinite cardinal, m, d are natural numbers, then

$$[\kappa^{+m}, \kappa, d] \rightarrow_w \left\lfloor \frac{(m+1)(d-1)+1}{2} \right\rfloor + 1.$$

Theorem

If GCH holds, and if $d = 2$ or d is odd then the result above is sharp for each κ and m .

- Do we really need ω colors?

Theorem

Yes, we need: $[\beth_\omega, \omega, 2] \not\rightarrow_w m$ for $m < \omega$.

Theorem

If κ is an infinite cardinal, m, d are natural numbers, then

$$[\kappa^{+m}, \kappa, d] \rightarrow_w \left\lfloor \frac{(m+1)(d-1)+1}{2} \right\rfloor + 1.$$

Theorem

If GCH holds, and if $d = 2$ or d is odd then the result above is sharp for each κ and m .

- Do we really need ω colors?

Theorem

Yes, we need: $[\beth_\omega, \omega, 2] \not\rightarrow_w m$ for $m < \omega$.

Theorem

If κ is an infinite cardinal, m, d are natural numbers, then

$$[\kappa^{+m}, \kappa, d] \rightarrow_w \left\lfloor \frac{(m+1)(d-1)+1}{2} \right\rfloor + 1.$$

Theorem

If GCH holds, and if $d = 2$ or d is odd then the result above is sharp for each κ and m .

- Do we really need ω colors?

Theorem

Yes, we need: $[\beth_\omega, \omega, 2] \not\rightarrow_w m$ for $m < \omega$.

Determine χ_{CF}

- $\chi_{CF}([\lambda, \omega, 2]) = \min\{\rho : [\lambda, \omega, 2] \rightarrow \rho\}$
- $w\chi_{CF}([\lambda, \omega, 2]) = \min\{\rho : [\lambda, \omega, 2] \rightarrow_w \rho\}$
- $w\chi_{CF}([\omega_m, \omega, 2]) = \lfloor m/2 \rfloor + 2$;
- $\lfloor m/2 \rfloor + 2 \leq \chi_{CF}([\omega_m, \omega, 2]) \leq \lfloor m/2 \rfloor + 3$.
- Easy $\chi_{CF}([\omega, \omega, 2]) = \chi_{CF}([\omega_1, \omega, 2]) = 3$
- **Open:** **GCH** $\vdash \chi_{CF}([\omega_2, \omega, 2]) = 4$
- **GCH implies** $\chi_{CF}([\omega_3, \omega, 2]) = 4$
- **Question:** Assume that f is a function, $\text{dom}(f) \subset \mathbb{Q}^2$, $\text{ran}(f) \subset 3$, $\text{dom}(f)$ does not contain 3 collinear points. Is there a function $g : \mathbb{Q}^2 \rightarrow 3$ such that $g \supset f$ and g is a CF-coloring for the lines?

Determine χ_{CF}

- $\chi_{CF}([\lambda, \omega, 2]) = \min\{\rho : [\lambda, \omega, 2] \rightarrow \rho\}$
- $w\chi_{CF}([\lambda, \omega, 2]) = \min\{\rho : [\lambda, \omega, 2] \rightarrow_w \rho\}$
- $w\chi_{CF}([\omega_m, \omega, 2]) = \lfloor m/2 \rfloor + 2$;
- $\lfloor m/2 \rfloor + 2 \leq \chi_{CF}([\omega_m, \omega, 2]) \leq \lfloor m/2 \rfloor + 3$.
- Easy $\chi_{CF}([\omega, \omega, 2]) = \chi_{CF}([\omega_1, \omega, 2]) = 3$
- **Open:** **GCH** $\vdash \chi_{CF}([\omega_2, \omega, 2]) = 4$
- **GCH implies** $\chi_{CF}([\omega_3, \omega, 2]) = 4$
- **Question:** Assume that f is a function, $\text{dom}(f) \subset \mathbb{Q}^2$, $\text{ran}(f) \subset 3$, $\text{dom}(f)$ does not contain 3 collinear points. Is there a function $g : \mathbb{Q}^2 \rightarrow 3$ such that $g \supset f$ and g is a CF-coloring for the lines?

Determine χ_{CF}

- $\chi_{CF}([\lambda, \omega, 2]) = \min\{\rho : [\lambda, \omega, 2] \rightarrow \rho\}$
- $w\chi_{CF}([\lambda, \omega, 2]) = \min\{\rho : [\lambda, \omega, 2] \rightarrow_w \rho\}$
- $w\chi_{CF}([\omega_m, \omega, 2]) = \lfloor m/2 \rfloor + 2;$
- $\lfloor m/2 \rfloor + 2 \leq \chi_{CF}([\omega_m, \omega, 2]) \leq \lfloor m/2 \rfloor + 3.$
- Easy $\chi_{CF}([\omega, \omega, 2]) = \chi_{CF}([\omega_1, \omega, 2]) = 3$
- **Open:** **GCH** $\vdash \chi_{CF}([\omega_2, \omega, 2]) = 4$
- **GCH implies** $\chi_{CF}([\omega_3, \omega, 2]) = 4$
- **Question:** Assume that f is a function, $\text{dom}(f) \subset \mathbb{Q}^2$, $\text{ran}(f) \subset 3$, $\text{dom}(f)$ does not contain 3 collinear points. Is there a function $g : \mathbb{Q}^2 \rightarrow 3$ such that $g \supset f$ and g is a CF-coloring for the lines?

Determine χ_{CF}

- $\chi_{CF}([\lambda, \omega, 2]) = \min\{\rho : [\lambda, \omega, 2] \rightarrow \rho\}$
- $w\chi_{CF}([\lambda, \omega, 2]) = \min\{\rho : [\lambda, \omega, 2] \rightarrow_w \rho\}$
- $w\chi_{CF}([\omega_m, \omega, 2]) = \lfloor m/2 \rfloor + 2;$
- $\lfloor m/2 \rfloor + 2 \leq \chi_{CF}([\omega_m, \omega, 2]) \leq \lfloor m/2 \rfloor + 3.$
- Easy $\chi_{CF}([\omega, \omega, 2]) = \chi_{CF}([\omega_1, \omega, 2]) = 3$
- **Open:** **GCH** $\vdash \chi_{CF}([\omega_2, \omega, 2]) = 4$
- **GCH implies** $\chi_{CF}([\omega_3, \omega, 2]) = 4$
- **Question:** Assume that f is a function, $\text{dom}(f) \subset \mathbb{Q}^2$, $\text{ran}(f) \subset 3$, $\text{dom}(f)$ does not contain 3 collinear points. Is there a function $g : \mathbb{Q}^2 \rightarrow 3$ such that $g \supset f$ and g is a CF-coloring for the lines?

Determine χ_{CF}

- $\chi_{CF}([\lambda, \omega, 2]) = \min\{\rho : [\lambda, \omega, 2] \rightarrow \rho\}$
- $w\chi_{CF}([\lambda, \omega, 2]) = \min\{\rho : [\lambda, \omega, 2] \rightarrow_w \rho\}$
- $w\chi_{CF}([\omega_m, \omega, 2]) = \lfloor m/2 \rfloor + 2;$
- $\lfloor m/2 \rfloor + 2 \leq \chi_{CF}([\omega_m, \omega, 2]) \leq \lfloor m/2 \rfloor + 3.$
- Easy $\chi_{CF}([\omega, \omega, 2]) = \chi_{CF}([\omega_1, \omega, 2]) = 3$
- **Open:** $\text{GCH} \vdash \chi_{CF}([\omega_2, \omega, 2]) = 4$
- **GCH implies** $\chi_{CF}([\omega_3, \omega, 2]) = 4$
- **Question:** Assume that f is a function, $\text{dom}(f) \subset \mathbb{Q}^2$, $\text{ran}(f) \subset 3$, $\text{dom}(f)$ does not contain 3 collinear points. Is there a function $g : \mathbb{Q}^2 \rightarrow 3$ such that $g \supset f$ and g is a CF-coloring for the lines?

Determine χ_{CF}

- $\chi_{CF}([\lambda, \omega, 2]) = \min\{\rho : [\lambda, \omega, 2] \rightarrow \rho\}$
- $w\chi_{CF}([\lambda, \omega, 2]) = \min\{\rho : [\lambda, \omega, 2] \rightarrow_w \rho\}$
- $w\chi_{CF}([\omega_m, \omega, 2]) = \lfloor m/2 \rfloor + 2;$
- $\lfloor m/2 \rfloor + 2 \leq \chi_{CF}([\omega_m, \omega, 2]) \leq \lfloor m/2 \rfloor + 3.$
- Easy $\chi_{CF}([\omega, \omega, 2]) = \chi_{CF}([\omega_1, \omega, 2]) = 3$
- Open: **GCH** $\vdash \chi_{CF}([\omega_2, \omega, 2]) = 4$
- **GCH implies** $\chi_{CF}([\omega_3, \omega, 2]) = 4$
- **Question:** Assume that f is a function, $\text{dom}(f) \subset \mathbb{Q}^2$, $\text{ran}(f) \subset 3$, $\text{dom}(f)$ does not contain 3 collinear points. Is there a function $g : \mathbb{Q}^2 \rightarrow 3$ such that $g \supset f$ and g is a CF-coloring for the lines?

Determine χ_{CF}

- $\chi_{CF}([\lambda, \omega, 2]) = \min\{\rho : [\lambda, \omega, 2] \rightarrow \rho\}$
- $w\chi_{CF}([\lambda, \omega, 2]) = \min\{\rho : [\lambda, \omega, 2] \rightarrow_w \rho\}$
- $w\chi_{CF}([\omega_m, \omega, 2]) = \lfloor m/2 \rfloor + 2;$
- $\lfloor m/2 \rfloor + 2 \leq \chi_{CF}([\omega_m, \omega, 2]) \leq \lfloor m/2 \rfloor + 3.$
- Easy $\chi_{CF}([\omega, \omega, 2]) = \chi_{CF}([\omega_1, \omega, 2]) = 3$
- **Open: GCH** $\vdash \chi_{CF}([\omega_2, \omega, 2]) = 4$
- **GCH implies** $\chi_{CF}([\omega_3, \omega, 2]) = 4$
- **Question:** Assume that f is a function, $\text{dom}(f) \subset \mathbb{Q}^2$, $\text{ran}(f) \subset 3$, $\text{dom}(f)$ does not contain 3 collinear points. Is there a function $g : \mathbb{Q}^2 \rightarrow 3$ such that $g \supset f$ and g is a CF-coloring for the lines?

Determine χ_{CF}

- $\chi_{CF}([\lambda, \omega, 2]) = \min\{\rho : [\lambda, \omega, 2] \rightarrow \rho\}$
- $w\chi_{CF}([\lambda, \omega, 2]) = \min\{\rho : [\lambda, \omega, 2] \rightarrow_w \rho\}$
- $w\chi_{CF}([\omega_m, \omega, 2]) = \lfloor m/2 \rfloor + 2;$
- $\lfloor m/2 \rfloor + 2 \leq \chi_{CF}([\omega_m, \omega, 2]) \leq \lfloor m/2 \rfloor + 3.$
- Easy $\chi_{CF}([\omega, \omega, 2]) = \chi_{CF}([\omega_1, \omega, 2]) = 3$
- **Open: GCH** $\vdash \chi_{CF}([\omega_2, \omega, 2]) = 4$
- **GCH implies** $\chi_{CF}([\omega_3, \omega, 2]) = 4$
- **Question:** Assume that f is a function, $\text{dom}(f) \subset \mathbb{Q}^2$, $\text{ran}(f) \subset 3$, $\text{dom}(f)$ does not contain 3 collinear points. Is there a function $g : \mathbb{Q}^2 \rightarrow 3$ such that $g \supset f$ and g is a CF-coloring for the lines?

Determine χ_{CF}

- $\chi_{CF}([\lambda, \omega, 2]) = \min\{\rho : [\lambda, \omega, 2] \rightarrow \rho\}$
- $w\chi_{CF}([\lambda, \omega, 2]) = \min\{\rho : [\lambda, \omega, 2] \rightarrow_w \rho\}$
- $w\chi_{CF}([\omega_m, \omega, 2]) = \lfloor m/2 \rfloor + 2;$
- $\lfloor m/2 \rfloor + 2 \leq \chi_{CF}([\omega_m, \omega, 2]) \leq \lfloor m/2 \rfloor + 3.$
- Easy $\chi_{CF}([\omega, \omega, 2]) = \chi_{CF}([\omega_1, \omega, 2]) = 3$
- **Open: GCH** $\vdash \chi_{CF}([\omega_2, \omega, 2]) = 4$
- **GCH implies** $\chi_{CF}([\omega_3, \omega, 2]) = 4$
- **Question:** Assume that f is a function, $\text{dom}(f) \subset \mathbb{Q}^2$, $\text{ran}(f) \subset 3$, $\text{dom}(f)$ does not contain 3 collinear points. Is there a function $g : \mathbb{Q}^2 \rightarrow 3$ such that $g \supset f$ and g is a CF-coloring for the lines?

Determine χ_{CF}

- $\chi_{CF}([\lambda, \omega, 2]) = \min\{\rho : [\lambda, \omega, 2] \rightarrow \rho\}$
- $w\chi_{CF}([\lambda, \omega, 2]) = \min\{\rho : [\lambda, \omega, 2] \rightarrow_w \rho\}$
- $w\chi_{CF}([\omega_m, \omega, 2]) = \lfloor m/2 \rfloor + 2;$
- $\lfloor m/2 \rfloor + 2 \leq \chi_{CF}([\omega_m, \omega, 2]) \leq \lfloor m/2 \rfloor + 3.$
- Easy $\chi_{CF}([\omega, \omega, 2]) = \chi_{CF}([\omega_1, \omega, 2]) = 3$
- **Open: GCH** $\vdash \chi_{CF}([\omega_2, \omega, 2]) = 4$
- **GCH implies** $\chi_{CF}([\omega_3, \omega, 2]) = 4$
- **Question:** Assume that f is a function, $\text{dom}(f) \subset \mathbb{Q}^2$, $\text{ran}(f) \subset 3$, $\text{dom}(f)$ does not contain 3 collinear points. Is there a function $g : \mathbb{Q}^2 \rightarrow 3$ such that $g \supset f$ and g is a CF-coloring for the lines?

Determine χ_{CF}

- $\chi_{CF}([\lambda, \omega, 2]) = \min\{\rho : [\lambda, \omega, 2] \rightarrow \rho\}$
- $w\chi_{CF}([\lambda, \omega, 2]) = \min\{\rho : [\lambda, \omega, 2] \rightarrow_w \rho\}$
- $w\chi_{CF}([\omega_m, \omega, 2]) = \lfloor m/2 \rfloor + 2;$
- $\lfloor m/2 \rfloor + 2 \leq \chi_{CF}([\omega_m, \omega, 2]) \leq \lfloor m/2 \rfloor + 3.$
- Easy $\chi_{CF}([\omega, \omega, 2]) = \chi_{CF}([\omega_1, \omega, 2]) = 3$
- **Open: GCH** $\vdash \chi_{CF}([\omega_2, \omega, 2]) = 4$
- **GCH implies** $\chi_{CF}([\omega_3, \omega, 2]) = 4$
- **Question:** Assume that f is a function, $\text{dom}(f) \subset \mathbb{Q}^2$, $\text{ran}(f) \subset 3$, $\text{dom}(f)$ does not contain 3 collinear points. Is there a function $g : \mathbb{Q}^2 \rightarrow 3$ such that $g \supset f$ and g is a CF-coloring for the lines?

Determine χ_{CF}

- $\chi_{CF}([\lambda, \omega, 2]) = \min\{\rho : [\lambda, \omega, 2] \rightarrow \rho\}$
- $w\chi_{CF}([\lambda, \omega, 2]) = \min\{\rho : [\lambda, \omega, 2] \rightarrow_w \rho\}$
- $w\chi_{CF}([\omega_m, \omega, 2]) = \lfloor m/2 \rfloor + 2;$
- $\lfloor m/2 \rfloor + 2 \leq \chi_{CF}([\omega_m, \omega, 2]) \leq \lfloor m/2 \rfloor + 3.$
- Easy $\chi_{CF}([\omega, \omega, 2]) = \chi_{CF}([\omega_1, \omega, 2]) = 3$
- **Open: GCH** $\vdash \chi_{CF}([\omega_2, \omega, 2]) = 4$
- **GCH implies** $\chi_{CF}([\omega_3, \omega, 2]) = 4$
- **Question:** Assume that f is a function, $\text{dom}(f) \subset \mathbb{Q}^2$, $\text{ran}(f) \subset 3$, $\text{dom}(f)$ does not contain 3 collinear points. Is there a function $g : \mathbb{Q}^2 \rightarrow 3$ such that $g \supset f$ and g is a CF-coloring for the lines?

Determine χ_{CF}

- $\chi_{CF}([\lambda, \omega, 2]) = \min\{\rho : [\lambda, \omega, 2] \rightarrow \rho\}$
- $w\chi_{CF}([\lambda, \omega, 2]) = \min\{\rho : [\lambda, \omega, 2] \rightarrow_w \rho\}$
- $w\chi_{CF}([\omega_m, \omega, 2]) = \lfloor m/2 \rfloor + 2;$
- $\lfloor m/2 \rfloor + 2 \leq \chi_{CF}([\omega_m, \omega, 2]) \leq \lfloor m/2 \rfloor + 3.$
- Easy $\chi_{CF}([\omega, \omega, 2]) = \chi_{CF}([\omega_1, \omega, 2]) = 3$
- **Open: GCH** $\vdash \chi_{CF}([\omega_2, \omega, 2]) = 4$
- **GCH implies** $\chi_{CF}([\omega_3, \omega, 2]) = 4$
- **Question:** Assume that f is a function, $\text{dom}(f) \subset \mathbb{Q}^2$, $\text{ran}(f) \subset 3$, $\text{dom}(f)$ does not contain 3 collinear points. Is there a function $g : \mathbb{Q}^2 \rightarrow 3$ such that $g \supset f$ and g is a CF-coloring for the lines?

\mathcal{A} is ω -almost disjoint

ω_2 colors

Theorem

Let $\omega_2 \leq \lambda$ be an infinite cardinal. Assume that $\mu^\omega = \mu^+$ for each $\mu < \lambda$ with $\text{cf}(\mu) = \omega$. Then $[\lambda, \kappa, \omega] \rightarrow \omega_2$ for each $\omega_2 \leq \kappa \leq \lambda$.

\mathcal{A} is ω -almost disjoint

ω_2 colors

Theorem

Let $\omega_2 \leq \lambda$ be an infinite cardinal. Assume that $\mu^\omega = \mu^+$ for each $\mu < \lambda$ with $\text{cf}(\mu) = \omega$. Then $[\lambda, \kappa, \omega] \rightarrow \omega_2$ for each $\omega_2 \leq \kappa \leq \lambda$.

\mathcal{A} is ω -almost disjoint

ω_2 colors

Theorem

Let $\omega_2 \leq \lambda$ be an infinite cardinal. Assume that $\mu^\omega = \mu^+$ for each $\mu < \lambda$ with $\text{cf}(\mu) = \omega$. Then $[\lambda, \kappa, \omega] \rightarrow \omega_2$ for each $\omega_2 \leq \kappa \leq \lambda$.

\mathcal{A} is ω -almost disjoint

ω_2 colors

Theorem

Let $\omega_2 \leq \lambda$ be an infinite cardinal. Assume that $\mu^\omega = \mu^+$ for each $\mu < \lambda$ with $\text{cf}(\mu) = \omega$. Then $[\lambda, \kappa, \omega] \rightarrow \omega_2$ for each $\omega_2 \leq \kappa \leq \lambda$.

$$[\lambda, \kappa, \omega] \rightarrow \omega_2$$

- $[\lambda, \kappa, d] \rightarrow \omega$ if $A \subseteq [\lambda]^{\leq d}$ is a maximal disjoint family, $A \subseteq N \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(N)$, $A \subseteq A \setminus N$, then $|A| \leq \omega$.
- $[\lambda, \kappa, \omega] \rightarrow \omega_2$ if ω_1 is a limit cardinal.

