

ON THE COEFFICIENTS OF THE CYCLOTOMIC POLYNOMIAL

PAUL ERDÖS

The cyclotomic polynomial $F_n(x)$ is defined as the polynomial whose roots are the primitive n th roots of unity. It is well known that

$$F_n(x) = \prod_{d|n} (x^{n/d} - 1)^{\mu(d)}.$$

For $n < 105$ all coefficients of $F_n(x)$ are ± 1 or 0. For $n = 105$, the coefficient 2 occurs for the first time. Denote by A_n the greatest coefficient of $F_n(x)$ (in absolute value). Schur proved that $\limsup A_n = \infty$. Emma Lehmer¹ proved that $A_n > cn^{1/3}$ for infinitely many n . In fact she proved that infinitely many such n 's are of the form pqr with p , q , and r prime. In the present note we are going to prove that $A_n > n^k$ for every k and infinitely many n . This is implied by the still sharper theorem:

THEOREM 1.² *For infinitely many n*

$$A_n > \exp [c_1(\log n)^{4/3}].$$

Specifically we may take $n = 2 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \cdots p_k$ for sufficiently large k .

Since

$$\max_{|z|=1} |F_n(x)| \leq A_n[\phi(n) + 1],$$

Theorem 1 follows at once from the following theorem.

THEOREM 2. *For infinitely many n*

$$\max_{|z|=1} |F_n(x)| > \exp [c_2(\log n)^{4/3}].$$

For the proof of Theorem 2 we require several lemmas.

LEMMA 1. *Let $f(x)$ be a polynomial of highest coefficient 1 of degree m with all its roots on the unit circle. Suppose that in the unit circle $f(x)$ assumes its maximum at x_0 ($|x_0| = 1$), and let y_0 be the root of $f(x)$ closest to x_0 . Then the arc between x_0 and y_0 is not less than π/m ; and if it equals π/m , $f(x) = x^m - 1$.*

Received by the editors May 5, 1945, and, in revised form, August 22, 1945.

¹ Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. vol. 42 (1936) p. 389. Reference to the older literature can be found in this paper.

² Throughout the paper c_i denotes a positive constant.

This is a theorem of M. Riesz.³

Set $n = 2 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \cdots p_k$.

LEMMA 2. $p_k \sim \log n$.

LEMMA 3. $\phi(n) \sim e^{-\gamma} n / \log \log n$, where γ is Euler's constant.

Lemma 2 is a well known consequence of the prime number theorem, and Lemma 3 follows from Lemma 2 and a theorem of Mertens.⁴

LEMMA 4. Suppose $p_k^a \leq u \leq p_k^{4/3}$ where $1 < a \leq 4/3$, and let N be the number of integers not greater than u which are prime to n . Then for sufficiently large k ,

$$N > (1 + c_3) u \phi(n) / n.$$

PROOF. The integers in question are primes greater than p_k . By the prime number theorem

$$N \sim u / \log u - p_k / \log p_k \sim u / \log u.$$

Now $1 / \log u \geq 3 / (4 \log p_k)$; and, by Lemmas 2 and 3, $\log p_k \sim \log \log n \sim e^{-\gamma} n / \phi(n)$. Lemma 4 now follows from $e^{-\gamma} < 3/4$.

LEMMA 5. Suppose that for an infinite number of integers m we are given a polynomial $g_m(x)$ of highest coefficient 1 of degree m , with all its roots on the unit circle and symmetric with respect to the real axis, and with $|g_m(1)| = 1$. Let t_m be a function of m such that $t_m/m < \pi$ and $t_m \rightarrow \infty$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$. Suppose constants c_4, ϵ ($0 < \epsilon < 1$, $0 < c_4 < 1$) given such that for any u with $t_m^{1-\epsilon} \leq u \leq t_m$ the number of roots of $g_m(x) = g_m(e^{i\theta})$ with $|\theta| \leq u/m$ is greater than $(1 + c_4)u/\pi$, that is, greater than $(1 + c_4)$ times the number of roots of $x^m = 1$ in the same interval. Then for sufficiently large m