(ω_1 is a limit cardinal) continuous chain of elementary submodels

ω_1 is a limit cardinal, the $\omega_1^{\text{cof}} = \omega_1$

$\Rightarrow \text{Co} \{ \{A \in \mathcal{A} \mid |A \cap N_\alpha| \leq \omega_1\} \}_{\alpha < \omega_1}$

$\Rightarrow \text{Co} \{ \{A \in \mathcal{A} \mid |A \cap N_\alpha| \leq \omega_1\} \}_{\alpha < \omega_1}$

$\Rightarrow \text{Co} \{ \{A \in \mathcal{A} \mid |A \cap N_\alpha| \leq \omega_1\} \}_{\alpha < \omega_1}$

$\Rightarrow \text{Co} \{A \cap \omega_1\} \geq \omega_1$

- $[\lambda, \kappa, d] \rightarrow \omega$ If $\mathcal{A} \subset [\lambda]^\kappa$ is d -almost disjoint, $\mathcal{A} \in N \prec \mathcal{H}(\vartheta)$, $A \in \mathcal{A} \setminus N$, then $|A \cap N| < d$.
- $[\lambda, \kappa, \omega] \rightarrow \omega_2$ (GCH) If $\mathcal{A} \subset [\lambda]^\kappa$ is ω -almost disjoint, $\mathcal{A} \in N \prec \mathcal{H}(\vartheta)$, $A \in \mathcal{A} \setminus N$, then $|A \cap N| < \omega_2$.
 - $N = \cup\{N_\xi : \xi < \mu\}$ continuous chain of elementary submodels
 - If $|A \cap N| \geq \omega_2$ then $\exists \xi < \mu$ such that $|A \cap N_\xi| \geq \omega_2$
 - If $\text{cf}(|N_\xi|) > \omega$ then $|N_\xi|^\omega = |N_\xi|$.
 - So $|\{A' \in \mathcal{A} : |A' \cap N_\xi| \geq \omega\}| \leq |N_\xi|$.
 - So $\mathcal{A} \cap \{A' \in \mathcal{A} : |A' \cap N_\xi| \geq \omega\} \subset N_{\xi+1} \subset N$.
 - If $\text{cf}(|N_\xi|) = \omega$ then $N_\xi = \cup\{M_n : n < \omega\}$, $|M_n| < |N_\xi|$.
 - $\exists n$ $|A \cap M_n| \geq \omega_1$, $|\{A' \in \mathcal{A} : |A' \cap M_n| \geq \omega\}| \leq |M_n| < |N_\xi|$.
 - $\mathcal{A} \cap \{A' \in \mathcal{A} : |A' \cap M_n| \geq \omega\} \subset M_{\xi+1}$

- $[\lambda, \kappa, d] \rightarrow \omega$ If $\mathcal{A} \subset [\lambda]^\kappa$ is d -almost disjoint, $\mathcal{A} \in N \prec \mathcal{H}(\vartheta)$, $A \in \mathcal{A} \setminus N$, then $|A \cap N| < d$.
- $[\lambda, \kappa, \omega] \rightarrow \omega_2$ (GCH) If $\mathcal{A} \subset [\lambda]^\kappa$ is ω -almost disjoint, $\mathcal{A} \in N \prec \mathcal{H}(\vartheta)$, $A \in \mathcal{A} \setminus N$, then $|A \cap N| < \omega_2$.
 - $N = \cup\{N_\xi : \xi < \mu\}$ continuous chain of elementary submodels
 - If $|A \cap N| \geq \omega_2$ then $\exists \xi < \mu$ such that $|A \cap N_\xi| \geq \omega_2$
 - If $\text{cf}(|N_\xi|) > \omega$ then $|N_\xi|^\omega = |N_\xi|$.
 - So $|\{A' \in \mathcal{A} : |A' \cap N_\xi| \geq \omega\}| \leq |N_\xi|$.
 - So $\mathcal{A} \cap \{A' \in \mathcal{A} : |A' \cap N_\xi| \geq \omega\} \subset N_{\xi+1} \subset N$.
 - If $\text{cf}(|N_\xi|) = \omega$ then $N_\xi = \cup\{M_n : n < \omega\}$, $|M_n| < |N_\xi|$.
 - $\exists n$ $|A \cap M_n| \geq \omega_1$. $|\{A' \in \mathcal{A} : |A' \cap M_n| \geq \omega\}| \leq |M_n| < |N_\xi|$.
 - So $\{A' \in \mathcal{A} : |A' \cap M_n| \geq \omega\} \subset M_{\xi+1}$.

- $[\lambda, \kappa, d] \rightarrow \omega$ If $\mathcal{A} \subset [\lambda]^\kappa$ is d -almost disjoint, $\mathcal{A} \in N \prec \mathcal{H}(\vartheta)$, $A \in \mathcal{A} \setminus N$, then $|A \cap N| < d$.
- $[\lambda, \kappa, \omega] \rightarrow \omega_2$ (GCH) If $\mathcal{A} \subset [\lambda]^\kappa$ is ω -almost disjoint, $\mathcal{A} \in N \prec \mathcal{H}(\vartheta)$, $A \in \mathcal{A} \setminus N$, then $|A \cap N| < \omega_2$.
 - $N = \cup\{N_\xi : \xi < \mu\}$ continuous chain of elementary submodels
 - If $|A \cap N| \geq \omega_2$ then $\exists \xi < \mu$ such that $|A \cap N_\xi| \geq \omega_2$
 - If $\text{cf}(|N_\xi|) > \omega$ then $|N_\xi|^\omega = |N_\xi|$.
 - So $|\{A' \in \mathcal{A} : |A' \cap N_\xi| \geq \omega\}| \leq |N_\xi|$.
 - So $\{A' \in \mathcal{A} : |A' \cap N_\xi| \geq \omega\} \subset N_{\xi+1} \subset N$.
 - If $\text{cf}(|N_\xi|) = \omega$ then $N_\xi = \cup\{M_n : n < \omega\}$, $|M_n| < |N_\xi|$.
 - $\exists n$ $|A \cap M_n| \geq \omega_1$. $|\{A' \in \mathcal{A} : |A' \cap M_n| \geq \omega\}| \leq |M_n| < |N_\xi|$.
 - So $\{A' \in \mathcal{A} : |A' \cap M_n| \geq \omega\} \subset M_{\xi+1}$.

- $[\lambda, \kappa, d] \rightarrow \omega$ If $\mathcal{A} \subset [\lambda]^\kappa$ is d -almost disjoint, $\mathcal{A} \in N \prec \mathcal{H}(\vartheta)$, $A \in \mathcal{A} \setminus N$, then $|A \cap N| < d$.
- $[\lambda, \kappa, \omega] \rightarrow \omega_2$ (GCH) If $\mathcal{A} \subset [\lambda]^\kappa$ is ω -almost disjoint, $\mathcal{A} \in N \prec \mathcal{H}(\vartheta)$, $A \in \mathcal{A} \setminus N$, then $|A \cap N| < \omega_2$.
 - $N = \cup\{N_\zeta : \zeta < \mu\}$ continuous chain of elementary submodels
 - If $|A \cap N| \geq \omega_2$ then $\exists \zeta < \mu$ such that $|A \cap N_\zeta| \geq \omega_2$
 - If $\text{cf}(|N_\zeta|) > \omega$ then $|N_\zeta|^\omega = |N_\zeta|$.
 - So $|\{A' \in \mathcal{A} : |A' \cap N_\zeta| \geq \omega\}| \leq |N_\zeta|$.
 - So $\mathcal{A} \cap \{A' \in \mathcal{A} : |A' \cap N_\zeta| \geq \omega\} \subset N_{\zeta+1} \subset N$.
 - If $\text{cf}(|N_\zeta|) = \omega$ then $N_\zeta = \cup\{M_n : n < \omega\}$, $|M_n| < |N_\zeta|$.
 - $\exists n$ $|A \cap M_n| \geq \omega_1$. $|\{A' \in \mathcal{A} : |A' \cap M_n| \geq \omega\}| \leq |M_n| < |N_\zeta|$.
 - So $\{A' \in \mathcal{A} : |A' \cap M_n| \geq \omega\} \subset M_{\zeta+1}$.

- $[\lambda, \kappa, d] \rightarrow \omega$ If $\mathcal{A} \subset [\lambda]^\kappa$ is d -almost disjoint, $\mathcal{A} \in N \prec \mathcal{H}(\vartheta)$, $A \in \mathcal{A} \setminus N$, then $|A \cap N| < d$.
- $[\lambda, \kappa, \omega] \rightarrow \omega_2$ (GCH) If $\mathcal{A} \subset [\lambda]^\kappa$ is ω -almost disjoint, $\mathcal{A} \in N \prec \mathcal{H}(\vartheta)$, $A \in \mathcal{A} \setminus N$, then $|A \cap N| < \omega_2$.
 - $N = \cup\{N_\xi : \xi < \mu\}$ continuous chain of elementary submodels
 - If $|A \cap N| \geq \omega_2$ then $\exists \xi < \mu$ $|A \cap N_\xi| \geq \omega_2$
 - If $\text{cf}(|N_\xi|) > \omega$ then $|N_\xi|^\omega = |N_\xi|$.
 - So $|\{A' \in \mathcal{A} : |A' \cap N_\xi| \geq \omega\}| \leq |N_\xi|$.
 - So $\{A' \in \mathcal{A} : |A' \cap N_\xi| \geq \omega\} \subset N_{\xi+1} \subset N$.
 - If $\text{cf}(|N_\xi|) = \omega$ then $N_\xi = \cup\{M_n : n < \omega\}$, $|M_n| < |N_\xi|$.
 - $\exists n$ $|A \cap M_n| \geq \omega_1$. $|\{A' \in \mathcal{A} : |A' \cap M_n| \geq \omega\}| \leq |M_n| < |N_\xi|$.
 - So $\{A' \in \mathcal{A} : |A' \cap M_n| \geq \omega\} \subset M_{\xi+1}$.

- $[\lambda, \kappa, d] \rightarrow \omega$ If $\mathcal{A} \subset [\lambda]^\kappa$ is d -almost disjoint, $\mathcal{A} \in N \prec \mathcal{H}(\vartheta)$, $A \in \mathcal{A} \setminus N$, then $|A \cap N| < d$.
- $[\lambda, \kappa, \omega] \rightarrow \omega_2$ (GCH) If $\mathcal{A} \subset [\lambda]^\kappa$ is ω -almost disjoint, $\mathcal{A} \in N \prec \mathcal{H}(\vartheta)$, $A \in \mathcal{A} \setminus N$, then $|A \cap N| < \omega_2$.
 - $N = \cup\{N_\xi : \xi < \mu\}$ continuous chain of elementary submodels
 - If $|A \cap N| \geq \omega_2$ then $\exists \xi < \mu$ such that $|A \cap N_\xi| \geq \omega_2$
 - If $\text{cf}(|N_\xi|) > \omega$ then $|N_\xi|^\omega = |N_\xi|$.
 - So $|\{A' \in \mathcal{A} : |A' \cap N_\xi| \geq \omega\}| \leq |N_\xi|$.
 - So $\{A' \in \mathcal{A} : |A' \cap N_\xi| \geq \omega\} \subset N_{\xi+1} \subset N$.
 - If $\text{cf}(|N_\xi|) = \omega$ then $N_\xi = \cup\{M_n : n < \omega\}$, $|M_n| < |N_\xi|$.
 - $\exists n$ $|A \cap M_n| \geq \omega_1$. $|\{A' \in \mathcal{A} : |A' \cap M_n| \geq \omega\}| \leq |M_n| < |N_\xi|$.
 - So $\{A' \in \mathcal{A} : |A' \cap M_n| \geq \omega\} \subset M_{\xi+1}$.

$$[\lambda, \kappa, \omega] \rightarrow \omega_2$$

- $[\lambda, \kappa, d] \rightarrow \omega$ If $\mathcal{A} \subset [\lambda]^\kappa$ is d -almost disjoint, $\mathcal{A} \in N \prec \mathcal{H}(\vartheta)$, $A \in \mathcal{A} \setminus N$, then $|A \cap N| < d$.
- $[\lambda, \kappa, \omega] \rightarrow \omega_2$ (GCH) If $\mathcal{A} \subset [\lambda]^\kappa$ is ω -almost disjoint, $\mathcal{A} \in N \prec \mathcal{H}(\vartheta)$, $A \in \mathcal{A} \setminus N$, then $|A \cap N| < \omega_2$.
 - $N = \cup\{N_\zeta : \zeta < \mu\}$ continuous chain of elementary submodels
 - If $|A \cap N| \geq \omega_2$ then $\exists \zeta < \mu |A \cap N_\zeta| \geq \omega_1$.
 - If $\text{cf}(|N_\xi|) > \omega$ then $|N_\xi|^\omega = |N_\xi|$.
 - So $|\{A' \in \mathcal{A} : |A' \cap N_\xi| \geq \omega\}| \leq |N_\xi|$.
 - So $A \in \{A' \in \mathcal{A} : |A' \cap N_\xi| \geq \omega\} \subset N_{\xi+1} \subset N$.
 - If $\text{cf}(|N_\xi|) = \omega$ then $N_\xi = \cup\{M_n : n < \omega\}$, $|M_n| < |N_\xi|$.
 - $\exists n |A \cap M_n| \geq \omega_1$. $|\{A' \in \mathcal{A} : |A' \cap M_n| \geq \omega\}| \leq |M_n|^\omega < |M_\xi|$
 - $A \in \{A' \in \mathcal{A} : |A' \cap M_n| \geq \omega\} \subset M_{\xi+1}$

- $[\lambda, \kappa, d] \rightarrow \omega$ If $\mathcal{A} \subset [\lambda]^\kappa$ is d -almost disjoint, $\mathcal{A} \in N \prec \mathcal{H}(\vartheta)$, $A \in \mathcal{A} \setminus N$, then $|A \cap N| < d$.
- $[\lambda, \kappa, \omega] \rightarrow \omega_2$ (GCH) If $\mathcal{A} \subset [\lambda]^\kappa$ is ω -almost disjoint, $\mathcal{A} \in N \prec \mathcal{H}(\vartheta)$, $A \in \mathcal{A} \setminus N$, then $|A \cap N| < \omega_2$.
 - $N = \cup\{N_\zeta : \zeta < \mu\}$ continuous chain of elementary submodels
 - If $|A \cap N| \geq \omega_2$ then $\exists \zeta < \mu |A \cap N_\zeta| \geq \omega_1$.
 - If $\text{cf}(|N_\xi|) > \omega$ then $|N_\xi|^\omega = |N_\xi|$.
 - So $|\{A' \in \mathcal{A} : |A' \cap N_\xi| \geq \omega\}| \leq |N_\xi|$.
 - So $A \in \{A' \in \mathcal{A} : |A' \cap N_\xi| \geq \omega\} \subset N_{\xi+1} \subset N$.
 - If $\text{cf}(|N_\xi|) = \omega$ then $N_\xi = \cup\{M_n : n < \omega\}$, $|M_n| < |N_\xi|$.
 - $\exists n |A \cap M_n| \geq \omega_1$. $|\{A' \in \mathcal{A} : |A' \cap M_n| \geq \omega\}| \leq |M_n|^\omega < |M_\xi|$
 - $A \in \{A' \in \mathcal{A} : |A' \cap M_n| \geq \omega\} \subset M_{\xi+1}$

$$[\lambda, \kappa, \omega] \rightarrow \omega_2$$

- $[\lambda, \kappa, d] \rightarrow \omega$ If $\mathcal{A} \subset [\lambda]^\kappa$ is d -almost disjoint, $\mathcal{A} \in N \prec \mathcal{H}(\vartheta)$, $A \in \mathcal{A} \setminus N$, then $|A \cap N| < d$.
- $[\lambda, \kappa, \omega] \rightarrow \omega_2$ (GCH) If $\mathcal{A} \subset [\lambda]^\kappa$ is ω -almost disjoint, $\mathcal{A} \in N \prec \mathcal{H}(\vartheta)$, $A \in \mathcal{A} \setminus N$, then $|A \cap N| < \omega_2$.
 - $N = \cup\{N_\zeta : \zeta < \mu\}$ continuous chain of elementary submodels
 - If $|A \cap N| \geq \omega_2$ then $\exists \zeta < \mu |A \cap N_\zeta| \geq \omega_1$.
 - If $\text{cf}(|N_\xi|) > \omega$ then $|N_\xi|^\omega = |N_\xi|$.
 - So $|\{A' \in \mathcal{A} : |A' \cap N_\xi| \geq \omega\}| \leq |N_\xi|$.
 - So $A \in \{A' \in \mathcal{A} : |A' \cap N_\xi| \geq \omega\} \subset N_{\xi+1} \subset N$.
 - If $\text{cf}(|N_\xi|) = \omega$ then $N_\xi = \cup\{M_n : n < \omega\}$, $|M_n| < |N_\xi|$.
 - $\exists n |A \cap M_n| \geq \omega_1$. $|\{A' \in \mathcal{A} : |A' \cap M_n| \geq \omega\}| \leq |M_n|^\omega < |M_\xi|$
 - $A \in \{A' \in \mathcal{A} : |A' \cap M_n| \geq \omega\} \subset M_{\xi+1}$

$$[\lambda, \kappa, \omega] \rightarrow \omega_2$$

- $[\lambda, \kappa, d] \rightarrow \omega$ If $\mathcal{A} \subset [\lambda]^\kappa$ is d -almost disjoint, $\mathcal{A} \in N \prec \mathcal{H}(\vartheta)$, $A \in \mathcal{A} \setminus N$, then $|A \cap N| < d$.
- $[\lambda, \kappa, \omega] \rightarrow \omega_2$ (GCH) If $\mathcal{A} \subset [\lambda]^\kappa$ is ω -almost disjoint, $\mathcal{A} \in N \prec \mathcal{H}(\vartheta)$, $A \in \mathcal{A} \setminus N$, then $|A \cap N| < \omega_2$.
 - $N = \cup\{N_\zeta : \zeta < \mu\}$ continuous chain of elementary submodels
 - If $|A \cap N| \geq \omega_2$ then $\exists \zeta < \mu |A \cap N_\zeta| \geq \omega_1$.
 - If $\text{cf}(|N_\xi|) > \omega$ then $|N_\xi|^\omega = |N_\xi|$.
 - So $|\{A' \in \mathcal{A} : |A' \cap N_\xi| \geq \omega\}| \leq |N_\xi|$.
 - So $A \in \{A' \in \mathcal{A} : |A' \cap N_\xi| \geq \omega\} \subset N_{\xi+1} \subset N$.
 - If $\text{cf}(|N_\xi|) = \omega$ then $N_\xi = \cup\{M_n : n < \omega\}$, $|M_n| < |N_\xi|$.
 - $\exists n |A \cap M_n| \geq \omega_1$. $|\{A' \in \mathcal{A} : |A' \cap M_n| \geq \omega\}| \leq |M_n|^\omega < |M_\xi|$
 - $A \in \{A' \in \mathcal{A} : |A' \cap M_n| \geq \omega\} \subset M_{\xi+1}$

$$[\lambda, \kappa, \omega] \rightarrow \omega_2$$

- $[\lambda, \kappa, d] \rightarrow \omega$ If $\mathcal{A} \subset [\lambda]^\kappa$ is d -almost disjoint, $\mathcal{A} \in N \prec \mathcal{H}(\vartheta)$, $A \in \mathcal{A} \setminus N$, then $|A \cap N| < d$.
- $[\lambda, \kappa, \omega] \rightarrow \omega_2$ (GCH) If $\mathcal{A} \subset [\lambda]^\kappa$ is ω -almost disjoint, $\mathcal{A} \in N \prec \mathcal{H}(\vartheta)$, $A \in \mathcal{A} \setminus N$, then $|A \cap N| < \omega_2$.
 - $N = \cup\{N_\zeta : \zeta < \mu\}$ continuous chain of elementary submodels
 - If $|A \cap N| \geq \omega_2$ then $\exists \zeta < \mu |A \cap N_\zeta| \geq \omega_1$.
 - If $\text{cf}(|N_\xi|) > \omega$ then $|N_\xi|^\omega = |N_\xi|$.
 - So $|\{A' \in \mathcal{A} : |A' \cap N_\xi| \geq \omega\}| \leq |N_\xi|$.
 - So $A \in \{A' \in \mathcal{A} : |A' \cap N_\xi| \geq \omega\} \subset N_{\xi+1} \subset N$.
 - If $\text{cf}(|N_\xi|) = \omega$ then $N_\xi = \cup\{M_n : n < \omega\}$, $|M_n| < |N_\xi|$.
 - $\exists n |A \cap M_n| \geq \omega_1$. $|\{A' \in \mathcal{A} : |A' \cap M_n| \geq \omega\}| \leq |M_n|^\omega < |M_\xi|$
 - $A \in \{A' \in \mathcal{A} : |A' \cap M_n| \geq \omega\} \subset M_{\xi+1}$

$$[\lambda, \kappa, \omega] \rightarrow \omega_2$$

- $[\lambda, \kappa, d] \rightarrow \omega$ If $\mathcal{A} \subset [\lambda]^\kappa$ is d -almost disjoint, $\mathcal{A} \in N \prec \mathcal{H}(\vartheta)$, $A \in \mathcal{A} \setminus N$, then $|A \cap N| < d$.
- $[\lambda, \kappa, \omega] \rightarrow \omega_2$ (GCH) If $\mathcal{A} \subset [\lambda]^\kappa$ is ω -almost disjoint, $\mathcal{A} \in N \prec \mathcal{H}(\vartheta)$, $A \in \mathcal{A} \setminus N$, then $|A \cap N| < \omega_2$.
 - $N = \cup\{N_\zeta : \zeta < \mu\}$ continuous chain of elementary submodels
 - If $|A \cap N| \geq \omega_2$ then $\exists \zeta < \mu |A \cap N_\zeta| \geq \omega_1$.
 - If $\text{cf}(|N_\xi|) > \omega$ then $|N_\xi|^\omega = |N_\xi|$.
 - So $|\{A' \in \mathcal{A} : |A' \cap N_\xi| \geq \omega\}| \leq |N_\xi|$.
 - So $A \in \{A' \in \mathcal{A} : |A' \cap N_\xi| \geq \omega\} \subset N_{\xi+1} \subset N$.
 - If $\text{cf}(|N_\xi|) = \omega$ then $N_\xi = \cup\{M_n : n < \omega\}$, $|M_n| < |N_\xi|$.
 - $\exists n |A \cap M_n| \geq \omega_1$. $|\{A' \in \mathcal{A} : |A' \cap M_n| \geq \omega\}| \leq |M_n|^\omega < |M_\xi|$
 - $A \in \{A' \in \mathcal{A} : |A' \cap M_n| \geq \omega\} \subset M_{\xi+1}$

$$[\lambda, \kappa, \omega] \rightarrow \omega_2$$

- $[\lambda, \kappa, d] \rightarrow \omega$ If $\mathcal{A} \subset [\lambda]^\kappa$ is d -almost disjoint, $\mathcal{A} \in N \prec \mathcal{H}(\vartheta)$, $A \in \mathcal{A} \setminus N$, then $|A \cap N| < d$.
- $[\lambda, \kappa, \omega] \rightarrow \omega_2$ (GCH) If $\mathcal{A} \subset [\lambda]^\kappa$ is ω -almost disjoint, $\mathcal{A} \in N \prec \mathcal{H}(\vartheta)$, $A \in \mathcal{A} \setminus N$, then $|A \cap N| < \omega_2$.
 - $N = \cup\{N_\zeta : \zeta < \mu\}$ continuous chain of elementary submodels
 - If $|A \cap N| \geq \omega_2$ then $\exists \zeta < \mu |A \cap N_\zeta| \geq \omega_1$.
 - If $\text{cf}(|N_\xi|) > \omega$ then $|N_\xi|^\omega = |N_\xi|$.
 - So $|\{A' \in \mathcal{A} : |A' \cap N_\xi| \geq \omega\}| \leq |N_\xi|$.
 - So $A \in \{A' \in \mathcal{A} : |A' \cap N_\xi| \geq \omega\} \subset N_{\xi+1} \subset N$.
 - If $\text{cf}(|N_\xi|) = \omega$ then $N_\xi = \cup\{M_n : n < \omega\}$, $|M_n| < |N_\xi|$.
 - $\exists n |A \cap M_n| \geq \omega_1$. $|\{A' \in \mathcal{A} : |A' \cap M_n| \geq \omega\}| \leq |M_n|^\omega < |M_\xi|$
 - $A \in \{A' \in \mathcal{A} : |A' \cap M_n| \geq \omega\} \subset M_{\xi+1}$

$$[\lambda, \kappa, \omega] \rightarrow \omega_2$$

- $[\lambda, \kappa, d] \rightarrow \omega$ If $\mathcal{A} \subset [\lambda]^\kappa$ is d -almost disjoint, $\mathcal{A} \in N \prec \mathcal{H}(\vartheta)$, $A \in \mathcal{A} \setminus N$, then $|A \cap N| < d$.
- $[\lambda, \kappa, \omega] \rightarrow \omega_2$ (GCH) If $\mathcal{A} \subset [\lambda]^\kappa$ is ω -almost disjoint, $\mathcal{A} \in N \prec \mathcal{H}(\vartheta)$, $A \in \mathcal{A} \setminus N$, then $|A \cap N| < \omega_2$.
 - $N = \cup\{N_\zeta : \zeta < \mu\}$ continuous chain of elementary submodels
 - If $|A \cap N| \geq \omega_2$ then $\exists \zeta < \mu |A \cap N_\zeta| \geq \omega_1$.
 - If $\text{cf}(|N_\xi|) > \omega$ then $|N_\xi|^\omega = |N_\xi|$.
 - So $|\{A' \in \mathcal{A} : |A' \cap N_\xi| \geq \omega\}| \leq |N_\xi|$.
 - So $A \in \{A' \in \mathcal{A} : |A' \cap N_\xi| \geq \omega\} \subset N_{\xi+1} \subset N$.
 - If $\text{cf}(|N_\xi|) = \omega$ then $N_\xi = \cup\{M_n : n < \omega\}$, $|M_n| < |N_\xi|$.
 - $\exists n |A \cap M_n| \geq \omega_1$. $|\{A' \in \mathcal{A} : |A' \cap M_n| \geq \omega\}| \leq |M_n|^\omega < |M_\xi|$
 - $A \in \{A' \in \mathcal{A} : |A' \cap M_n| \geq \omega\} \subset M_{\xi+1}$

$$[\lambda, \kappa, \omega] \rightarrow \omega_2$$

- $[\lambda, \kappa, d] \rightarrow \omega$ If $\mathcal{A} \subset [\lambda]^\kappa$ is d -almost disjoint, $\mathcal{A} \in N \prec \mathcal{H}(\vartheta)$, $A \in \mathcal{A} \setminus N$, then $|A \cap N| < d$.
- $[\lambda, \kappa, \omega] \rightarrow \omega_2$ (GCH) If $\mathcal{A} \subset [\lambda]^\kappa$ is ω -almost disjoint, $\mathcal{A} \in N \prec \mathcal{H}(\vartheta)$, $A \in \mathcal{A} \setminus N$, then $|A \cap N| < \omega_2$.
 - $N = \cup\{N_\zeta : \zeta < \mu\}$ continuous chain of elementary submodels
 - If $|A \cap N| \geq \omega_2$ then $\exists \zeta < \mu |A \cap N_\zeta| \geq \omega_1$.
 - If $\text{cf}(|N_\xi|) > \omega$ then $|N_\xi|^\omega = |N_\xi|$.
 - So $|\{A' \in \mathcal{A} : |A' \cap N_\xi| \geq \omega\}| \leq |N_\xi|$.
 - So $A \in \{A' \in \mathcal{A} : |A' \cap N_\xi| \geq \omega\} \subset N_{\xi+1} \subset N$.
 - If $\text{cf}(|N_\xi|) = \omega$ then $N_\xi = \cup\{M_n : n < \omega\}$, $|M_n| < |N_\xi|$.
 - $\exists n |A \cap M_n| \geq \omega_1$. $|\{A' \in \mathcal{A} : |A' \cap M_n| \geq \omega\}| \leq |M_n|^\omega < |M_\xi|$
 - $A \in \{A' \in \mathcal{A} : |A' \cap M_n| \geq \omega\} \subset M_{\xi+1}$

$$[\lambda, \kappa, \omega] \rightarrow \omega_2$$

- $[\lambda, \kappa, d] \rightarrow \omega$ If $\mathcal{A} \subset [\lambda]^\kappa$ is d -almost disjoint, $\mathcal{A} \in N \prec \mathcal{H}(\vartheta)$, $A \in \mathcal{A} \setminus N$, then $|A \cap N| < d$.
- $[\lambda, \kappa, \omega] \rightarrow \omega_2$ (GCH) If $\mathcal{A} \subset [\lambda]^\kappa$ is ω -almost disjoint, $\mathcal{A} \in N \prec \mathcal{H}(\vartheta)$, $A \in \mathcal{A} \setminus N$, then $|A \cap N| < \omega_2$.
 - $N = \cup\{N_\zeta : \zeta < \mu\}$ continuous chain of elementary submodels
 - If $|A \cap N| \geq \omega_2$ then $\exists \zeta < \mu |A \cap N_\zeta| \geq \omega_1$.
 - If $\text{cf}(|N_\xi|) > \omega$ then $|N_\xi|^\omega = |N_\xi|$.
 - So $|\{A' \in \mathcal{A} : |A' \cap N_\xi| \geq \omega\}| \leq |N_\xi|$.
 - So $A \in \{A' \in \mathcal{A} : |A' \cap N_\xi| \geq \omega\} \subset N_{\xi+1} \subset N$.
 - If $\text{cf}(|N_\xi|) = \omega$ then $N_\xi = \cup\{M_n : n < \omega\}$, $|M_n| < |N_\xi|$.
 - $\exists n |A \cap M_n| \geq \omega_1$. $|\{A' \in \mathcal{A} : |A' \cap M_n| \geq \omega\}| \leq |M_n|^\omega < |M_\xi|$
 - $A \in \{A' \in \mathcal{A} : |A' \cap M_n| \geq \omega\} \subset M_{\xi+1}$

$$[\lambda, \kappa, \omega] \rightarrow \omega_2$$

- $[\lambda, \kappa, d] \rightarrow \omega$ If $\mathcal{A} \subset [\lambda]^\kappa$ is d -almost disjoint, $\mathcal{A} \in N \prec \mathcal{H}(\vartheta)$, $A \in \mathcal{A} \setminus N$, then $|A \cap N| < d$.
- $[\lambda, \kappa, \omega] \rightarrow \omega_2$ **(GCH)** If $\mathcal{A} \subset [\lambda]^\kappa$ is ω -almost disjoint, $\mathcal{A} \in N \prec \mathcal{H}(\vartheta)$, $A \in \mathcal{A} \setminus N$, then $|A \cap N| < \omega_2$.
 - $N = \cup\{N_\zeta : \zeta < \mu\}$ continuous chain of elementary submodels
 - If $|A \cap N| \geq \omega_2$ then $\exists \zeta < \mu$ $|A \cap N_\zeta| \geq \omega_1$.
 - If $\text{cf}(|N_\xi|) > \omega$ then $|N_\xi|^\omega = |N_\xi|$.
 - So $|\{A' \in \mathcal{A} : |A' \cap N_\xi| \geq \omega\}| \leq |N_\xi|$.
 - So $A \in \{A' \in \mathcal{A} : |A' \cap N_\xi| \geq \omega\} \subset N_{\xi+1} \subset N$.
 - If $\text{cf}(|N_\xi|) = \omega$ then $N_\xi = \cup\{M_n : n < \omega\}$, $|M_n| < |N_\xi|$.
 - $\exists n$ $|A \cap M_n| \geq \omega_1$. $|\{A' \in \mathcal{A} : |A' \cap M_n| \geq \omega\}| \leq |M_n|^\omega < |M_\xi|$
 - $A \in \{A' \in \mathcal{A} : |A' \cap M_n| \geq \omega\} \subset M_{\xi+1}$

$$[\lambda, \kappa, \omega] \rightarrow \omega_2$$

- $[\lambda, \kappa, d] \rightarrow \omega$ If $\mathcal{A} \subset [\lambda]^\kappa$ is d -almost disjoint, $\mathcal{A} \in N \prec \mathcal{H}(\vartheta)$, $A \in \mathcal{A} \setminus N$, then $|A \cap N| < d$.
- $[\lambda, \kappa, \omega] \rightarrow \omega_2$ (GCH) If $\mathcal{A} \subset [\lambda]^\kappa$ is ω -almost disjoint, $\mathcal{A} \in N \prec \mathcal{H}(\vartheta)$, $A \in \mathcal{A} \setminus N$, then $|A \cap N| < \omega_2$.
 - $N = \cup\{N_\zeta : \zeta < \mu\}$ continuous chain of elementary submodels
 - If $|A \cap N| \geq \omega_2$ then $\exists \zeta < \mu |A \cap N_\zeta| \geq \omega_1$.
 - If $\text{cf}(|N_\xi|) > \omega$ then $|N_\xi|^\omega = |N_\xi|$.
 - So $|\{A' \in \mathcal{A} : |A' \cap N_\xi| \geq \omega\}| \leq |N_\xi|$.
 - So $A \in \{A' \in \mathcal{A} : |A' \cap N_\xi| \geq \omega\} \subset N_{\xi+1} \subset N$.
 - If $\text{cf}(|N_\xi|) = \omega$ then $N_\xi = \cup\{M_n : n < \omega\}$, $|M_n| < |N_\xi|$.
 - $\exists n |A \cap M_n| \geq \omega_1$. $|\{A' \in \mathcal{A} : |A' \cap M_n| \geq \omega\}| \leq |M_n|^\omega < |M_n|$
 - $A \in \{A' \in \mathcal{A} : |A' \cap M_n| \geq \omega\} \subset M_{\xi+1}$

$$[\lambda, \kappa, \omega] \rightarrow \omega_2$$

- $[\lambda, \kappa, d] \rightarrow \omega$ If $\mathcal{A} \subset [\lambda]^\kappa$ is d -almost disjoint, $\mathcal{A} \in N \prec \mathcal{H}(\vartheta)$, $A \in \mathcal{A} \setminus N$, then $|A \cap N| < d$.
- $[\lambda, \kappa, \omega] \rightarrow \omega_2$ (GCH) If $\mathcal{A} \subset [\lambda]^\kappa$ is ω -almost disjoint, $\mathcal{A} \in N \prec \mathcal{H}(\vartheta)$, $A \in \mathcal{A} \setminus N$, then $|A \cap N| < \omega_2$.
 - $N = \cup \{N_\zeta : \zeta < \mu\}$ continuous chain of elementary submodels
 - If $|A \cap N| \geq \omega_2$ then $\exists \zeta < \mu |A \cap N_\zeta| \geq \omega_1$.
 - If $\text{cf}(|N_\xi|) > \omega$ then $|N_\xi|^\omega = |N_\xi|$.
 - So $|\{A' \in \mathcal{A} : |A' \cap N_\xi| \geq \omega\}| \leq |N_\xi|$.
 - So $A \in \{A' \in \mathcal{A} : |A' \cap N_\xi| \geq \omega\} \subset N_{\xi+1} \subset N$.
 - If $\text{cf}(|N_\xi|) = \omega$ then $N_\xi = \cup \{M_n : n < \omega\}$, $|M_n| < |N_\xi|$.
 - $\exists n |A \cap M_n| \geq \omega_1$. $|\{A' \in \mathcal{A} : |A' \cap M_n| \geq \omega\}| \leq |M_n|^\omega < |M_n|$
 - $A \in \{A' \in \mathcal{A} : |A' \cap M_n| \geq \omega\} \subset M_{\xi+1}$

$$[\lambda, \kappa, \omega] \rightarrow \omega_2$$

- $[\lambda, \kappa, d] \rightarrow \omega$ If $\mathcal{A} \subset [\lambda]^\kappa$ is d -almost disjoint, $\mathcal{A} \in N \prec \mathcal{H}(\vartheta)$, $A \in \mathcal{A} \setminus N$, then $|A \cap N| < d$.
- $[\lambda, \kappa, \omega] \rightarrow \omega_2$ (GCH) If $\mathcal{A} \subset [\lambda]^\kappa$ is ω -almost disjoint, $\mathcal{A} \in N \prec \mathcal{H}(\vartheta)$, $A \in \mathcal{A} \setminus N$, then $|A \cap N| < \omega_2$.
 - $N = \cup \{N_\zeta : \zeta < \mu\}$ continuous chain of elementary submodels
 - If $|A \cap N| \geq \omega_2$ then $\exists \zeta < \mu |A \cap N_\zeta| \geq \omega_1$.
 - If $\text{cf}(|N_\xi|) > \omega$ then $|N_\xi|^\omega = |N_\xi|$.
 - So $|\{A' \in \mathcal{A} : |A' \cap N_\xi| \geq \omega\}| \leq |N_\xi|$.
 - So $A \in \{A' \in \mathcal{A} : |A' \cap N_\xi| \geq \omega\} \subset N_{\xi+1} \subset N$.
 - If $\text{cf}(|N_\xi|) = \omega$ then $N_\xi = \cup \{M_n : n < \omega\}$, $|M_n| < |N_\xi|$.
 - $\exists n |A \cap M_n| \geq \omega_1$. $|\{A' \in \mathcal{A} : |A' \cap M_n| \geq \omega\}| \leq |M_n|^\omega < |M_n|$
 - $A \in \{A' \in \mathcal{A} : |A' \cap M_n| \geq \omega\} \subset M_{\xi+1}$

$$[\lambda, \kappa, \omega] \rightarrow \omega_2$$

- $[\lambda, \kappa, d] \rightarrow \omega$ If $\mathcal{A} \subset [\lambda]^\kappa$ is d -almost disjoint, $\mathcal{A} \in N \prec \mathcal{H}(\vartheta)$, $A \in \mathcal{A} \setminus N$, then $|A \cap N| < d$.
- $[\lambda, \kappa, \omega] \rightarrow \omega_2$ (GCH) If $\mathcal{A} \subset [\lambda]^\kappa$ is ω -almost disjoint, $\mathcal{A} \in N \prec \mathcal{H}(\vartheta)$, $A \in \mathcal{A} \setminus N$, then $|A \cap N| < \omega_2$.
 - $N = \cup \{N_\zeta : \zeta < \mu\}$ continuous chain of elementary submodels
 - If $|A \cap N| \geq \omega_2$ then $\exists \zeta < \mu |A \cap N_\zeta| \geq \omega_1$.
 - If $\text{cf}(|N_\xi|) > \omega$ then $|N_\xi|^\omega = |N_\xi|$.
 - So $|\{A' \in \mathcal{A} : |A' \cap N_\xi| \geq \omega\}| \leq |N_\xi|$.
 - So $A \in \{A' \in \mathcal{A} : |A' \cap N_\xi| \geq \omega\} \subset N_{\xi+1} \subset N$.
 - If $\text{cf}(|N_\xi|) = \omega$ then $N_\xi = \cup \{M_n : n < \omega\}$, $|M_n| < |N_\xi|$.
 - $\exists n |A \cap M_n| \geq \omega_1$. $|\{A' \in \mathcal{A} : |A' \cap M_n| \geq \omega\}| \leq |M_n|^\omega < |M_n|$
 - $A \in \{A' \in \mathcal{A} : |A' \cap M_n| \geq \omega\} \subset M_{\xi+1}$

$$[\lambda, \kappa, \omega] \rightarrow \omega_2$$

- $[\lambda, \kappa, d] \rightarrow \omega$ If $\mathcal{A} \subset [\lambda]^\kappa$ is d -almost disjoint, $\mathcal{A} \in N \prec \mathcal{H}(\vartheta)$, $A \in \mathcal{A} \setminus N$, then $|A \cap N| < d$.
- $[\lambda, \kappa, \omega] \rightarrow \omega_2$ (GCH) If $\mathcal{A} \subset [\lambda]^\kappa$ is ω -almost disjoint, $\mathcal{A} \in N \prec \mathcal{H}(\vartheta)$, $A \in \mathcal{A} \setminus N$, then $|A \cap N| < \omega_2$.
 - $N = \cup\{N_\zeta : \zeta < \mu\}$ continuous chain of elementary submodels
 - If $|A \cap N| \geq \omega_2$ then $\exists \zeta < \mu$ $|A \cap N_\zeta| \geq \omega_1$.
 - If $\text{cf}(|N_\xi|) > \omega$ then $|N_\xi|^\omega = |N_\xi|$.
 - So $|\{A' \in \mathcal{A} : |A' \cap N_\xi| \geq \omega\}| \leq |N_\xi|$.
 - So $A \in \{A' \in \mathcal{A} : |A' \cap N_\xi| \geq \omega\} \subset N_{\xi+1} \subset N$.
 - If $\text{cf}(|N_\xi|) = \omega$ then $N_\xi = \cup\{M_n : n < \omega\}$, $|M_n| < |N_\xi|$.
 - $\exists n$ $|A \cap M_n| \geq \omega_1$. $|\{A' \in \mathcal{A} : |A' \cap M_n| \geq \omega\}| \leq |M_n|^\omega < |M_\xi|$
 - $A \in \{A' \in \mathcal{A} : |A' \cap M_n| \geq \omega\} \subset M_{\xi+1}$

$$[\lambda, \kappa, \omega] \rightarrow \omega_2$$

- $[\lambda, \kappa, d] \rightarrow \omega$ If $\mathcal{A} \subset [\lambda]^\kappa$ is d -almost disjoint, $\mathcal{A} \in N \prec \mathcal{H}(\vartheta)$, $A \in \mathcal{A} \setminus N$, then $|A \cap N| < d$.
- $[\lambda, \kappa, \omega] \rightarrow \omega_2$ (GCH) If $\mathcal{A} \subset [\lambda]^\kappa$ is ω -almost disjoint, $\mathcal{A} \in N \prec \mathcal{H}(\vartheta)$, $A \in \mathcal{A} \setminus N$, then $|A \cap N| < \omega_2$.
 - $N = \cup \{N_\zeta : \zeta < \mu\}$ continuous chain of elementary submodels
 - If $|A \cap N| \geq \omega_2$ then $\exists \zeta < \mu$ $|A \cap N_\zeta| \geq \omega_1$.
 - If $\text{cf}(|N_\xi|) > \omega$ then $|N_\xi|^\omega = |N_\xi|$.
 - So $|\{A' \in \mathcal{A} : |A' \cap N_\xi| \geq \omega\}| \leq |N_\xi|$.
 - So $A \in \{A' \in \mathcal{A} : |A' \cap N_\xi| \geq \omega\} \subset N_{\xi+1} \subset N$.
 - If $\text{cf}(|N_\xi|) = \omega$ then $N_\xi = \cup \{M_n : n < \omega\}$, $|M_n| < |N_\xi|$.
 - $\exists n$ $|A \cap M_n| \geq \omega_1$. $|\{A' \in \mathcal{A} : |A' \cap M_n| \geq \omega\}| \leq |M_n|^\omega < |M_\xi|$
 - $A \in \{A' \in \mathcal{A} : |A' \cap M_n| \geq \omega\} \subset M_{\xi+1}$

$$[\lambda, \kappa, \omega] \rightarrow \omega_2$$

- $[\lambda, \kappa, d] \rightarrow \omega$ If $\mathcal{A} \subset [\lambda]^\kappa$ is d -almost disjoint, $\mathcal{A} \in N \prec \mathcal{H}(\vartheta)$, $A \in \mathcal{A} \setminus N$, then $|A \cap N| < d$.
- $[\lambda, \kappa, \omega] \rightarrow \omega_2$ (GCH) If $\mathcal{A} \subset [\lambda]^\kappa$ is ω -almost disjoint, $\mathcal{A} \in N \prec \mathcal{H}(\vartheta)$, $A \in \mathcal{A} \setminus N$, then $|A \cap N| < \omega_2$.
 - $N = \cup \{N_\zeta : \zeta < \mu\}$ continuous chain of elementary submodels
 - If $|A \cap N| \geq \omega_2$ then $\exists \zeta < \mu$ $|A \cap N_\zeta| \geq \omega_1$.
 - If $\text{cf}(|N_\xi|) > \omega$ then $|N_\xi|^\omega = |N_\xi|$.
 - So $|\{A' \in \mathcal{A} : |A' \cap N_\xi| \geq \omega\}| \leq |N_\xi|$.
 - So $A \in \{A' \in \mathcal{A} : |A' \cap N_\xi| \geq \omega\} \subset N_{\xi+1} \subset N$.
 - If $\text{cf}(|N_\xi|) = \omega$ then $N_\xi = \cup \{M_n : n < \omega\}$, $|M_n| < |N_\xi|$.
 - $\exists n$ $|A \cap M_n| \geq \omega_1$. $|\{A' \in \mathcal{A} : |A' \cap M_n| \geq \omega\}| \leq |M_n|^\omega < |M_\xi|$
 - $A \in \{A' \in \mathcal{A} : |A' \cap M_n| \geq \omega\} \subset M_{\xi+1}$

$$[\lambda, \kappa, \omega] \rightarrow \omega_2$$

- $[\lambda, \kappa, d] \rightarrow \omega$ If $\mathcal{A} \subset [\lambda]^\kappa$ is d -almost disjoint, $\mathcal{A} \in N \prec \mathcal{H}(\vartheta)$, $A \in \mathcal{A} \setminus N$, then $|A \cap N| < d$.
- $[\lambda, \kappa, \omega] \rightarrow \omega_2$ (GCH) If $\mathcal{A} \subset [\lambda]^\kappa$ is ω -almost disjoint, $\mathcal{A} \in N \prec \mathcal{H}(\vartheta)$, $A \in \mathcal{A} \setminus N$, then $|A \cap N| < \omega_2$.
 - $N = \cup\{N_\zeta : \zeta < \mu\}$ continuous chain of elementary submodels
 - If $|A \cap N| \geq \omega_2$ then $\exists \zeta < \mu |A \cap N_\zeta| \geq \omega_1$.
 - If $\text{cf}(|N_\xi|) > \omega$ then $|N_\xi|^\omega = |N_\xi|$.
 - So $|\{A' \in \mathcal{A} : |A' \cap N_\xi| \geq \omega\}| \leq |N_\xi|$.
 - So $A \in \{A' \in \mathcal{A} : |A' \cap N_\xi| \geq \omega\} \subset N_{\xi+1} \subset N$.
 - If $\text{cf}(|N_\xi|) = \omega$ then $N_\xi = \cup\{M_n : n < \omega\}$, $|M_n| < |N_\xi|$.
 - $\exists n |A \cap M_n| \geq \omega_1$. $|\{A' \in \mathcal{A} : |A' \cap M_n| \geq \omega\}| \leq |M_n|^\omega < |M_\xi|$
 - $A \in \{A' \in \mathcal{A} : |A' \cap M_n| \geq \omega\} \subset M_{\xi+1}$

- $[\lambda, \kappa, \omega] \rightarrow \omega_2$ (GCH) If $\mathcal{A} \subset [\lambda]^\kappa$ is ω -almost disjoint, $\mathcal{A} \in N \prec \mathcal{H}(\vartheta)$, $A \in \mathcal{A} \setminus N$, then $|A \cap N| < \omega_2$.
 - $N = \cup\{N_\zeta : \zeta < \mu\}$ continuous chain of elementary submodels
 - If $|A \cap N| \geq \omega_2$ then $\exists \zeta < \mu |A \cap N_\zeta| \geq \omega_1$.
 - If $\text{cf}(|N_\xi|) = \omega$ then $N_\xi = \cup\{M_n : n < \omega\}$, $|M_n| < |N_\xi|$.
 - $\exists n |A \cap M_n| \geq \omega_1$. $|\{A' \in \mathcal{A} : |A \cap M_n| \geq \omega\}| \leq |M_n|^\omega < |M_\xi|$
 - $A \in \{A' \in \mathcal{A} : |A \cap M_n| \geq \omega\} \subset M_{\xi+1}$
- $[\lambda, \kappa, \omega] \rightarrow \omega_1$ (GCH) If $\mathcal{A} \subset [\lambda]^\kappa$ is ω -almost disjoint, $\mathcal{A} \in N \prec \mathcal{H}(\vartheta)$, $A \in \mathcal{A} \setminus N$, then $|A \cap N| < \omega_1$.
 - $N = \cup\{N_\zeta : \zeta < \mu\}$ continuous chain of elementary submodels
 - If $|A \cap N| \geq \omega_1$ then $\exists \zeta < \mu |A \cap N_\zeta| \geq \omega_1$.
 - If $\text{cf}(|N_\xi|) = \omega$ then $N_\xi = \cup\{M_n : n < \omega\}$, $|M_n| < |N_\xi|$.
 - Maybe $\forall n |A \cap M_n| < \omega$.

$$[\lambda, \kappa, \omega] \rightarrow \omega_2$$

- $[\lambda, \kappa, \omega] \rightarrow \omega_2$ (GCH) If $\mathcal{A} \subset [\lambda]^\kappa$ is ω -almost disjoint, $\mathcal{A} \in N \prec \mathcal{H}(\vartheta)$, $A \in \mathcal{A} \setminus N$, then $|A \cap N| < \omega_2$.
 - $N = \cup\{N_\zeta : \zeta < \mu\}$ continuous chain of elementary submodels
 - If $|A \cap N| \geq \omega_2$ then $\exists \zeta < \mu |A \cap N_\zeta| \geq \omega_1$.
 - If $\text{cf}(|N_\xi|) = \omega$ then $N_\xi = \cup\{M_n : n < \omega\}$, $|M_n| < |N_\xi|$.
 - $\exists n |A \cap M_n| \geq \omega_1$. $|\{A' \in \mathcal{A} : |A \cap M_n| \geq \omega\}| \leq |M_n|^\omega < |M_\xi|$
 - $A \in \{A' \in \mathcal{A} : |A \cap M_n| \geq \omega\} \subset M_{\xi+1}$
- $[\lambda, \kappa, \omega] \rightarrow \omega_1$ (GCH) If $\mathcal{A} \subset [\lambda]^\kappa$ is ω -almost disjoint, $\mathcal{A} \in N \prec \mathcal{H}(\vartheta)$, $A \in \mathcal{A} \setminus N$, then $|A \cap N| < \omega_1$.
 - $N = \cup\{N_\zeta : \zeta < \mu\}$ continuous chain of elementary submodels
 - If $|A \cap N| \geq \omega_1$ then $\exists \zeta < \mu |A \cap N_\zeta| \geq \omega$.
 - If $\text{cf}(|N_\xi|) = \omega$ then $N_\xi = \cup\{M_n : n < \omega\}$, $|M_n| < |N_\xi|$.
 - Maybe $\forall n |A \cap M_n| < \omega$.

- $[\lambda, \kappa, \omega] \rightarrow \omega_2$ (GCH) If $\mathcal{A} \subset [\lambda]^\kappa$ is ω -almost disjoint, $\mathcal{A} \in N \prec \mathcal{H}(\vartheta)$, $A \in \mathcal{A} \setminus N$, then $|A \cap N| < \omega_2$.
 - $N = \cup\{N_\zeta : \zeta < \mu\}$ continuous chain of elementary submodels
 - If $|A \cap N| \geq \omega_2$ then $\exists \zeta < \mu |A \cap N_\zeta| \geq \omega_1$.
 - If $\text{cf}(|N_\xi|) = \omega$ then $N_\xi = \cup\{M_n : n < \omega\}$, $|M_n| < |N_\xi|$.
 - $\exists n |A \cap M_n| \geq \omega_1$. $|\{A' \in \mathcal{A} : |A \cap M_n| \geq \omega\}| \leq |M_n|^\omega < |M_\xi|$
 - $A \in \{A' \in \mathcal{A} : |A \cap M_n| \geq \omega\} \subset M_{\xi+1}$
- $[\lambda, \kappa, \omega] \rightarrow \omega_1$ (GCH) If $\mathcal{A} \subset [\lambda]^\kappa$ is ω -almost disjoint, $\mathcal{A} \in N \prec \mathcal{H}(\vartheta)$, $A \in \mathcal{A} \setminus N$, then $|A \cap N| < \omega_1$.
 - $N = \cup\{N_\zeta : \zeta < \mu\}$ continuous chain of elementary submodels
 - If $|A \cap N| \geq \omega_1$ then $\exists \zeta < \mu |A \cap N_\zeta| \geq \omega$.
 - If $\text{cf}(|N_\xi|) = \omega$ then $N_\xi = \cup\{M_n : n < \omega\}$, $|M_n| < |N_\xi|$.
 - Maybe $\forall n |A \cap M_n| < \omega$.

$$[\lambda, \kappa, \omega] \rightarrow \omega_2$$

- $[\lambda, \kappa, \omega] \rightarrow \omega_2$ **(GCH)** If $\mathcal{A} \subset [\lambda]^\kappa$ is ω -almost disjoint, $\mathcal{A} \in N \prec \mathcal{H}(\vartheta)$, $A \in \mathcal{A} \setminus N$, then $|A \cap N| < \omega_2$.
 - $N = \cup\{N_\zeta : \zeta < \mu\}$ continuous chain of elementary submodels
 - If $|A \cap N| \geq \omega_2$ then $\exists \zeta < \mu |A \cap N_\zeta| \geq \omega_1$.
 - If $\text{cf}(|N_\xi|) = \omega$ then $N_\xi = \cup\{M_n : n < \omega\}$, $|M_n| < |N_\xi|$.
 - $\exists n |A \cap M_n| \geq \omega_1$. $|\{A' \in \mathcal{A} : |A \cap M_n| \geq \omega\}| \leq |M_n|^\omega < |M_\xi|$
 - $A \in \{A' \in \mathcal{A} : |A \cap M_n| \geq \omega\} \subset M_{\xi+1}$
- $[\lambda, \kappa, \omega] \rightarrow \omega_1$ **(GCH)** If $\mathcal{A} \subset [\lambda]^\kappa$ is ω -almost disjoint, $\mathcal{A} \in N \prec \mathcal{H}(\vartheta)$, $A \in \mathcal{A} \setminus N$, then $|A \cap N| < \omega_1$.
 - $N = \cup\{N_\zeta : \zeta < \mu\}$ continuous chain of elementary submodels
 - If $|A \cap N| \geq \omega_1$ then $\exists \zeta < \mu |A \cap N_\zeta| \geq \omega$.
 - If $\text{cf}(|N_\xi|) = \omega$ then $N_\xi = \cup\{M_n : n < \omega\}$, $|M_n| < |N_\xi|$.
 - Maybe $\forall n |A \cap M_n| < \omega$.

$$[\lambda, \kappa, \omega] \rightarrow \omega_2$$

- $[\lambda, \kappa, \omega] \rightarrow \omega_2$ **(GCH)** If $\mathcal{A} \subset [\lambda]^\kappa$ is ω -almost disjoint, $\mathcal{A} \in N \prec \mathcal{H}(\vartheta)$, $A \in \mathcal{A} \setminus N$, then $|A \cap N| < \omega_2$.
 - $N = \cup\{N_\zeta : \zeta < \mu\}$ continuous chain of elementary submodels
 - If $|A \cap N| \geq \omega_2$ then $\exists \zeta < \mu |A \cap N_\zeta| \geq \omega_1$.
 - If $\text{cf}(|N_\xi|) = \omega$ then $N_\xi = \cup\{M_n : n < \omega\}$, $|M_n| < |N_\xi|$.
 - $\exists n |A \cap M_n| \geq \omega_1$. $|\{A' \in \mathcal{A} : |A \cap M_n| \geq \omega\}| \leq |M_n|^\omega < |M_\xi|$
 - $A \in \{A' \in \mathcal{A} : |A \cap M_n| \geq \omega\} \subset M_{\xi+1}$
- $[\lambda, \kappa, \omega] \rightarrow \omega_1$ **(GCH)** If $\mathcal{A} \subset [\lambda]^\kappa$ is ω -almost disjoint, $\mathcal{A} \in N \prec \mathcal{H}(\vartheta)$, $A \in \mathcal{A} \setminus N$, then $|A \cap N| < \omega_1$.
 - $N = \cup\{N_\zeta : \zeta < \mu\}$ continuous chain of elementary submodels
 - If $|A \cap N| \geq \omega_1$ then $\exists \zeta < \mu |A \cap N_\zeta| \geq \omega$.
 - If $\text{cf}(|N_\xi|) = \omega$ then $N_\xi = \cup\{M_n : n < \omega\}$, $|M_n| < |N_\xi|$.
 - Maybe $\forall n |A \cap M_n| < \omega$.

$$[\lambda, \kappa, \omega] \rightarrow \omega_2$$

- $[\lambda, \kappa, \omega] \rightarrow \omega_2$ (GCH) If $\mathcal{A} \subset [\lambda]^\kappa$ is ω -almost disjoint, $\mathcal{A} \in \mathcal{N} \prec \mathcal{H}(\vartheta)$, $A \in \mathcal{A} \setminus \mathcal{N}$, then $|A \cap N| < \omega_2$.
 - $N = \cup\{N_\zeta : \zeta < \mu\}$ continuous chain of elementary submodels
 - If $|A \cap N| \geq \omega_2$ then $\exists \zeta < \mu |A \cap N_\zeta| \geq \omega_1$.
 - If $\text{cf}(|N_\xi|) = \omega$ then $N_\xi = \cup\{M_n : n < \omega\}$, $|M_n| < |N_\xi|$.
 - $\exists n |A \cap M_n| \geq \omega_1$. $|\{A' \in \mathcal{A} : |A \cap M_n| \geq \omega\}| \leq |M_n|^\omega < |M_\xi|$
 - $A \in \{A' \in \mathcal{A} : |A \cap M_n| \geq \omega\} \subset M_{\xi+1}$
- $[\lambda, \kappa, \omega] \rightarrow \omega_1$ (GCH) If $\mathcal{A} \subset [\lambda]^\kappa$ is ω -almost disjoint, $\mathcal{A} \in \mathcal{N} \prec \mathcal{H}(\vartheta)$, $A \in \mathcal{A} \setminus \mathcal{N}$, then $|A \cap N| < \omega_1$.
 - $N = \cup\{N_\zeta : \zeta < \mu\}$ continuous chain of elementary submodels
 - If $|A \cap N| \geq \omega_1$ then $\exists \zeta < \mu |A \cap N_\zeta| \geq \omega$.
 - If $\text{cf}(|N_\xi|) = \omega$ then $N_\xi = \cup\{M_n : n < \omega\}$, $|M_n| < |N_\xi|$.
 - Maybe $\forall n |A \cap M_n| < \omega$.

$$[\lambda, \kappa, \omega] \rightarrow \omega_2$$

- $[\lambda, \kappa, \omega] \rightarrow \omega_2$ **(GCH)** If $\mathcal{A} \subset [\lambda]^\kappa$ is ω -almost disjoint, $\mathcal{A} \in N \prec \mathcal{H}(\vartheta)$, $A \in \mathcal{A} \setminus N$, then $|A \cap N| < \omega_2$.
 - $N = \cup\{N_\zeta : \zeta < \mu\}$ continuous chain of elementary submodels
 - If $|A \cap N| \geq \omega_2$ then $\exists \zeta < \mu |A \cap N_\zeta| \geq \omega_1$.
 - If $\text{cf}(|N_\xi|) = \omega$ then $N_\xi = \cup\{M_n : n < \omega\}$, $|M_n| < |N_\xi|$.
 - $\exists n |A \cap M_n| \geq \omega_1$. $|\{A' \in \mathcal{A} : |A \cap M_n| \geq \omega\}| \leq |M_n|^\omega < |M_\xi|$
 - $A \in \{A' \in \mathcal{A} : |A \cap M_n| \geq \omega\} \subset M_{\xi+1}$
- $[\lambda, \kappa, \omega] \rightarrow \omega_1$ **(GCH)** If $\mathcal{A} \subset [\lambda]^\kappa$ is ω -almost disjoint, $\mathcal{A} \in N \prec \mathcal{H}(\vartheta)$, $A \in \mathcal{A} \setminus N$, then $|A \cap N| < \omega_1$.
 - $N = \cup\{N_\zeta : \zeta < \mu\}$ continuous chain of elementary submodels
 - If $|A \cap N| \geq \omega_1$ then $\exists \zeta < \mu |A \cap N_\zeta| \geq \omega$.
 - If $\text{cf}(|N_\xi|) = \omega$ then $N_\xi = \cup\{M_n : n < \omega\}$, $|M_n| < |N_\xi|$.
 - Maybe $\forall n |A \cap M_n| < \omega$.

$$[\lambda, \kappa, \omega] \rightarrow \omega_2$$

- $[\lambda, \kappa, \omega] \rightarrow \omega_2$ **(GCH)** If $\mathcal{A} \subset [\lambda]^\kappa$ is ω -almost disjoint, $\mathcal{A} \in N \prec \mathcal{H}(\vartheta)$, $A \in \mathcal{A} \setminus N$, then $|A \cap N| < \omega_2$.
 - $N = \cup\{N_\zeta : \zeta < \mu\}$ continuous chain of elementary submodels
 - If $|A \cap N| \geq \omega_2$ then $\exists \zeta < \mu |A \cap N_\zeta| \geq \omega_1$.
 - If $\text{cf}(|N_\xi|) = \omega$ then $N_\xi = \cup\{M_n : n < \omega\}$, $|M_n| < |N_\xi|$.
 - $\exists n |A \cap M_n| \geq \omega_1$. $|\{A' \in \mathcal{A} : |A \cap M_n| \geq \omega\}| \leq |M_n|^\omega < |M_\xi|$
 - $A \in \{A' \in \mathcal{A} : |A \cap M_n| \geq \omega\} \subset M_{\xi+1}$
- $[\lambda, \kappa, \omega] \rightarrow \omega_1$ **(GCH)** If $\mathcal{A} \subset [\lambda]^\kappa$ is ω -almost disjoint, $\mathcal{A} \in N \prec \mathcal{H}(\vartheta)$, $A \in \mathcal{A} \setminus N$, then $|A \cap N| < \omega_1$.
 - $N = \cup\{N_\zeta : \zeta < \mu\}$ continuous chain of elementary submodels
 - If $|A \cap N| \geq \omega_1$ then $\exists \zeta < \mu |A \cap N_\zeta| \geq \omega$.
 - If $\text{cf}(|N_\xi|) = \omega$ then $N_\xi = \cup\{M_n : n < \omega\}$, $|M_n| < |N_\xi|$.
 - Maybe $\forall n |A \cap M_n| < \omega$.

$$[\lambda, \kappa, \omega] \rightarrow \omega_2$$

- $[\lambda, \kappa, \omega] \rightarrow \omega_2$ **(GCH)** If $\mathcal{A} \subset [\lambda]^\kappa$ is ω -almost disjoint, $\mathcal{A} \in N \prec \mathcal{H}(\vartheta)$, $A \in \mathcal{A} \setminus N$, then $|A \cap N| < \omega_2$.
 - $N = \cup\{N_\zeta : \zeta < \mu\}$ continuous chain of elementary submodels
 - If $|A \cap N| \geq \omega_2$ then $\exists \zeta < \mu |A \cap N_\zeta| \geq \omega_1$.
 - If $\text{cf}(|N_\xi|) = \omega$ then $N_\xi = \cup\{M_n : n < \omega\}$, $|M_n| < |N_\xi|$.
 - $\exists n |A \cap M_n| \geq \omega_1$. $|\{A' \in \mathcal{A} : |A \cap M_n| \geq \omega\}| \leq |M_n|^\omega < |M_\xi|$
 - $A \in \{A' \in \mathcal{A} : |A \cap M_n| \geq \omega\} \subset M_{\xi+1}$
- $[\lambda, \kappa, \omega] \rightarrow \omega_1$ **(GCH)** If $\mathcal{A} \subset [\lambda]^\kappa$ is ω -almost disjoint, $\mathcal{A} \in N \prec \mathcal{H}(\vartheta)$, $A \in \mathcal{A} \setminus N$, then $|A \cap N| < \omega_1$.
 - $N = \cup\{N_\zeta : \zeta < \mu\}$ continuous chain of elementary submodels
 - If $|A \cap N| \geq \omega_1$ then $\exists \zeta < \mu |A \cap N_\zeta| \geq \omega$.
 - If $\text{cf}(|N_\xi|) = \omega$ then $N_\xi = \cup\{M_n : n < \omega\}$, $|M_n| < |N_\xi|$.
 - Maybe $\forall n |A \cap M_n| < \omega$.

$$[\lambda, \kappa, \omega] \rightarrow \omega_2$$

- $[\lambda, \kappa, \omega] \rightarrow \omega_2$ **(GCH)** If $\mathcal{A} \subset [\lambda]^\kappa$ is ω -almost disjoint, $\mathcal{A} \in N \prec \mathcal{H}(\vartheta)$, $A \in \mathcal{A} \setminus N$, then $|A \cap N| < \omega_2$.
 - $N = \cup\{N_\zeta : \zeta < \mu\}$ continuous chain of elementary submodels
 - If $|A \cap N| \geq \omega_2$ then $\exists \zeta < \mu |A \cap N_\zeta| \geq \omega_1$.
 - If $\text{cf}(|N_\xi|) = \omega$ then $N_\xi = \cup\{M_n : n < \omega\}$, $|M_n| < |N_\xi|$.
 - $\exists n |A \cap M_n| \geq \omega_1$. $|\{A' \in \mathcal{A} : |A \cap M_n| \geq \omega\}| \leq |M_n|^\omega < |M_\xi|$
 - $A \in \{A' \in \mathcal{A} : |A \cap M_n| \geq \omega\} \subset M_{\xi+1}$
- $[\lambda, \kappa, \omega] \rightarrow \omega_1$ **(GCH)** If $\mathcal{A} \subset [\lambda]^\kappa$ is ω -almost disjoint, $\mathcal{A} \in N \prec \mathcal{H}(\vartheta)$, $A \in \mathcal{A} \setminus N$, then $|A \cap N| < \omega_1$.
 - $N = \cup\{N_\zeta : \zeta < \mu\}$ continuous chain of elementary submodels
 - If $|A \cap N| \geq \omega_1$ then $\exists \zeta < \mu |A \cap N_\zeta| \geq \omega$.
 - If $\text{cf}(|N_\xi|) = \omega$ then $N_\xi = \cup\{M_n : n < \omega\}$, $|M_n| < |N_\xi|$.
 - Maybe $\forall n |A \cap M_n| < \omega$.

$$[\lambda, \kappa, \omega] \rightarrow \omega_2$$

- $[\lambda, \kappa, \omega] \rightarrow \omega_2$ **(GCH)** If $\mathcal{A} \subset [\lambda]^\kappa$ is ω -almost disjoint, $\mathcal{A} \in N \prec \mathcal{H}(\vartheta)$, $A \in \mathcal{A} \setminus N$, then $|A \cap N| < \omega_2$.
 - $N = \cup\{N_\zeta : \zeta < \mu\}$ continuous chain of elementary submodels
 - If $|A \cap N| \geq \omega_2$ then $\exists \zeta < \mu |A \cap N_\zeta| \geq \omega_1$.
 - If $\text{cf}(|N_\xi|) = \omega$ then $N_\xi = \cup\{M_n : n < \omega\}$, $|M_n| < |N_\xi|$.
 - $\exists n |A \cap M_n| \geq \omega_1$. $|\{A' \in \mathcal{A} : |A \cap M_n| \geq \omega\}| \leq |M_n|^\omega < |M_\xi|$
 - $A \in \{A' \in \mathcal{A} : |A \cap M_n| \geq \omega\} \subset M_{\xi+1}$
- $[\lambda, \kappa, \omega] \rightarrow \omega_1$ **(GCH)** If $\mathcal{A} \subset [\lambda]^\kappa$ is ω -almost disjoint, $\mathcal{A} \in N \prec \mathcal{H}(\vartheta)$, $A \in \mathcal{A} \setminus N$, then $|A \cap N| < \omega_1$.
 - $N = \cup\{N_\zeta : \zeta < \mu\}$ continuous chain of elementary submodels
 - If $|A \cap N| \geq \omega_1$ then $\exists \zeta < \mu |A \cap N_\zeta| \geq \omega$.
 - If $\text{cf}(|N_\xi|) = \omega$ then $N_\xi = \cup\{M_n : n < \omega\}$, $|M_n| < |N_\xi|$.
 - Maybe $\forall n |A \cap M_n| < \omega$.

$$[\lambda, \kappa, \omega] \rightarrow \omega_2$$

- $[\lambda, \kappa, \omega] \rightarrow \omega_2$ **(GCH)** If $\mathcal{A} \subset [\lambda]^\kappa$ is ω -almost disjoint, $\mathcal{A} \in N \prec \mathcal{H}(\vartheta)$, $A \in \mathcal{A} \setminus N$, then $|A \cap N| < \omega_2$.
 - $N = \cup\{N_\zeta : \zeta < \mu\}$ continuous chain of elementary submodels
 - If $|A \cap N| \geq \omega_2$ then $\exists \zeta < \mu |A \cap N_\zeta| \geq \omega_1$.
 - If $\text{cf}(|N_\xi|) = \omega$ then $N_\xi = \cup\{M_n : n < \omega\}$, $|M_n| < |N_\xi|$.
 - $\exists n |A \cap M_n| \geq \omega_1$. $|\{A' \in \mathcal{A} : |A \cap M_n| \geq \omega\}| \leq |M_n|^\omega < |M_\xi|$
 - $A \in \{A' \in \mathcal{A} : |A \cap M_n| \geq \omega\} \subset M_{\xi+1}$
- $[\lambda, \kappa, \omega] \rightarrow \omega_1$ **(GCH)** If $\mathcal{A} \subset [\lambda]^\kappa$ is ω -almost disjoint, $\mathcal{A} \in N \prec \mathcal{H}(\vartheta)$, $A \in \mathcal{A} \setminus N$, then $|A \cap N| < \omega_1$.
 - $N = \cup\{N_\zeta : \zeta < \mu\}$ continuous chain of elementary submodels
 - If $|A \cap N| \geq \omega_1$ then $\exists \zeta < \mu |A \cap N_\zeta| \geq \omega$.
 - If $\text{cf}(|N_\xi|) = \omega$ then $N_\xi = \cup\{M_n : n < \omega\}$, $|M_n| < |N_\xi|$.
 - Maybe $\forall n |A \cap M_n| < \omega$.

$$[\lambda, \kappa, \omega] \rightarrow \omega_2$$

- $[\lambda, \kappa, \omega] \rightarrow \omega_2$ **(GCH)** If $\mathcal{A} \subset [\lambda]^\kappa$ is ω -almost disjoint, $\mathcal{A} \in N \prec \mathcal{H}(\vartheta)$, $A \in \mathcal{A} \setminus N$, then $|A \cap N| < \omega_2$.
 - $N = \cup\{N_\zeta : \zeta < \mu\}$ continuous chain of elementary submodels
 - If $|A \cap N| \geq \omega_2$ then $\exists \zeta < \mu |A \cap N_\zeta| \geq \omega_1$.
 - If $\text{cf}(|N_\xi|) = \omega$ then $N_\xi = \cup\{M_n : n < \omega\}$, $|M_n| < |N_\xi|$.
 - $\exists n |A \cap M_n| \geq \omega_1$. $|\{A' \in \mathcal{A} : |A \cap M_n| \geq \omega\}| \leq |M_n|^\omega < |M_\xi|$
 - $A \in \{A' \in \mathcal{A} : |A \cap M_n| \geq \omega\} \subset M_{\xi+1}$
- $[\lambda, \kappa, \omega] \rightarrow \omega_1$ **(GCH)** If $\mathcal{A} \subset [\lambda]^\kappa$ is ω -almost disjoint, $\mathcal{A} \in N \prec \mathcal{H}(\vartheta)$, $A \in \mathcal{A} \setminus N$, then $|A \cap N| < \omega_1$.
 - $N = \cup\{N_\zeta : \zeta < \mu\}$ continuous chain of elementary submodels
 - If $|A \cap N| \geq \omega_1$ then $\exists \zeta < \mu |A \cap N_\zeta| \geq \omega$.
 - If $\text{cf}(|N_\xi|) = \omega$ then $N_\xi = \cup\{M_n : n < \omega\}$, $|M_n| < |N_\xi|$.
 - Maybe $\forall n |A \cap M_n| < \omega$.

\mathcal{A} is ω -almost disjoint

ω_1 colors may not be enough

$\star(\lambda)$: there is a **stationary set** $S \subset E_{\omega_1}^\lambda$ and an ω -almost disjoint family $\{A_\alpha : \alpha \in S\}$ such that $A_\alpha \subset \alpha$ is cofinal for $\alpha \in S$.

Theorem

Assume that GCH holds and we have $\star(\lambda)$ for some regular cardinal $\lambda > \omega_1$. Then there is a stationary set $S^* \subset E_{\omega_1}^\lambda$ and there is an ω -almost disjoint family $\{E_\alpha : \alpha \in S^*\}$ such that

- (1) $E_\alpha \subset \alpha$ is cofinal in α for each $\alpha \in S^*$,
- (2) for each $B \in [\lambda]^\lambda$ there is $\alpha \in S^*$ with $E_\alpha \subset B$.

Corollary

Assume that GCH. If $\star(\lambda)$ holds for some regular cardinal $\lambda > \omega_1$, then there is an ω -almost disjoint family $\mathcal{A} \subset [\lambda]^{\omega_1}$ with $\chi(\mathcal{A}) = \lambda$. Especially $\chi_{\text{OF}}([\lambda, \omega_1, \omega]) = \lambda$.

\mathcal{A} is ω -almost disjoint

ω_1 colors may not be enough

$\star(\lambda)$: there is a **stationary set** $S \subset E_{\omega_1}^\lambda$ and an ω -almost disjoint family $\{A_\alpha : \alpha \in S\}$ such that $A_\alpha \subset \alpha$ is cofinal for $\alpha \in S$.

Theorem

Assume that GCH holds and we have $\star(\lambda)$ for some regular cardinal $\lambda > \omega_1$. Then there is a stationary set $S^* \subset E_{\omega_1}^\lambda$ and there is an ω -almost disjoint family $\{E_\alpha : \alpha \in S^*\}$ such that

- (1) $E_\alpha \subset \alpha$ is cofinal in α for each $\alpha \in S^*$,
- (2) for each $B \in [\lambda]^\lambda$ there is $\alpha \in S^*$ with $E_\alpha \subset B$.

Corollary

Assume that GCH. If $\star(\lambda)$ holds for some regular cardinal $\lambda > \omega_1$, then there is an ω -almost disjoint family $\mathcal{A} \subset [\lambda]^{\omega_1}$ with $\chi(\mathcal{A}) = \lambda$. Especially $\chi_{\text{OF}}([\lambda, \omega_1, \omega]) = \lambda$.

\mathcal{A} is ω -almost disjoint

ω_1 colors may not be enough

$\star(\lambda)$: there is a **stationary set** $S \subset E_{\omega_1}^\lambda$ and an ω -almost disjoint family $\{A_\alpha : \alpha \in S\}$ such that $A_\alpha \subset \alpha$ is cofinal for $\alpha \in S$.

Theorem

Assume that GCH holds and we have $\star(\lambda)$ for some regular cardinal $\lambda > \omega_1$. Then there is a stationary set $S^* \subset E_{\omega_1}^\lambda$ and there is an ω -almost disjoint family $\{E_\alpha : \alpha \in S^*\}$ such that

- (1) $E_\alpha \subset \alpha$ is cofinal in α for each $\alpha \in S^*$,
- (2) for each $B \in [\lambda]^\lambda$ there is $\alpha \in S^*$ with $E_\alpha \subset B$.

Corollary

Assume that GCH. If $\star(\lambda)$ holds for some regular cardinal $\lambda > \omega_1$, then there is an ω -almost disjoint family $\mathcal{A} \subset [\lambda]^{\omega_1}$ with $\chi(\mathcal{A}) = \lambda$. Especially $\chi_{\text{OF}}([\lambda, \omega_1, \omega]) = \lambda$.

\mathcal{A} is ω -almost disjoint

ω_1 colors may not be enough

$\star(\lambda)$: there is a **stationary set** $S \subset E_{\omega_1}^\lambda$ and an ω -almost disjoint family $\{A_\alpha : \alpha \in S\}$ such that $A_\alpha \subset \alpha$ is cofinal for $\alpha \in S$.

Theorem

Assume that GCH holds and we have $\star(\lambda)$ for some regular cardinal $\lambda > \omega_1$. Then there is a stationary set $S^* \subset E_{\omega_1}^\lambda$ and there is an ω -almost disjoint family $\{E_\alpha : \alpha \in S^*\}$ such that

- (1) $E_\alpha \subset \alpha$ is cofinal in α for each $\alpha \in S^*$,
- (2) for each $B \in [\lambda]^\lambda$ there is $\alpha \in S^*$ with $E_\alpha \subset B$.

Corollary

Assume that GCH. If $\star(\lambda)$ holds for some regular cardinal $\lambda > \omega_1$, then there is an ω -almost disjoint family $\mathcal{A} \subset [\lambda]^{\omega_1}$ with $\chi(\mathcal{A}) = \lambda$. Especially $\chi_{\text{OF}}([\lambda, \omega_1, \omega]) = \lambda$.

\mathcal{A} is ω -almost disjoint

ω_1 colors may not be enough

$\star(\lambda)$: there is a **stationary set** $S \subset E_{\omega_1}^\lambda$ and an ω -almost disjoint family $\{A_\alpha : \alpha \in S\}$ such that $A_\alpha \subset \alpha$ is cofinal for $\alpha \in S$.

Theorem

Assume that GCH holds and we have $\star(\lambda)$ for some regular cardinal $\lambda > \omega_1$. Then there is a stationary set $S^* \subset E_{\omega_1}^\lambda$ and there is an ω -almost disjoint family $\{E_\alpha : \alpha \in S^*\}$ such that

- (1) $E_\alpha \subset \alpha$ is cofinal in α for each $\alpha \in S^*$,
- (2) for each $B \in [\lambda]^\lambda$ there is $\alpha \in S^*$ with $E_\alpha \subset B$.

Corollary

Assume that GCH. If $\star(\lambda)$ holds for some regular cardinal $\lambda > \omega_1$, then there is an ω -almost disjoint family $\mathcal{A} \subset [\lambda]^{\omega_1}$ with $\chi(\mathcal{A}) = \lambda$. Especially $\chi_{\text{ord}}([\lambda, \omega_1, \omega]) = \lambda$.

\mathcal{A} is ω -almost disjoint

ω_1 colors may not be enough

$\star(\lambda)$: there is a **stationary set** $S \subset E_{\omega_1}^\lambda$ and an ω -almost disjoint family $\{A_\alpha : \alpha \in S\}$ such that $A_\alpha \subset \alpha$ is cofinal for $\alpha \in S$.

Theorem

Assume that GCH holds and we have $\star(\lambda)$ for some regular cardinal $\lambda > \omega_1$. Then there is a stationary set $S^* \subset E_{\omega_1}^\lambda$ and there is an ω -almost disjoint family $\{E_\alpha : \alpha \in S^*\}$ such that

- (1) $E_\alpha \subset \alpha$ is cofinal in α for each $\alpha \in S^*$,
- (2) for each $B \in [\lambda]^\lambda$ there is $\alpha \in S^*$ with $E_\alpha \subset B$.

Corollary

Assume that GCH. If $\star(\lambda)$ holds for some regular cardinal $\lambda > \omega_1$, then there is an ω -almost disjoint family $\mathcal{A} \subset [\lambda]^{\omega_1}$ with $\chi(\mathcal{A}) = \lambda$. Especially $\chi_{\text{or}}([\lambda, \omega_1, \omega]) = \lambda$.

\mathcal{A} is ω -almost disjoint

ω_1 colors may not be enough

$\star(\lambda)$: there is a **stationary set** $S \subset E_{\omega_1}^\lambda$ and an ω -almost disjoint family $\{A_\alpha : \alpha \in S\}$ such that $A_\alpha \subset \alpha$ is cofinal for $\alpha \in S$.

Theorem

Assume that GCH holds and we have $\star(\lambda)$ for some regular cardinal $\lambda > \omega_1$. Then there is a stationary set $S^* \subset E_{\omega_1}^\lambda$ and there is an ω -almost disjoint family $\{E_\alpha : \alpha \in S^*\}$ such that

- (1) $E_\alpha \subset \alpha$ is cofinal in α for each $\alpha \in S^*$,
- (2) for each $B \in [\lambda]^\lambda$ there is $\alpha \in S^*$ with $E_\alpha \subset B$.

Corollary

Assume that GCH. If $\star(\lambda)$ holds for some regular cardinal $\lambda > \omega_1$, then there is an ω -almost disjoint family $\mathcal{A} \subset [\lambda]^{\omega_1}$ with $\chi(\mathcal{A}) = \lambda$. Especially $\chi_{\text{CF}}([\lambda, \omega_1, \omega]) = \lambda$.

\mathcal{A} is ω -almost disjoint

ω_1 colors may not be enough

$\star(\lambda)$: there is a **stationary set** $S \subset E_{\omega_1}^\lambda$ and an ω -almost disjoint family $\{A_\alpha : \alpha \in S\}$ such that $A_\alpha \subset \alpha$ is cofinal for $\alpha \in S$.

Theorem

Assume that GCH holds and we have $\star(\lambda)$ for some regular cardinal $\lambda > \omega_1$. Then there is a stationary set $S^* \subset E_{\omega_1}^\lambda$ and there is an ω -almost disjoint family $\{E_\alpha : \alpha \in S^*\}$ such that

- (1) $E_\alpha \subset \alpha$ is cofinal in α for each $\alpha \in S^*$,
- (2) for each $B \in [\lambda]^\lambda$ there is $\alpha \in S^*$ with $E_\alpha \subset B$.

Corollary

Assume that GCH. If $\star(\lambda)$ holds for some regular cardinal $\lambda > \omega_1$, then there is an ω -almost disjoint family $\mathcal{A} \subset [\lambda]^{\omega_1}$ with $\chi(\mathcal{A}) = \lambda$. Especially $\chi_{\text{CF}}([\lambda, \omega_1, \omega]) = \lambda$.

\mathcal{A} is ω -almost disjoint

ω_1 colors may not be enough

$\star(\lambda)$: there is a **stationary set** $S \subset E_{\omega_1}^\lambda$ and an ω -almost disjoint family $\{A_\alpha : \alpha \in S\}$ such that $A_\alpha \subset \alpha$ is cofinal for $\alpha \in S$.

Theorem

Assume that GCH holds and we have $\star(\lambda)$ for some regular cardinal $\lambda > \omega_1$. Then there is a stationary set $S^* \subset E_{\omega_1}^\lambda$ and there is an ω -almost disjoint family $\{E_\alpha : \alpha \in S^*\}$ such that

- (1) $E_\alpha \subset \alpha$ is cofinal in α for each $\alpha \in S^*$,
- (2) for each $B \in [\lambda]^\lambda$ there is $\alpha \in S^*$ with $E_\alpha \subset B$.

Corollary

Assume that GCH. If $\star(\lambda)$ holds for some regular cardinal $\lambda > \omega_1$, then there is an ω -almost disjoint family $\mathcal{A} \subset [\lambda]^{\omega_1}$ with $\chi(\mathcal{A}) = \lambda$. Especially $\chi_{\text{CF}}([\lambda, \omega_1, \omega]) = \lambda$.

\mathcal{A} is ω -almost disjoint

ω_1 colors may not be enough

$\star(\lambda)$: there is a **stationary set** $S \subset E_{\omega_1}^\lambda$ and an ω -almost disjoint family $\{A_\alpha : \alpha \in S\}$ such that $A_\alpha \subset \alpha$ is cofinal for $\alpha \in S$.

Theorem

Assume that GCH holds and we have $\star(\lambda)$ for some regular cardinal $\lambda > \omega_1$. Then there is a stationary set $S^* \subset E_{\omega_1}^\lambda$ and there is an ω -almost disjoint family $\{E_\alpha : \alpha \in S^*\}$ such that

- (1) $E_\alpha \subset \alpha$ is cofinal in α for each $\alpha \in S^*$,
- (2) for each $B \in [\lambda]^\lambda$ there is $\alpha \in S^*$ with $E_\alpha \subset B$.

Corollary

Assume that GCH. If $\star(\lambda)$ holds for some regular cardinal $\lambda > \omega_1$, then there is an ω -almost disjoint family $\mathcal{A} \subset [\lambda]^{\omega_1}$ with $\chi(\mathcal{A}) = \lambda$. Especially $\chi_{\text{CF}}([\lambda, \omega_1, \omega]) = \lambda$.

\mathcal{A} is ω -almost disjoint

ω_1 colors may not be enough

$\star(\lambda)$: there is a **stationary set** $S \subset E_{\omega_1}^\lambda$ and an ω -almost disjoint family $\{A_\alpha : \alpha \in S\}$ such that $A_\alpha \subset \alpha$ is cofinal for $\alpha \in S$.

Theorem

Assume that GCH holds and we have $\star(\lambda)$ for some regular cardinal $\lambda > \omega_1$. Then there is a stationary set $S^* \subset E_{\omega_1}^\lambda$ and there is an ω -almost disjoint family $\{E_\alpha : \alpha \in S^*\}$ such that

- (1) $E_\alpha \subset \alpha$ is cofinal in α for each $\alpha \in S^*$,
- (2) for each $B \in [\lambda]^\lambda$ there is $\alpha \in S^*$ with $E_\alpha \subset B$.

Corollary

Assume that GCH. If $\star(\lambda)$ holds for some regular cardinal $\lambda > \omega_1$, then there is an ω -almost disjoint family $\mathcal{A} \subset [\lambda]^{\omega_1}$ with $\chi(\mathcal{A}) = \lambda$. Especially $\chi_{\text{CF}}([\lambda, \omega_1, \omega]) = \lambda$.

\mathcal{A} is ω -almost disjoint

ω_1 colors may not be enough

★(λ): *there is a stationary set $S \subset E_{\omega_1}^\lambda$ and an ω -almost disjoint family $\{A_\alpha : \alpha \in S\}$ such that $\cup A_\alpha = \alpha$ for each $\alpha \in S$.*

GCH + ★(λ) $\implies \exists$ ω -A.D. $\mathcal{A} \subset [\lambda]^{\omega_1}$ s.t. $\chi(\mathcal{A}) = \lambda$.

Theorem

Assume GCH. If ★(λ) holds for some regular cardinal $\lambda > \omega_1$, then for each $\omega_1 < \kappa < \lambda$ there is an ω -almost disjoint family $\mathcal{F} \subset [\lambda]^\kappa$ with $\chi_{\text{OP}}(\mathcal{F}) = \omega_2$.

Corollary

Con(ZFC + \exists supercompact) \implies Con(ZFC + GCH +

\mathcal{A} is ω -almost disjoint

ω_1 colors may not be enough

★(λ): *there is a stationary set $S \subset E_{\omega_1}^\lambda$ and an ω -almost disjoint family $\{A_\alpha : \alpha \in S\}$ such that $\cup A_\alpha = \alpha$ for each $\alpha \in S$.*

GCH + ★(λ) $\implies \exists \omega$ -A.D. $\mathcal{A} \subset [\lambda]^{\omega_1}$ s.t. $\chi(\mathcal{A}) = \lambda$.

Theorem

Assume GCH. If ★(λ) holds for some regular cardinal $\lambda > \omega_1$, then for each $\omega_1 < \kappa < \lambda$ there is an ω -almost disjoint family $\mathcal{F} \subset [\lambda]^\kappa$ with $\chi_{CF}(\mathcal{F}) = \omega_2$.

Corollary

Con(ZFC + \exists supercompact) \implies Con(ZFC + GCH +

\mathcal{A} is ω -almost disjoint

ω_1 colors may not be enough

★(λ): *there is a stationary set $S \subset E_{\omega_1}^\lambda$ and an ω -almost disjoint family $\{A_\alpha : \alpha \in S\}$ such that $\cup A_\alpha = \alpha$ for each $\alpha \in S$.*

GCH + ★(λ) $\implies \exists \omega$ -A.D. $\mathcal{A} \subset [\lambda]^{\omega_1}$ s.t. $\chi(\mathcal{A}) = \lambda$.

Theorem

Assume GCH. If ★(λ) holds for some regular cardinal $\lambda > \omega_1$, then for each $\omega_1 < \kappa < \lambda$ there is an ω -almost disjoint family $\mathcal{F} \subset [\lambda]^\kappa$ with $\chi_{CF}(\mathcal{F}) = \omega_2$.

Corollary

Con(ZFC + \exists supercompact) \implies Con(ZFC + GCH +

\mathcal{A} is ω -almost disjoint

ω_1 colors may not be enough

★(λ): *there is a stationary set $S \subset E_{\omega_1}^\lambda$ and an ω -almost disjoint family $\{A_\alpha : \alpha \in S\}$ such that $\cup A_\alpha = \alpha$ for each $\alpha \in S$.*

GCH + ★(λ) $\implies \exists$ ω -A.D. $\mathcal{A} \subset [\lambda]^{\omega_1}$ s.t. $\chi(\mathcal{A}) = \lambda$.

Theorem

Assume GCH. If ★(λ) holds for some regular cardinal $\lambda > \omega_1$, then for each $\omega_1 < \kappa < \lambda$ there is an ω -almost disjoint family $\mathcal{F} \subset [\lambda]^\kappa$ with $\chi_{CF}(\mathcal{F}) = \omega_2$.

Corollary

Con(ZFC + \exists supercompact) \implies Con(ZFC + GCH +

\mathcal{A} is ω -almost disjoint

ω_1 colors may not be enough

★(λ): *there is a stationary set $S \subset E_{\omega_1}^\lambda$ and an ω -almost disjoint family $\{A_\alpha : \alpha \in S\}$ such that $\cup A_\alpha = \alpha$ for each $\alpha \in S$.*

GCH + ★(λ) $\implies \exists$ ω -A.D. $\mathcal{A} \subset [\lambda]^{\omega_1}$ s.t. $\chi(\mathcal{A}) = \lambda$.

Theorem

Assume GCH. If ★(λ) holds for some regular cardinal $\lambda > \omega_1$, then for each $\omega_1 < \kappa < \lambda$ there is an ω -almost disjoint family $\mathcal{F} \subset [\lambda]^\kappa$ with $\chi_{CF}(\mathcal{F}) = \omega_2$.

Corollary

Con(ZFC + \exists supercompact) \implies Con(ZFC + GCH +

(1) $\chi_{CF}([\omega_{\omega+1}, \omega_1, \omega]) = \omega_{\omega+1}$,

(2) $\chi_{CF}([\omega_{\omega+1}, \omega_n, \omega]) = \omega_2$ for $2 \leq n \leq \omega$.

\mathcal{A} is ω -almost disjoint

ω_1 colors may not be enough

★(λ): *there is a stationary set $S \subset E_{\omega_1}^\lambda$ and an ω -almost disjoint family $\{A_\alpha : \alpha \in S\}$ such that $\cup A_\alpha = \alpha$ for each $\alpha \in S$.*

GCH + ★(λ) $\implies \exists$ ω -A.D. $\mathcal{A} \subset [\lambda]^{\omega_1}$ s.t. $\chi(\mathcal{A}) = \lambda$.

Theorem

Assume GCH. If ★(λ) holds for some regular cardinal $\lambda > \omega_1$, then for each $\omega_1 < \kappa < \lambda$ there is an ω -almost disjoint family $\mathcal{F} \subset [\lambda]^\kappa$ with $\chi_{CF}(\mathcal{F}) = \omega_2$.

Corollary

Con(ZFC + \exists supercompact) \implies Con(ZFC + GCH +

(1) $\chi_{CF}([\omega_{\omega+1}, \omega_1, \omega]) = \omega_{\omega+1}$,

(2) $\chi_{CF}([\omega_{\omega+1}, \omega_n, \omega]) = \omega_2$ for $2 \leq n \leq \omega$.

\mathcal{A} is ω -almost disjoint

ω_1 colors may not be enough

★(λ): *there is a stationary set $S \subset E_{\omega_1}^\lambda$ and an ω -almost disjoint family $\{A_\alpha : \alpha \in S\}$ such that $\cup A_\alpha = \alpha$ for each $\alpha \in S$.*

GCH + ★(λ) $\implies \exists$ ω -A.D. $\mathcal{A} \subset [\lambda]^{\omega_1}$ s.t. $\chi(\mathcal{A}) = \lambda$.

Theorem

Assume GCH. If ★(λ) holds for some regular cardinal $\lambda > \omega_1$, then for each $\omega_1 < \kappa < \lambda$ there is an ω -almost disjoint family $\mathcal{F} \subset [\lambda]^\kappa$ with $\chi_{CF}(\mathcal{F}) = \omega_2$.

Corollary

$\text{Con}(\text{ZFC} + \exists \text{supercompact}) \implies \text{Con}(\text{ZFC} + \text{GCH} +$

(1) $\chi_{CF}([\omega_{\omega+1}, \omega_1, \omega]) = \omega_{\omega+1}$,

(2) $\chi_{CF}([\omega_{\omega+1}, \omega_n, \omega]) = \omega_2$ for $2 \leq n \leq \omega$.

\mathcal{A} is ω -almost disjoint

ω_1 colors may not be enough

★(λ): *there is a stationary set $S \subset E_{\omega_1}^\lambda$ and an ω -almost disjoint family $\{A_\alpha : \alpha \in S\}$ such that $\cup A_\alpha = \alpha$ for each $\alpha \in S$.*

GCH + ★(λ) $\implies \exists$ ω -A.D. $\mathcal{A} \subset [\lambda]^{\omega_1}$ s.t. $\chi(\mathcal{A}) = \lambda$.

Theorem

Assume GCH. If ★(λ) holds for some regular cardinal $\lambda > \omega_1$, then for each $\omega_1 < \kappa < \lambda$ there is an ω -almost disjoint family $\mathcal{F} \subset [\lambda]^\kappa$ with $\chi_{CF}(\mathcal{F}) = \omega_2$.

Corollary

$Con(ZFC + \exists \text{supercompact}) \implies Con(ZFC + GCH +$

(1) $\chi_{CF}([\omega_{\omega+1}, \omega_1, \omega]) = \omega_{\omega+1}$,

(2) $\chi_{CF}([\omega_{\omega+1}, \omega_n, \omega]) = \omega_2$ for $2 \leq n \leq \omega$.

\mathcal{A} is ω -almost disjoint

ω_1 colors may not be enough

★(λ): *there is a stationary set $S \subset E_{\omega_1}^\lambda$ and an ω -almost disjoint family $\{A_\alpha : \alpha \in S\}$ such that $\cup A_\alpha = \alpha$ for each $\alpha \in S$.*

GCH + ★(λ) $\implies \exists$ ω -A.D. $\mathcal{A} \subset [\lambda]^{\omega_1}$ s.t. $\chi(\mathcal{A}) = \lambda$.

Theorem

Assume GCH. If ★(λ) holds for some regular cardinal $\lambda > \omega_1$, then for each $\omega_1 < \kappa < \lambda$ there is an ω -almost disjoint family $\mathcal{F} \subset [\lambda]^\kappa$ with $\chi_{CF}(\mathcal{F}) = \omega_2$.

Corollary

$Con(ZFC + \exists \text{supercompact}) \implies Con(ZFC + GCH +$

(1) $\chi_{CF}([\omega_{\omega+1}, \omega_1, \omega]) = \omega_{\omega+1}$,

(2) $\chi_{CF}([\omega_{\omega+1}, \omega_n, \omega]) = \omega_2$ for $2 \leq n \leq \omega$.

\mathcal{A} is ω -almost disjoint

ω_1 colors may be enough

Definition

Let μ be a singular cardinal with $\text{cf}(\omega) = \omega$. For a sufficiently large ϑ and $x \in \mathcal{H}(\vartheta)$, a (ω_1, μ) -dominating sequence over x is a continuous, strictly increasing sequence $\langle M_\alpha : \alpha < \mu^+ \rangle$ of elementary submodels of $\mathcal{H}(\vartheta)$ such that

(s1) $(\omega_1 + 1) \cup \{x\} \subset M_0$, $|M_\alpha| \leq \mu$ and $\mu^+ \subseteq \bigcup_{\alpha < \mu^+} M_\alpha$,

(s2) for each $\alpha < \mu^+$ the set M_α is the union of sets $\{M'_{\alpha,n} : n < \omega\}$ such that $[M'_{\alpha,n}]^\omega \subset M_\alpha$.

Theorem (Fuchino-S.)

Assume $\nu^\omega = \nu^+$ for each cardinal ν with $\text{cf}(\nu) = \omega$. Let μ be a singular cardinal with $\text{cf}(\mu) = \omega$. If $\square_{\omega_1, \mu}^{+++}$ holds, then, for any sufficiently large χ and $x \in \mathcal{H}(\chi)$, there is a (ω_1, μ) -dominating sequence over x .

\mathcal{A} is ω -almost disjoint

ω_1 colors may be enough

Definition

Let μ be a singular cardinal with $\text{cf}(\omega) = \omega$. For a sufficiently large ϑ and $x \in \mathcal{H}(\vartheta)$, a **(ω_1, μ) -dominating sequence over x** is a **continuous, strictly increasing sequence** $\langle M_\alpha : \alpha < \mu^+ \rangle$ of elementary submodels of $\mathcal{H}(\vartheta)$ such that

(s1) $(\omega_1 + 1) \cup \{x\} \subset M_0$, $|M_\alpha| \leq \mu$ and $\mu^+ \subseteq \bigcup_{\alpha < \mu^+} M_\alpha$,

(s2) for each $\alpha < \mu^+$ the set M_α is the union of sets $\{M'_{\alpha,n} : n < \omega\}$ such that $[M'_{\alpha,n}]^\omega \subset M_\alpha$.

Theorem (Fuchino-S.)

Assume $\nu^\omega = \nu^+$ for each cardinal ν with $\text{cf}(\nu) = \omega$. Let μ be a singular cardinal with $\text{cf}(\mu) = \omega$. If $\square_{\omega_1^+, \mu}^{+++}$ holds, then, for any sufficiently large χ and $x \in \mathcal{H}(\chi)$, there is a (ω_1, μ) -dominating sequence over x .

\mathcal{A} is ω -almost disjoint

ω_1 colors may be enough

Definition

Let μ be a singular cardinal with $\text{cf}(\mu) = \omega$. For a sufficiently large ϑ and $x \in \mathcal{H}(\vartheta)$, a **(ω_1, μ) -dominating sequence over x** is a **continuous, strictly increasing sequence** $\langle M_\alpha : \alpha < \mu^+ \rangle$ of elementary submodels of $\mathcal{H}(\vartheta)$ such that

(s1) $(\omega_1 + 1) \cup \{x\} \subset M_0$, $|M_\alpha| \leq \mu$ and $\mu^+ \subseteq \bigcup_{\alpha < \mu^+} M_\alpha$,

(s2) for each $\alpha < \mu^+$ the set M_α is the union of sets $\{M'_{\alpha,n} : n < \omega\}$ such that $[M'_{\alpha,n}]^\omega \subset M_\alpha$.

Theorem (Fuchino-S.)

Assume $\nu^\omega = \nu^+$ for each cardinal ν with $\text{cf}(\nu) = \omega$. Let μ be a singular cardinal with $\text{cf}(\mu) = \omega$. If $\square_{\omega_1, \mu}^{+++}$ holds, then, for any sufficiently large χ and $x \in \mathcal{H}(\chi)$, there is a (ω_1, μ) -dominating sequence over x .

\mathcal{A} is ω -almost disjoint

ω_1 colors may be enough

Definition

Let μ be a singular cardinal with $\text{cf}(\mu) = \omega$. For a sufficiently large ϑ and $x \in \mathcal{H}(\vartheta)$, a **(ω_1, μ) -dominating sequence over x** is a **continuous, strictly increasing sequence** $\langle M_\alpha : \alpha < \mu^+ \rangle$ of elementary submodels of $\mathcal{H}(\vartheta)$ such that

(s1) $(\omega_1 + 1) \cup \{x\} \subset M_0$, $|M_\alpha| \leq \mu$ and $\mu^+ \subseteq \bigcup_{\alpha < \mu^+} M_\alpha$,

(s2) for each $\alpha < \mu^+$ the set M_α is the union of sets $\{M'_{\alpha,n} : n < \omega\}$ such that $[M'_{\alpha,n}]^\omega \subset M_\alpha$.

Theorem (Fuchino-S.)

Assume $\nu^\omega = \nu^+$ for each cardinal ν with $\text{cf}(\nu) = \omega$. Let μ be a singular cardinal with $\text{cf}(\mu) = \omega$. If $\square_{\omega_1, \mu}^{+++}$ holds, then, for any sufficiently large χ and $x \in \mathcal{H}(\chi)$, there is a (ω_1, μ) -dominating sequence over x .

\mathcal{A} is ω -almost disjoint

ω_1 colors may be enough

Definition

Let μ be a singular cardinal with $\text{cf}(\mu) = \omega$. For a sufficiently large ϑ and $x \in \mathcal{H}(\vartheta)$, a **(ω_1, μ) -dominating sequence over x** is a **continuous, strictly increasing sequence** $\langle M_\alpha : \alpha < \mu^+ \rangle$ of elementary submodels of $\mathcal{H}(\vartheta)$ such that

(s1) $(\omega_1 + 1) \cup \{x\} \subset M_0$, $|M_\alpha| \leq \mu$ and $\mu^+ \subseteq \bigcup_{\alpha < \mu^+} M_\alpha$,

(s2) for each $\alpha < \mu^+$ the set M_α is the union of sets $\{M'_{\alpha,n} : n < \omega\}$ such that $[M'_{\alpha,n}]^\omega \subset M_\alpha$.

Theorem (Fuchino-S.)

Assume $\nu^\omega = \nu^+$ for each cardinal ν with $\text{cf}(\nu) = \omega$. Let μ be a singular cardinal with $\text{cf}(\mu) = \omega$. If $\square_{\omega_1^+, \mu}^{+++}$ holds, then, for any sufficiently large χ and $x \in \mathcal{H}(\chi)$, there is a (ω_1, μ) -dominating sequence over x .

\mathcal{A} is ω -almost disjoint

ω_1 colors may be enough

Definition

Let μ be a singular cardinal with $\text{cf}(\mu) = \omega$. For a sufficiently large ϑ and $x \in \mathcal{H}(\vartheta)$, a **(ω_1, μ) -dominating sequence over x** is a **continuous, strictly increasing sequence** $\langle M_\alpha : \alpha < \mu^+ \rangle$ of elementary submodels of $\mathcal{H}(\vartheta)$ such that

(s1) $(\omega_1 + 1) \cup \{x\} \subset M_0$, $|M_\alpha| \leq \mu$ and $\mu^+ \subseteq \bigcup_{\alpha < \mu^+} M_\alpha$,

(s2) for each $\alpha < \mu^+$ the set M_α is the union of sets $\{M'_{\alpha,n} : n < \omega\}$ such that $[M'_{\alpha,n}]^\omega \subset M_\alpha$.

Theorem (Fuchino-S.)

Assume $\nu^\omega = \nu^+$ for each cardinal ν with $\text{cf}(\nu) = \omega$. Let μ be a singular cardinal with $\text{cf}(\mu) = \omega$. If $\square_{\omega_1, \mu}^{+++}$ holds, then, for any sufficiently large χ and $x \in \mathcal{H}(\chi)$, there is a (ω_1, μ) -dominating sequence over x .

\mathcal{A} is ω -almost disjoint

ω_1 colors may be enough

Definition

Let μ be a singular cardinal with $\text{cf}(\mu) = \omega$. For a sufficiently large ϑ and $x \in \mathcal{H}(\vartheta)$, a **(ω_1, μ) -dominating sequence over x** is a **continuous, strictly increasing sequence** $\langle M_\alpha : \alpha < \mu^+ \rangle$ of elementary submodels of $\mathcal{H}(\vartheta)$ such that

(s1) $(\omega_1 + 1) \cup \{x\} \subset M_0$, $|M_\alpha| \leq \mu$ and $\mu^+ \subseteq \bigcup_{\alpha < \mu^+} M_\alpha$,

(s2) for each $\alpha < \mu^+$ the set M_α is the union of sets $\{M'_{\alpha,n} : n < \omega\}$ such that $[M'_{\alpha,n}]^\omega \subset M_\alpha$.

Theorem (Fuchino-S.)

Assume $\nu^\omega = \nu^+$ for each cardinal ν with $\text{cf}(\nu) = \omega$. Let μ be a singular cardinal with $\text{cf}(\mu) = \omega$. If $\square_{\omega_1, \mu}^{+++}$ holds, then, for any sufficiently large χ and $x \in \mathcal{H}(\chi)$, there is a (ω_1, μ) -dominating sequence over x .

\mathcal{A} is ω -almost disjoint

ω_1 colors may be enough

Definition

Let μ be a singular cardinal with $\text{cf}(\mu) = \omega$. For a sufficiently large ϑ and $x \in \mathcal{H}(\vartheta)$, a **(ω_1, μ) -dominating sequence over x** is a **continuous, strictly increasing sequence** $\langle M_\alpha : \alpha < \mu^+ \rangle$ of elementary submodels of $\mathcal{H}(\vartheta)$ such that

(s1) $(\omega_1 + 1) \cup \{x\} \subset M_0$, $|M_\alpha| \leq \mu$ and $\mu^+ \subseteq \bigcup_{\alpha < \mu^+} M_\alpha$,

(s2) for each $\alpha < \mu^+$ the set M_α is the union of sets $\{M'_{\alpha,n} : n < \omega\}$ such that $[M'_{\alpha,n}]^\omega \subset M_\alpha$.

Theorem (Fuchino-S.)

*Assume $\nu^\omega = \nu^+$ for each cardinal ν with $\text{cf}(\nu) = \omega$. Let μ be a singular cardinal with $\text{cf}(\mu) = \omega$. If $\square_{\omega_1, \mu}^{***}$ holds, then, for any sufficiently large χ and $x \in \mathcal{H}(\chi)$, there is a (ω_1, μ) -dominating sequence over x .*

\mathcal{A} is ω -almost disjoint

ω_1 colors may be enough

Definition

Let μ be a singular cardinal with $\text{cf}(\mu) = \omega$. For a sufficiently large ϑ and $x \in \mathcal{H}(\vartheta)$, a **(ω_1, μ) -dominating sequence over x** is a **continuous, strictly increasing sequence** $\langle M_\alpha : \alpha < \mu^+ \rangle$ of elementary submodels of $\mathcal{H}(\vartheta)$ such that

(s1) $(\omega_1 + 1) \cup \{x\} \subset M_0$, $|M_\alpha| \leq \mu$ and $\mu^+ \subseteq \bigcup_{\alpha < \mu^+} M_\alpha$,

(s2) for each $\alpha < \mu^+$ the set M_α is the union of sets $\{M'_{\alpha,n} : n < \omega\}$ such that $[M'_{\alpha,n}]^\omega \subset M_\alpha$.

Theorem (Fuchino-S.)

Assume $\nu^\omega = \nu^+$ for each cardinal ν with $\text{cf}(\nu) = \omega$. Let μ be a singular cardinal with $\text{cf}(\mu) = \omega$. If $\square_{\omega_1, \mu}^{***}$ holds, then, for any sufficiently large χ and $x \in \mathcal{H}(\chi)$, there is a **(ω_1, μ) -dominating sequence** over x .

\mathcal{A} is ω -almost disjoint

ω_1 colors may be enough

Definition

Let μ be a singular cardinal with $\text{cf}(\mu) = \omega$. For a sufficiently large ϑ and $x \in \mathcal{H}(\vartheta)$, a **(ω_1, μ) -dominating sequence over x** is a **continuous, strictly increasing sequence** $\langle M_\alpha : \alpha < \mu^+ \rangle$ of elementary submodels of $\mathcal{H}(\vartheta)$ such that

(s1) $(\omega_1 + 1) \cup \{x\} \subset M_0$, $|M_\alpha| \leq \mu$ and $\mu^+ \subseteq \bigcup_{\alpha < \mu^+} M_\alpha$,

(s2) for each $\alpha < \mu^+$ the set M_α is the union of sets $\{M'_{\alpha,n} : n < \omega\}$ such that $[M'_{\alpha,n}]^\omega \subset M_\alpha$.

Theorem (Fuchino-S.)

Assume $\nu^\omega = \nu^+$ for each cardinal ν with $\text{cf}(\nu) = \omega$. Let μ be a singular cardinal with $\text{cf}(\mu) = \omega$. If $\square_{\omega_1, \mu}^{***}$ holds, then, for any sufficiently large χ and $x \in \mathcal{H}(\chi)$, there is a **(ω_1, μ) -dominating sequence** over x .

\mathcal{A} is ω -almost disjoint

ω_1 colors may be enough

Definition

Let μ be a singular cardinal with $\text{cf}(\omega) = \omega$. For a sufficiently large ϑ and $x \in \mathcal{H}(\vartheta)$, a **(ω_1, μ) -dominating sequence over x** is a **continuous, strictly increasing sequence** $\langle M_\alpha : \alpha < \mu^+ \rangle$ of elementary submodels of $\mathcal{H}(\vartheta)$ such that

(s1) $(\omega_1 + 1) \cup \{x\} \subset M_0$, $|M_\alpha| \leq \mu$ and $\mu^+ \subseteq \bigcup_{\alpha < \mu^+} M_\alpha$,

(s2) for each $\alpha < \mu^+$ the set M_α is the union of sets $\{M'_{\alpha,n} : n < \omega\}$ such that $[M'_{\alpha,n}]^\omega \subset M_\alpha$.

Theorem (Fuchino-S.)

Assume $\nu^\omega = \nu^+$ for each cardinal ν with $\text{cf}(\nu) = \omega$. Let μ be a singular cardinal with $\text{cf}(\mu) = \omega$. If $\square_{\omega_1, \mu}^{***}$ holds, then, for any sufficiently large χ and $x \in \mathcal{H}(\chi)$, there is a **(ω_1, μ) -dominating sequence** over x .

\mathcal{A} is ω -almost disjoint

ω_1 colors may be enough

Definition

Let μ be a singular cardinal with $\text{cf}(\mu) = \omega$. For a sufficiently large ϑ and $x \in \mathcal{H}(\vartheta)$, a **(ω_1, μ) -dominating sequence over x** is a **continuous, strictly increasing sequence** $\langle M_\alpha : \alpha < \mu^+ \rangle$ of elementary submodels of $\mathcal{H}(\vartheta)$ such that

(s1) $(\omega_1 + 1) \cup \{x\} \subset M_0$, $|M_\alpha| \leq \mu$ and $\mu^+ \subseteq \bigcup_{\alpha < \mu^+} M_\alpha$,

(s2) for each $\alpha < \mu^+$ the set M_α is the union of sets $\{M'_{\alpha,n} : n < \omega\}$ such that $[M'_{\alpha,n}]^\omega \subset M_\alpha$.

Theorem (Fuchino-S.)

Assume $\nu^\omega = \nu^+$ for each cardinal ν with $\text{cf}(\nu) = \omega$. Let μ be a singular cardinal with $\text{cf}(\mu) = \omega$. If $\square_{\omega_1, \mu}^{***}$ holds, then, for any sufficiently large χ and $x \in \mathcal{H}(\chi)$, there is a (ω_1, μ) -dominating sequence over x .

\mathcal{A} is ω -almost disjoint

ω_1 colors may be enough

Definition

Let μ be a singular cardinal with $\text{cf}(\omega) = \omega$. For a sufficiently large ϑ and $x \in \mathcal{H}(\vartheta)$, a **(ω_1, μ) -dominating sequence over x** is a **continuous, strictly increasing sequence** $\langle M_\alpha : \alpha < \mu^+ \rangle$ of elementary submodels of $\mathcal{H}(\vartheta)$ such that

(s1) $(\omega_1 + 1) \cup \{x\} \subset M_0$, $|M_\alpha| \leq \mu$ and $\mu^+ \subseteq \bigcup_{\alpha < \mu^+} M_\alpha$,

(s2) for each $\alpha < \mu^+$ the set M_α is the union of sets $\{M'_{\alpha,n} : n < \omega\}$ such that $[M'_{\alpha,n}]^\omega \subset M_\alpha$.

Theorem (Fuchino-S.)

Assume $\nu^\omega = \nu^+$ for each cardinal ν with $\text{cf}(\nu) = \omega$. Let μ be a singular cardinal with $\text{cf}(\mu) = \omega$. If $\square_{\omega_1, \mu}^{***}$ **holds**, then, for any sufficiently large χ and $x \in \mathcal{H}(\chi)$, there is a **(ω_1, μ) -dominating sequence** over x .

\mathcal{A} is ω -almost disjoint

ω_1 colors may be enough

$\langle M_\alpha : \alpha < \mu^+ \rangle \prec \mathcal{H}(\vartheta)$ is a (ω_1, μ) -**dominating sequence over x** iff

(s1) $(\omega_1 + 1) \cup \{x\} \subset M_0$, $|M_\alpha| \leq \mu$ and $\mu^+ \subseteq \bigcup_{\alpha < \mu^+} M_\alpha$,

(s2) for each $\alpha < \mu^+$ the set M_α is the union of sets $\{M'_{\alpha,n} : n < \omega\}$ such that $[M'_{\alpha,n}]^\omega \subset M_\alpha$.

Theorem

Let λ be an infinite cardinal. Assume that

- (i) $\mu^\omega = \mu^+$ for each cardinal $\mu < \lambda$ with $\text{cf}(\mu) = \omega$,
- (ii) for each cardinal $\mu < \lambda$ with $\text{cf}(\mu) = \omega$ if ϑ is sufficiently large and $x \in \mathcal{H}(\vartheta)$ then there is a (ω_1, μ) -dominating sequence over x .

Then $[\lambda, \kappa, \omega] \rightarrow \omega_1$ for each $\omega_1 \leq \kappa \leq \lambda$.

\mathcal{A} is ω -almost disjoint

ω_1 colors may be enough

$\langle M_\alpha : \alpha < \mu^+ \rangle \prec \mathcal{H}(\vartheta)$ is a (ω_1, μ) -**dominating sequence over x** iff

(s1) $(\omega_1 + 1) \cup \{x\} \subset M_0$, $|M_\alpha| \leq \mu$ and $\mu^+ \subseteq \bigcup_{\alpha < \mu^+} M_\alpha$,

(s2) for each $\alpha < \mu^+$ the set M_α is the union of sets $\{M'_{\alpha,n} : n < \omega\}$ such that $[M'_{\alpha,n}]^\omega \subset M_\alpha$.

Theorem

Let λ be an infinite cardinal. Assume that

(i) $\mu^\omega = \mu^+$ for each cardinal $\mu < \lambda$ with $\text{cf}(\mu) = \omega$,

(ii) for each cardinal $\mu < \lambda$ with $\text{cf}(\mu) = \omega$ if ϑ is sufficiently large and $x \in \mathcal{H}(\vartheta)$ then there is a (ω_1, μ) -dominating sequence over x .

Then $[\lambda, \kappa, \omega] \rightarrow \omega_1$ for each $\omega_1 \leq \kappa \leq \lambda$.

\mathcal{A} is ω -almost disjoint

ω_1 colors may be enough

$\langle M_\alpha : \alpha < \mu^+ \rangle \prec \mathcal{H}(\vartheta)$ is a (ω_1, μ) -**dominating sequence over x** iff

(s1) $(\omega_1 + 1) \cup \{x\} \subset M_0$, $|M_\alpha| \leq \mu$ and $\mu^+ \subseteq \bigcup_{\alpha < \mu^+} M_\alpha$,

(s2) for each $\alpha < \mu^+$ the set M_α is the union of sets $\{M'_{\alpha,n} : n < \omega\}$ such that $[M'_{\alpha,n}]^\omega \subset M_\alpha$.

Theorem

Let λ be an infinite cardinal. Assume that

(i) $\mu^\omega = \mu^+$ for each cardinal $\mu < \lambda$ with $\text{cf}(\mu) = \omega$,

(ii) for each cardinal $\mu < \lambda$ with $\text{cf}(\mu) = \omega$ if ϑ is sufficiently large and $x \in \mathcal{H}(\vartheta)$ then there is a (ω_1, μ) -dominating sequence over x .

Then $[\lambda, \kappa, \omega] \rightarrow \omega_1$ for each $\omega_1 \leq \kappa \leq \lambda$.

\mathcal{A} is ω -almost disjoint

ω_1 colors may be enough

$\langle M_\alpha : \alpha < \mu^+ \rangle \prec \mathcal{H}(\vartheta)$ is a (ω_1, μ) -**dominating sequence over x** iff

(s1) $(\omega_1 + 1) \cup \{x\} \subset M_0$, $|M_\alpha| \leq \mu$ and $\mu^+ \subseteq \bigcup_{\alpha < \mu^+} M_\alpha$,

(s2) for each $\alpha < \mu^+$ the set M_α is the union of sets $\{M'_{\alpha,n} : n < \omega\}$ such that $[M'_{\alpha,n}]^\omega \subset M_\alpha$.

Theorem

Let λ be an infinite cardinal. Assume that

(i) $\mu^\omega = \mu^+$ for each cardinal $\mu < \lambda$ with $\text{cf}(\mu) = \omega$,

(ii) for each cardinal $\mu < \lambda$ with $\text{cf}(\mu) = \omega$ if ϑ is sufficiently large and $x \in \mathcal{H}(\vartheta)$ then there is a (ω_1, μ) -dominating sequence over x .

Then $[\lambda, \kappa, \omega] \rightarrow \omega_1$ for each $\omega_1 \leq \kappa \leq \lambda$.

\mathcal{A} is ω -almost disjoint

ω_1 colors may be enough

$\langle M_\alpha : \alpha < \mu^+ \rangle \prec \mathcal{H}(\vartheta)$ is a (ω_1, μ) -**dominating sequence over x** iff

(s1) $(\omega_1 + 1) \cup \{x\} \subset M_0$, $|M_\alpha| \leq \mu$ and $\mu^+ \subseteq \bigcup_{\alpha < \mu^+} M_\alpha$,

(s2) for each $\alpha < \mu^+$ the set M_α is the union of sets $\{M'_{\alpha,n} : n < \omega\}$ such that $[M'_{\alpha,n}]^\omega \subset M_\alpha$.

Theorem

Let λ be an infinite cardinal. Assume that

- (i) $\mu^\omega = \mu^+$ for each cardinal $\mu < \lambda$ with $\text{cf}(\mu) = \omega$,
- (ii) for each cardinal $\mu < \lambda$ with $\text{cf}(\mu) = \omega$ if ϑ is sufficiently large and $x \in \mathcal{H}(\vartheta)$ then there is a (ω_1, μ) -dominating sequence over x .

Then $[\lambda, \kappa, \omega] \rightarrow \omega_1$ for each $\omega_1 \leq \kappa \leq \lambda$.

\mathcal{A} is ω -almost disjoint

ω_1 colors may be enough

$\langle M_\alpha : \alpha < \mu^+ \rangle \prec \mathcal{H}(\vartheta)$ is a (ω_1, μ) -**dominating sequence over x** iff

(s1) $(\omega_1 + 1) \cup \{x\} \subset M_0$, $|M_\alpha| \leq \mu$ and $\mu^+ \subseteq \bigcup_{\alpha < \mu^+} M_\alpha$,

(s2) for each $\alpha < \mu^+$ the set M_α is the union of sets $\{M'_{\alpha,n} : n < \omega\}$ such that $[M'_{\alpha,n}]^\omega \subset M_\alpha$.

Theorem

Let λ be an infinite cardinal. Assume that

- (i) $\mu^\omega = \mu^+$ for each cardinal $\mu < \lambda$ with $\text{cf}(\mu) = \omega$,
- (ii) for each cardinal $\mu < \lambda$ with $\text{cf}(\mu) = \omega$ if ϑ is sufficiently large and $x \in \mathcal{H}(\vartheta)$ then there is a (ω_1, μ) -dominating sequence over x .

Then $[\lambda, \kappa, \omega] \rightarrow \omega_1$ for each $\omega_1 \leq \kappa \leq \lambda$.

\mathcal{A} is ω -almost disjoint

ω colors are not be enough

Theorem (Komjáth)

There is an ω -almost disjoint family $\mathcal{A} \subset [2^\omega]^\omega$ with $\chi(\mathcal{A}) = 2^\omega$. Hence $\chi_{\text{CF}}([2^\omega, \omega, \omega]) = 2^\omega$.

Komjáth proved that there is an ω -almost disjoint family $\mathcal{A} \subset [2^\omega]^\omega$ such that for each $X \in [2^\omega]^{\omega_1}$ there is $A \in \mathcal{A}$ with $A \subset X$.

\mathcal{A} is ω -almost disjoint

ω colors are not be enough

Theorem (Komjáth)

There is an ω -almost disjoint family $\mathcal{A} \subset [2^\omega]^\omega$ with $\chi(\mathcal{A}) = 2^\omega$. Hence $\chi_{\text{CF}}([2^\omega, \omega, \omega]) = 2^\omega$.

Komjáth proved that there is an ω -almost disjoint family $\mathcal{A} \subset [2^\omega]^\omega$ such that for each $X \in [2^\omega]^{\omega_1}$ there is $A \in \mathcal{A}$ with $A \subset X$.

\mathcal{A} is ω -almost disjoint

ω colors are not be enough

Theorem (Komjáth)

There is an ω -almost disjoint family $\mathcal{A} \subset [2^\omega]^\omega$ with $\chi(\mathcal{A}) = 2^\omega$. Hence $\chi_{\text{CF}}([2^\omega, \omega, \omega]) = 2^\omega$.

Komjáth proved that there is an ω -almost disjoint family $\mathcal{A} \subset [2^\omega]^\omega$ such that for each $X \in [2^\omega]^{\omega_1}$ there is $A \in \mathcal{A}$ with $A \subset X$.

\mathcal{A} is ω -almost disjoint

ω colors are not be enough

Theorem (Komjáth)

There is an ω -almost disjoint family $\mathcal{A} \subset [2^\omega]^\omega$ with $\chi(\mathcal{A}) = 2^\omega$. Hence $\chi_{\text{CF}}([2^\omega, \omega, \omega]) = 2^\omega$.

Komjáth proved that there is an ω -almost disjoint family $\mathcal{A} \subset [2^\omega]^\omega$ such that for each $X \in [2^\omega]^{\omega_1}$ there is $A \in \mathcal{A}$ with $A \subset X$.

\mathcal{A} is ω -almost disjoint

ω colors are not be enough

Theorem (Komjáth)

There is an ω -almost disjoint family $\mathcal{A} \subset [2^\omega]^\omega$ with $\chi(\mathcal{A}) = 2^\omega$. Hence $\chi_{\text{CF}}([2^\omega, \omega, \omega]) = 2^\omega$.

Komjáth proved that there is an ω -almost disjoint family $\mathcal{A} \subset [2^\omega]^\omega$ such that for each $X \in [2^\omega]^{\omega_1}$ there is $A \in \mathcal{A}$ with $A \subset X$.

\mathcal{A} is ω -almost disjoint

ω colors are not be enough

Theorem (Komjáth)

There is an ω -almost disjoint family $\mathcal{A} \subset [2^\omega]^\omega$ with $\chi(\mathcal{A}) = 2^\omega$. Hence $\chi_{\text{CF}}([2^\omega, \omega, \omega]) = 2^\omega$.

Komjáth proved that there is an ω -almost disjoint family $\mathcal{A} \subset [2^\omega]^\omega$ such that for each $X \in [2^\omega]^{\omega_1}$ there is $A \in \mathcal{A}$ with $A \subset X$.

\mathcal{A} is ω -almost disjoint

ω colors are not be enough

Komjáth: $\chi_{\text{CF}}([2^\omega, \omega, \omega]) = 2^\omega$

Theorem

If CH holds then $[\omega_1, \omega_1, \omega] \not\rightarrow \omega$ and $[\omega_1, \omega, \omega] \not\rightarrow \omega$.

Theorem

Assume MA_{\aleph_1} . Then $[\omega_1, \omega_1, \omega] \rightarrow \omega$ and $[\omega_1, \omega, \omega] \rightarrow \omega$.

\mathcal{A} is ω -almost disjoint

ω colors are not be enough

Komjáth: $\chi_{\text{CF}}([2^\omega, \omega, \omega]) = 2^\omega$

Theorem

If CH holds then $[\omega_1, \omega_1, \omega] \not\rightarrow \omega$ and $[\omega_1, \omega, \omega] \not\rightarrow \omega$.

Theorem

Assume MA_{\aleph_1} . Then $[\omega_1, \omega_1, \omega] \rightarrow \omega$ and $[\omega_1, \omega, \omega] \rightarrow \omega$.

\mathcal{A} is ω -almost disjoint

ω colors are not be enough

Komjáth: $\chi_{\text{CF}}([2^\omega, \omega, \omega]) = 2^\omega$

Theorem

If CH holds then $[\omega_1, \omega_1, \omega] \not\rightarrow \omega$ and $[\omega_1, \omega, \omega] \not\rightarrow \omega$.

Theorem

Assume MA_{\aleph_1} . Then $[\omega_1, \omega_1, \omega] \rightarrow \omega$ and $[\omega_1, \omega, \omega] \rightarrow \omega$.

\mathcal{A} is ω -almost disjoint

ω colors are not be enough

Komjáth: $\chi_{\text{CF}}([2^\omega, \omega, \omega]) = 2^\omega$

Theorem

If CH holds then $[\omega_1, \omega_1, \omega] \not\rightarrow \omega$ and $[\omega_1, \omega, \omega] \not\rightarrow \omega$.

Theorem

Assume MA_{\aleph_1} . Then $[\omega_1, \omega_1, \omega] \rightarrow \omega$ and $[\omega_1, \omega, \omega] \rightarrow \omega$.

\mathcal{A} is ω -almost disjoint

ω colors are not be enough

Komjáth: $\chi_{\text{CF}}([2^\omega, \omega, \omega]) = 2^\omega$

Theorem

If CH holds then $[\omega_1, \omega_1, \omega] \not\rightarrow \omega$ and $[\omega_1, \omega, \omega] \not\rightarrow \omega$.

Theorem

Assume MA_{\aleph_1} . Then $[\omega_1, \omega_1, \omega] \rightarrow \omega$ and $[\omega_1, \omega, \omega] \rightarrow \omega$.

More ZFC result

- Closure operation: Find $\langle N_\alpha : \alpha < \kappa \rangle$ s.t closed enough
- $\rho^{[\nu]} = \rho$ iff there is a family $\mathcal{B} \subset [\rho]^{\leq \nu}$ of size ρ such that for all $u \in [\rho]^\nu$ there is $\mathcal{P} \in [\mathcal{B}]^{< \nu}$ such that $u \subset \cup \mathcal{P}$.
- **Shelah's Revised GCH theorem:** If $\rho \geq \beth_\omega$, then $\rho^{[\nu]} = \rho$ for each large enough regular $\nu < \beth_\omega$.
- Let $\mu \leq \kappa \leq \lambda$ be cardinals. $ED(\lambda, \kappa, \mu)$ holds iff for each μ -almost disjoint family $\mathcal{A} \subset [\lambda]^\kappa$ there is a function $F : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow [\lambda]^{< \kappa}$ such that the family $\{A \setminus F(A) : A \in \mathcal{A}\}$ is disjoint.

Theorem

If $\mu < \beth_\omega \leq \lambda$ then $ED(\lambda, \beth_\omega, \mu)$, and so $[\lambda, \beth_\omega, \mu] \rightarrow \beth_\omega$.

- Closure operation: Find $\langle N_\alpha : \alpha < \kappa \rangle$ s.t **closed enough**
- $\rho^{[\nu]} = \rho$ iff there is a family $\mathcal{B} \subset [\rho]^{<\nu}$ of size ρ such that for all $u \in [\rho]^\nu$ there is $\mathcal{P} \in [\mathcal{B}]^{<\nu}$ such that $u \subset \cup \mathcal{P}$.
- **Shelah's Revised GCH theorem:** If $\rho \geq \beth_\omega$, then $\rho^{[\nu]} = \rho$ for each large enough regular $\nu < \beth_\omega$.
- Let $\mu \leq \kappa \leq \lambda$ be cardinals. $ED(\lambda, \kappa, \mu)$ holds iff for each μ -almost disjoint family $\mathcal{A} \subset [\lambda]^\kappa$ there is a function $F : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow [\lambda]^{<\kappa}$ such that the family $\{A \setminus F(A) : A \in \mathcal{A}\}$ is disjoint.

Theorem

If $\mu < \beth_\omega \leq \lambda$ then $ED(\lambda, \beth_\omega, \mu)$, and so $[\lambda, \beth_\omega, \mu] \rightarrow \beth_\omega$.

- Closure operation: Find $\langle N_\alpha : \alpha < \kappa \rangle$ s.t **closed enough**
- $\rho^{[\nu]} = \rho$ iff there is a family $\mathcal{B} \subset [\rho]^{<\nu}$ of size ρ such that for all $u \in [\rho]^\nu$ there is $\mathcal{P} \in [\mathcal{B}]^{<\nu}$ such that $u \subset \cup \mathcal{P}$.
- **Shelah's Revised GCH theorem:** If $\rho \geq \beth_\omega$, then $\rho^{[\nu]} = \rho$ for each large enough regular $\nu < \beth_\omega$.
- Let $\mu \leq \kappa \leq \lambda$ be cardinals. $ED(\lambda, \kappa, \mu)$ holds iff for each μ -almost disjoint family $\mathcal{A} \subset [\lambda]^\kappa$ there is a function $F : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow [\lambda]^{<\kappa}$ such that the family $\{A \setminus F(A) : A \in \mathcal{A}\}$ is disjoint.

Theorem

If $\mu < \beth_\omega \leq \lambda$ then $ED(\lambda, \beth_\omega, \mu)$, and so $[\lambda, \beth_\omega, \mu] \rightarrow \beth_\omega$.

- Closure operation: Find $\langle N_\alpha : \alpha < \kappa \rangle$ s.t. **closed enough**
- $\rho^{[\nu]} = \rho$ iff there is a family $\mathcal{B} \subset [\rho]^{\leq \nu}$ of size ρ such that for all $u \in [\rho]^\nu$ there is $\mathcal{P} \in [\mathcal{B}]^{< \nu}$ such that $u \subset \cup \mathcal{P}$.
- **Shelah's Revised GCH theorem:** If $\rho \geq \beth_\omega$, then $\rho^{[\nu]} = \rho$ for each large enough regular $\nu < \beth_\omega$.
- Let $\mu \leq \kappa \leq \lambda$ be cardinals. $ED(\lambda, \kappa, \mu)$ holds iff for each μ -almost disjoint family $\mathcal{A} \subset [\lambda]^\kappa$ there is a function $F : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow [\lambda]^{< \kappa}$ such that the family $\{A \setminus F(A) : A \in \mathcal{A}\}$ is disjoint.

Theorem

If $\mu < \beth_\omega \leq \lambda$ then $ED(\lambda, \beth_\omega, \mu)$, and so $[\lambda, \beth_\omega, \mu] \rightarrow \beth_\omega$.

More ZFC result

- Closure operation: Find $\langle N_\alpha : \alpha < \kappa \rangle$ s.t. **closed enough**
- $\rho^{[\nu]} = \rho$ iff there is a family $\mathcal{B} \subset [\rho]^{\leq \nu}$ of size ρ such that for all $u \in [\rho]^\nu$ there is $\mathcal{P} \in [\mathcal{B}]^{< \nu}$ such that $u \subset \cup \mathcal{P}$.
- **Shelah's Revised GCH theorem:** If $\rho \geq \beth_\omega$, then $\rho^{[\nu]} = \rho$ for each large enough regular $\nu < \beth_\omega$.
- Let $\mu \leq \kappa \leq \lambda$ be cardinals. $ED(\lambda, \kappa, \mu)$ holds iff for each μ -almost disjoint family $\mathcal{A} \subset [\lambda]^\kappa$ there is a function $F : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow [\lambda]^{< \kappa}$ such that the family $\{A \setminus F(A) : A \in \mathcal{A}\}$ is disjoint.

Theorem

If $\mu < \beth_\omega \leq \lambda$ then $ED(\lambda, \beth_\omega, \mu)$, and so $[\lambda, \beth_\omega, \mu] \rightarrow \beth_\omega$.

- Closure operation: Find $\langle N_\alpha : \alpha < \kappa \rangle$ s.t. **closed enough**
- $\rho^{[\nu]} = \rho$ iff there is a family $\mathcal{B} \subset [\rho]^{\leq \nu}$ of size ρ such that for all $u \in [\rho]^\nu$ there is $\mathcal{P} \in [\mathcal{B}]^{< \nu}$ such that $u \subset \cup \mathcal{P}$.
- **Shelah's Revised GCH theorem:** If $\rho \geq \beth_\omega$, then $\rho^{[\nu]} = \rho$ for each large enough regular $\nu < \beth_\omega$.
- Let $\mu \leq \kappa \leq \lambda$ be cardinals. $ED(\lambda, \kappa, \mu)$ holds iff for each μ -almost disjoint family $\mathcal{A} \subset [\lambda]^\kappa$ there is a function $F : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow [\lambda]^{< \kappa}$ such that the family $\{A \setminus F(A) : A \in \mathcal{A}\}$ is disjoint.

Theorem

If $\mu < \beth_\omega \leq \lambda$ then $ED(\lambda, \beth_\omega, \mu)$, and so $[\lambda, \beth_\omega, \mu] \rightarrow \beth_\omega$.

- Closure operation: Find $\langle N_\alpha : \alpha < \kappa \rangle$ s.t. **closed enough**
- $\rho^{[\nu]} = \rho$ iff there is a family $\mathcal{B} \subset [\rho]^{\leq \nu}$ of size ρ such that for all $u \in [\rho]^\nu$ there is $\mathcal{P} \in [\mathcal{B}]^{< \nu}$ such that $u \subset \cup \mathcal{P}$.
- **Shelah's Revised GCH theorem:** If $\rho \geq \beth_\omega$, then $\rho^{[\nu]} = \rho$ for each large enough regular $\nu < \beth_\omega$.
- Let $\mu \leq \kappa \leq \lambda$ be cardinals. $ED(\lambda, \kappa, \mu)$ holds iff for each μ -almost disjoint family $\mathcal{A} \subset [\lambda]^\kappa$ there is a function $F : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow [\lambda]^{< \kappa}$ such that the family $\{A \setminus F(A) : A \in \mathcal{A}\}$ is disjoint.

Theorem

If $\mu < \beth_\omega \leq \lambda$ then $ED(\lambda, \beth_\omega, \mu)$, and so $[\lambda, \beth_\omega, \mu] \rightarrow \beth_\omega$.

- Closure operation: Find $\langle N_\alpha : \alpha < \kappa \rangle$ s.t. **closed enough**
- $\rho^{[\nu]} = \rho$ iff there is a family $\mathcal{B} \subset [\rho]^{\leq \nu}$ of size ρ such that for all $u \in [\rho]^\nu$ there is $\mathcal{P} \in [\mathcal{B}]^{< \nu}$ such that $u \subset \cup \mathcal{P}$.
- **Shelah's Revised GCH theorem:** If $\rho \geq \beth_\omega$, then $\rho^{[\nu]} = \rho$ for each large enough regular $\nu < \beth_\omega$.
- Let $\mu \leq \kappa \leq \lambda$ be cardinals. $ED(\lambda, \kappa, \mu)$ holds iff for each μ -almost disjoint family $\mathcal{A} \subset [\lambda]^\kappa$ there is a function $F : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow [\lambda]^{< \kappa}$ such that the family $\{A \setminus F(A) : A \in \mathcal{A}\}$ is disjoint.

Theorem

If $\mu < \beth_\omega \leq \lambda$ then $ED(\lambda, \beth_\omega, \mu)$, and so $[\lambda, \beth_\omega, \mu] \rightarrow \beth_\omega$.

- Closure operation: Find $\langle N_\alpha : \alpha < \kappa \rangle$ s.t. **closed enough**
- $\rho^{[\nu]} = \rho$ iff there is a family $\mathcal{B} \subset [\rho]^{\leq \nu}$ of size ρ such that for all $u \in [\rho]^\nu$ there is $\mathcal{P} \in [\mathcal{B}]^{< \nu}$ such that $u \subset \cup \mathcal{P}$.
- **Shelah's Revised GCH theorem:** If $\rho \geq \beth_\omega$, then $\rho^{[\nu]} = \rho$ for each large enough regular $\nu < \beth_\omega$.
- Let $\mu \leq \kappa \leq \lambda$ be cardinals. $ED(\lambda, \kappa, \mu)$ holds iff for each μ -almost disjoint family $\mathcal{A} \subset [\lambda]^\kappa$ there is a function $F : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow [\lambda]^{< \kappa}$ such that the family $\{A \setminus F(A) : A \in \mathcal{A}\}$ is disjoint.

Theorem

If $\mu < \beth_\omega \leq \lambda$ then $ED(\lambda, \beth_\omega, \mu)$, and so $[\lambda, \beth_\omega, \mu] \rightarrow \beth_\omega$.

- Closure operation: Find $\langle N_\alpha : \alpha < \kappa \rangle$ s.t. **closed enough**
- $\rho^{[\nu]} = \rho$ iff there is a family $\mathcal{B} \subset [\rho]^{\leq \nu}$ of size ρ such that for all $u \in [\rho]^\nu$ there is $\mathcal{P} \in [\mathcal{B}]^{< \nu}$ such that $u \subset \cup \mathcal{P}$.
- **Shelah's Revised GCH theorem:** If $\rho \geq \beth_\omega$, then $\rho^{[\nu]} = \rho$ for each large enough regular $\nu < \beth_\omega$.
- Let $\mu \leq \kappa \leq \lambda$ be cardinals. $ED(\lambda, \kappa, \mu)$ holds iff for each μ -almost disjoint family $\mathcal{A} \subset [\lambda]^\kappa$ there is a function $F : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow [\lambda]^{< \kappa}$ such that the family $\{A \setminus F(A) : A \in \mathcal{A}\}$ is disjoint.

Theorem

If $\mu < \beth_\omega \leq \lambda$ then $ED(\lambda, \beth_\omega, \mu)$, and so $[\lambda, \beth_\omega, \mu] \rightarrow \beth_\omega$.

- Closure operation: Find $\langle N_\alpha : \alpha < \kappa \rangle$ s.t. **closed enough**
- $\rho^{[\nu]} = \rho$ iff there is a family $\mathcal{B} \subset [\rho]^{\leq \nu}$ of size ρ such that for all $u \in [\rho]^\nu$ there is $\mathcal{P} \in [\mathcal{B}]^{< \nu}$ such that $u \subset \cup \mathcal{P}$.
- **Shelah's Revised GCH theorem:** If $\rho \geq \beth_\omega$, then $\rho^{[\nu]} = \rho$ for each large enough regular $\nu < \beth_\omega$.
- Let $\mu \leq \kappa \leq \lambda$ be cardinals. $ED(\lambda, \kappa, \mu)$ holds iff for each μ -almost disjoint family $\mathcal{A} \subset [\lambda]^\kappa$ there is a function $F : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow [\lambda]^{< \kappa}$ such that the family $\{A \setminus F(A) : A \in \mathcal{A}\}$ is disjoint.

Theorem

If $\mu < \beth_\omega \leq \lambda$ then $ED(\lambda, \beth_\omega, \mu)$, and so $[\lambda, \beth_\omega, \mu] \rightarrow \beth_\omega$.

- Closure operation: Find $\langle N_\alpha : \alpha < \kappa \rangle$ s.t. **closed enough**
- $\rho^{[\nu]} = \rho$ iff there is a family $\mathcal{B} \subset [\rho]^{\leq \nu}$ of size ρ such that for all $u \in [\rho]^\nu$ there is $\mathcal{P} \in [\mathcal{B}]^{< \nu}$ such that $u \subset \cup \mathcal{P}$.
- **Shelah's Revised GCH theorem:** If $\rho \geq \beth_\omega$, then $\rho^{[\nu]} = \rho$ for each large enough regular $\nu < \beth_\omega$.
- Let $\mu \leq \kappa \leq \lambda$ be cardinals. $ED(\lambda, \kappa, \mu)$ holds iff for each μ -almost disjoint family $\mathcal{A} \subset [\lambda]^\kappa$ there is a function $F : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow [\lambda]^{< \kappa}$ such that the family $\{A \setminus F(A) : A \in \mathcal{A}\}$ is disjoint.

Theorem

If $\mu < \beth_\omega \leq \lambda$ then $ED(\lambda, \beth_\omega, \mu)$, and so $[\lambda, \beth_\omega, \mu] \rightarrow \beth_\omega$.

- Closure operation: Find $\langle N_\alpha : \alpha < \kappa \rangle$ s.t. **closed enough**
- $\rho^{[\nu]} = \rho$ iff there is a family $\mathcal{B} \subset [\rho]^{\leq \nu}$ of size ρ such that for all $u \in [\rho]^\nu$ there is $\mathcal{P} \in [\mathcal{B}]^{< \nu}$ such that $u \subset \cup \mathcal{P}$.
- **Shelah's Revised GCH theorem:** If $\rho \geq \beth_\omega$, then $\rho^{[\nu]} = \rho$ for each large enough regular $\nu < \beth_\omega$.
- Let $\mu \leq \kappa \leq \lambda$ be cardinals. $ED(\lambda, \kappa, \mu)$ holds iff for each μ -almost disjoint family $\mathcal{A} \subset [\lambda]^\kappa$ there is a function $F : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow [\lambda]^{< \kappa}$ such that the family $\{A \setminus F(A) : A \in \mathcal{A}\}$ is disjoint.

Theorem

If $\mu < \beth_\omega \leq \lambda$ then $ED(\lambda, \beth_\omega, \mu)$, and so $[\lambda, \beth_\omega, \mu] \rightarrow \beth_\omega$.

- Closure operation: Find $\langle N_\alpha : \alpha < \kappa \rangle$ s.t. **closed enough**
- $\rho^{[\nu]} = \rho$ iff there is a family $\mathcal{B} \subset [\rho]^{\leq \nu}$ of size ρ such that for all $u \in [\rho]^\nu$ there is $\mathcal{P} \in [\mathcal{B}]^{< \nu}$ such that $u \subset \cup \mathcal{P}$.
- **Shelah's Revised GCH theorem:** If $\rho \geq \beth_\omega$, then $\rho^{[\nu]} = \rho$ for each large enough regular $\nu < \beth_\omega$.
- Let $\mu \leq \kappa \leq \lambda$ be cardinals. $ED(\lambda, \kappa, \mu)$ holds iff for each μ -almost disjoint family $\mathcal{A} \subset [\lambda]^\kappa$ there is a function $F : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow [\lambda]^{< \kappa}$ such that the family $\{A \setminus F(A) : A \in \mathcal{A}\}$ is disjoint.

Theorem

If $\mu < \beth_\omega \leq \lambda$ then $ED(\lambda, \beth_\omega, \mu)$, and so $[\lambda, \beth_\omega, \mu] \rightarrow \beth_\omega$.

- Closure operation: Find $\langle N_\alpha : \alpha < \kappa \rangle$ s.t. **closed enough**
- $\rho^{[\nu]} = \rho$ iff there is a family $\mathcal{B} \subset [\rho]^{\leq \nu}$ of size ρ such that for all $u \in [\rho]^\nu$ there is $\mathcal{P} \in [\mathcal{B}]^{< \nu}$ such that $u \subset \cup \mathcal{P}$.
- **Shelah's Revised GCH theorem:** If $\rho \geq \beth_\omega$, then $\rho^{[\nu]} = \rho$ for each large enough regular $\nu < \beth_\omega$.
- Let $\mu \leq \kappa \leq \lambda$ be cardinals. $\text{ED}(\lambda, \kappa, \mu)$ holds iff for each μ -almost disjoint family $\mathcal{A} \subset [\lambda]^\kappa$ there is a function $F : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow [\lambda]^{< \kappa}$ such that the family $\{A \setminus F(A) : A \in \mathcal{A}\}$ is disjoint.

Theorem

If $\mu < \beth_\omega \leq \lambda$ then $\text{ED}(\lambda, \beth_\omega, \mu)$, and so $[\lambda, \beth_\omega, \mu] \rightarrow \beth_\omega$.

- Closure operation: Find $\langle N_\alpha : \alpha < \kappa \rangle$ s.t. **closed enough**
- $\rho^{[\nu]} = \rho$ iff there is a family $\mathcal{B} \subset [\rho]^{<\nu}$ of size ρ such that for all $u \in [\rho]^\nu$ there is $\mathcal{P} \in [\mathcal{B}]^{<\nu}$ such that $u \subset \cup \mathcal{P}$.
- **Shelah's Revised GCH theorem:** If $\rho \geq \beth_\omega$, then $\rho^{[\nu]} = \rho$ for each large enough regular $\nu < \beth_\omega$.
- Let $\mu \leq \kappa \leq \lambda$ be cardinals. $\text{ED}(\lambda, \kappa, \mu)$ holds iff for each μ -almost disjoint family $\mathcal{A} \subset [\lambda]^\kappa$ there is a function $F : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow [\lambda]^{<\kappa}$ such that the family $\{A \setminus F(A) : A \in \mathcal{A}\}$ is disjoint.

Theorem

If $\mu < \beth_\omega \leq \lambda$ then $\text{ED}(\lambda, \beth_\omega, \mu)$, and so $[\lambda, \beth_\omega, \mu] \rightarrow \beth_\omega$.

On set-systems of finite sets.

Theorem

Let k and n be natural numbers, $k \geq 2$. Write $t = (n+1)(k-1)$.

- (1) Every k -almost disjoint family $\mathcal{A} \subset [\omega_n]^{t+1}$ has a conflict free coloring with ω colors.
- (2) If GCH holds and $2 \leq t' \leq t$ then there is a k -almost disjoint family $\mathcal{A} \subset [\omega_n]^{t'+1}$ which does not have a conflict free coloring with ω colors.
- (3) If MA_κ holds then every (k, ω) -almost disjoint family $\mathcal{A} \subset [\kappa]^{2k-1}$ has a CF-coloring with ω colors.

- $[\omega_2, 4, 2] \rightarrow \omega$, and $2^\omega = \omega_1$ implies $[\omega_2, 3, 2] \not\rightarrow \omega$,
- $[\omega_3, 5, 2] \rightarrow \omega$, and $2^{\omega_1} = \omega_2$ implies $[\omega_3, 4, 2] \not\rightarrow \omega$,
- if MA_{ω_n} holds then $[\omega_n, 3, 2] \rightarrow \omega$.

Theorem

Let k and n be natural numbers, $k \geq 2$. Write $t = (n + 1)(k - 1)$.

- (1) Every k -almost disjoint family $\mathcal{A} \subset [\omega_n]^{t+1}$ has a conflict free coloring with ω colors.
- (2) If GCH holds and $2 \leq t' \leq t$ then there is a k -almost disjoint family $\mathcal{A} \subset [\omega_n]^{t'}$ which does not have a conflict free coloring with ω colors.
- (3) If MA_κ holds then every (k, ω) -almost disjoint family $\mathcal{A} \subset [\kappa]^{2k-1}$ has a CF-coloring with ω colors.

- $[\omega_2, 4, 2] \rightarrow \omega$, and $2^\omega = \omega_1$ implies $[\omega_2, 3, 2] \not\rightarrow \omega$,
- $[\omega_3, 5, 2] \rightarrow \omega$, and $2^{\omega_1} = \omega_2$ implies $[\omega_3, 4, 2] \not\rightarrow \omega$,
- if MA_{ω_n} holds then $[\omega_n, 3, 2] \rightarrow \omega$.

Theorem

Let k and n be natural numbers, $k \geq 2$. Write $t = (n + 1)(k - 1)$.

- (1) Every k -almost disjoint family $\mathcal{A} \subset [\omega_n]^{t+1}$ has a conflict free coloring with ω colors.
- (2) If GCH holds and $2 \leq t' \leq t$ then there is a k -almost disjoint family $\mathcal{A} \subset [\omega_n]^{t'}$ which does not have a conflict free coloring with ω colors.
- (3) If MA_κ holds then every (k, ω) -almost disjoint family $\mathcal{A} \subset [\kappa]^{2k-1}$ has a CF-coloring with ω colors.

- $[\omega_2, 4, 2] \rightarrow \omega$, and $2^\omega = \omega_1$ implies $[\omega_2, 3, 2] \not\rightarrow \omega$,
- $[\omega_3, 5, 2] \rightarrow \omega$, and $2^{\omega_1} = \omega_2$ implies $[\omega_3, 4, 2] \not\rightarrow \omega$,
- if MA_{ω_n} holds then $[\omega_n, 3, 2] \rightarrow \omega$.

Theorem

Let k and n be natural numbers, $k \geq 2$. Write $t = (n + 1)(k - 1)$.

(1) Every k -almost disjoint family $\mathcal{A} \subset [\omega_n]^{t+1}$ has a conflict free coloring with ω colors.

(2) If GCH holds and $2 \leq t' \leq t$ then there is a k -almost disjoint family $\mathcal{A} \subset [\omega_n]^{t'}$ which does not have a conflict free coloring with ω colors.

(3) If MA_κ holds then every (k, ω) -almost disjoint family $\mathcal{A} \subset [\kappa]^{2k-1}$ has a CF-coloring with ω colors.

- $[\omega_2, 4, 2] \rightarrow \omega$, and $2^\omega = \omega_1$ implies $[\omega_2, 3, 2] \not\rightarrow \omega$,
- $[\omega_3, 5, 2] \rightarrow \omega$, and $2^{\omega_1} = \omega_2$ implies $[\omega_3, 4, 2] \not\rightarrow \omega$,
- if MA_{ω_n} holds then $[\omega_n, 3, 2] \rightarrow \omega$.

Theorem

Let k and n be natural numbers, $k \geq 2$. Write $t = (n + 1)(k - 1)$.

(1) Every k -almost disjoint family $\mathcal{A} \subset [\omega_n]^{t+1}$ has a conflict free coloring with ω colors.

(2) If GCH holds and $2 \leq t' \leq t$ then there is a k -almost disjoint family $\mathcal{A} \subset [\omega_n]^{t'}$ which does not have a conflict free coloring with ω colors.

(3) If MA_κ holds then every (k, ω) -almost disjoint family $\mathcal{A} \subset [\kappa]^{2k-1}$ has a CF-coloring with ω colors.

- $[\omega_2, 4, 2] \rightarrow \omega$, and $2^\omega = \omega_1$ implies $[\omega_2, 3, 2] \not\rightarrow \omega$,
- $[\omega_3, 5, 2] \rightarrow \omega$, and $2^{\omega_1} = \omega_2$ implies $[\omega_3, 4, 2] \not\rightarrow \omega$,
- if MA_{ω_n} holds then $[\omega_n, 3, 2] \rightarrow \omega$.

Theorem

Let k and n be natural numbers, $k \geq 2$. Write $t = (n + 1)(k - 1)$.

(1) Every k -almost disjoint family $\mathcal{A} \subset [\omega_n]^{t+1}$ has a conflict free coloring with ω colors.

(2) If GCH holds and $2 \leq t' \leq t$ then there is a k -almost disjoint family $\mathcal{A} \subset [\omega_n]^{t'}$ which does not have a conflict free coloring with ω colors.

(3) If MA_κ holds then every (k, ω) -almost disjoint family $\mathcal{A} \subset [\kappa]^{2k-1}$ has a CF-coloring with ω colors.

- $[\omega_2, 4, 2] \rightarrow \omega$, and $2^\omega = \omega_1$ implies $[\omega_2, 3, 2] \not\rightarrow \omega$,
- $[\omega_3, 5, 2] \rightarrow \omega$, and $2^{\omega_1} = \omega_2$ implies $[\omega_3, 4, 2] \not\rightarrow \omega$,
- if MA_{ω_n} holds then $[\omega_n, 3, 2] \rightarrow \omega$.

Theorem

Let k and n be natural numbers, $k \geq 2$. Write $t = (n + 1)(k - 1)$.

- (1) Every k -almost disjoint family $\mathcal{A} \subset [\omega_n]^{t+1}$ has a conflict free coloring with ω colors.
- (2) If GCH holds and $2 \leq t' \leq t$ then there is a k -almost disjoint family $\mathcal{A} \subset [\omega_n]^{t'}$ which does not have a conflict free coloring with ω colors.
- (3) If MA_κ holds then every (k, ω) -almost disjoint family $\mathcal{A} \subset [\kappa]^{2k-1}$ has a CF-coloring with ω colors.

- $[\omega_2, 4, 2] \rightarrow \omega$, and $2^\omega = \omega_1$ implies $[\omega_2, 3, 2] \not\rightarrow \omega$,
- $[\omega_3, 5, 2] \rightarrow \omega$, and $2^{\omega_1} = \omega_2$ implies $[\omega_3, 4, 2] \not\rightarrow \omega$,
- if MA_{ω_n} holds then $[\omega_n, 3, 2] \rightarrow \omega$.

Theorem

Let k and n be natural numbers, $k \geq 2$. Write $t = (n + 1)(k - 1)$.

- (1) Every k -almost disjoint family $\mathcal{A} \subset [\omega_n]^{t+1}$ has a conflict free coloring with ω colors.
- (2) If GCH holds and $2 \leq t' \leq t$ then there is a k -almost disjoint family $\mathcal{A} \subset [\omega_n]^{t'}$ which does not have a conflict free coloring with ω colors.
- (3) If MA_κ holds then every (k, ω) -almost disjoint family $\mathcal{A} \subset [\kappa]^{2k-1}$ has a CF-coloring with ω colors.

- $[\omega_2, 4, 2] \rightarrow \omega$, and $2^\omega = \omega_1$ implies $[\omega_2, 3, 2] \not\rightarrow \omega$,
- $[\omega_3, 5, 2] \rightarrow \omega$, and $2^{\omega_1} = \omega_2$ implies $[\omega_3, 4, 2] \not\rightarrow \omega$,
- if MA_{ω_n} holds then $[\omega_n, 3, 2] \rightarrow \omega$.

Theorem

Let k and n be natural numbers, $k \geq 2$. Write $t = (n + 1)(k - 1)$.

- (1) Every k -almost disjoint family $\mathcal{A} \subset [\omega_n]^{t+1}$ has a conflict free coloring with ω colors.
- (2) If GCH holds and $2 \leq t' \leq t$ then there is a k -almost disjoint family $\mathcal{A} \subset [\omega_n]^{t'}$ which does not have a conflict free coloring with ω colors.
- (3) If MA_κ holds then every (k, ω) -almost disjoint family $\mathcal{A} \subset [\kappa]^{2k-1}$ has a CF-coloring with ω colors.

- $[\omega_2, 4, 2] \rightarrow \omega$, and $2^\omega = \omega_1$ implies $[\omega_2, 3, 2] \not\rightarrow \omega$,
- $[\omega_3, 5, 2] \rightarrow \omega$, and $2^{\omega_1} = \omega_2$ implies $[\omega_3, 4, 2] \not\rightarrow \omega$,
- if MA_{ω_n} holds then $[\omega_n, 3, 2] \rightarrow \omega$.

Theorem

Let k and n be natural numbers, $k \geq 2$. Write $t = (n + 1)(k - 1)$.

(1) Every k -almost disjoint family $\mathcal{A} \subset [\omega_n]^{t+1}$ has a conflict free coloring with ω colors.

(2) If GCH holds and $2 \leq t' \leq t$ then there is a k -almost disjoint family $\mathcal{A} \subset [\omega_n]^{t'}$ which does not have a conflict free coloring with ω colors.

(3) If MA_κ holds then every (k, ω) -almost disjoint family $\mathcal{A} \subset [\kappa]^{2k-1}$ has a CF-coloring with ω colors.

- $[\omega_2, 4, 2] \rightarrow \omega$, and $2^\omega = \omega_1$ implies $[\omega_2, 3, 2] \not\rightarrow \omega$,
- $[\omega_3, 5, 2] \rightarrow \omega$, and $2^{\omega_1} = \omega_2$ implies $[\omega_3, 4, 2] \not\rightarrow \omega$,
- if MA_{ω_n} holds then $[\omega_n, 3, 2] \rightarrow \omega$.