$$\max_{|z|=1} |g(x)| > \exp(c_4 t_m).⁵$$

PROOF. Denote by A, B, C the following arcs:

$$A: |\theta| \leq t_m^{1-\epsilon} / m,$$

$$B: |\theta| \leq t_m / m,$$

$$C: |\theta| \leq (t_m + \pi) / m.$$

We define new polynomials $h_m(x) = x^m + \cdots$ as follows. Outside B ,

³ Jber. Deutschen Math. Verein. vol. 23 (1914) pp. 354-368.

⁴ See, for example, Hardy and Wright, *Introduction to the theory of numbers*, p. 349.

⁵ An analogous but weaker theorem has been stated in a previous paper (Ann. of Math. vol. 44 (1943) p. 337).

h_m and g_m have the same roots. In A , h_m has no roots. On $B-A$ we place consecutive roots spaced by the angle $2\pi/m$. Finally the remaining roots of h_m are placed at the end points of B , half at each.

Let $\theta_1, \theta_2, \dots$ and ϕ_1, ϕ_2, \dots denote the arguments of the roots of g_m and h_m in B above the real axis; we number them in increasing order of magnitude. Our construction implies

$$(1) \quad \phi_r \geq \min(t_m^{1-\epsilon}/m + 2\pi r/m, t_m/m)$$

while the hypothesis of Lemma 5 translates into

$$(2) \quad \theta_r \leq \max(t_m^{1-\epsilon}/m, 2\pi r/(1+c_4)m).$$

From (1) and (2) we deduce $\phi_r \geq \theta_r$, that is, the process has pushed roots of g_m away from 1. If $e^{i\theta}$, $e^{i\alpha}$ are points above the real axis respectively inside and outside B , then

$$\partial | (e^{i\alpha} - e^{i\theta})(e^{i\alpha} - e^{-i\theta}) | / \partial \theta = 8 \sin \theta (\cos \alpha - \cos \theta) < 0$$

so that the process reduces g_m outside B , that is,

$$(3) \quad |h_m(x)| \leq |g_m(x)|$$

outside B .

We shall next prove

$$(4) \quad |h_m(1)| > \exp(c_6 t_m).$$

Take m large enough so that $t_m^\epsilon \geq 2$ and confine r to the interval

$$(5) \quad \begin{aligned} (1+c_4)t_m^{1-\epsilon}/2\pi &\leq r \\ &\leq (1+c_4)t_m/4\pi. \end{aligned}$$

Then (2) reduces to

$$(2') \quad \theta_r \leq 2\pi r/(1+c_4)m.$$

Since from (5) and $c_4 < 1$ we have $2\pi r \leq t_m$, (1) similarly becomes

$$(1') \quad \phi_r \geq 2\pi r/m.$$

Combining (1') and (2') we find $\phi_r/\theta_r - 1 \geq c_4$ whence

$$|1 - \exp(i\phi_r)| \geq c_7(1 - \exp(i\theta_r)).$$

From this it follows that $|h_m(1)| \geq c_7^R |g_m(1)|$, where R is the number of values of r permitted in (5). Since for large m , $R > c_8 t_m$, we have $c_7^R > \exp(c_8 t_m)$, proving (4).

Let X denote the number of roots of h_m at the end points of B .

It follows from our hypothesis that $X > c_4 t_m / \pi$. We define a further polynomial $k_m(x) = x^m + \dots$ by placing roots at the points with arguments $\pm \pi/m, \pm 3\pi/m, \pm 5\pi/m, \dots$ on the arc A . If the number of these points is Y , then $Y < c_9 t_m^{1-\epsilon}$. We place $(X - Y)/2$ roots of k_m at each end point of B and otherwise the roots of h_m and k_m coincide.

In moving the Y roots to pass from h_m to k_m the greatest migration along the arc is from t_m/m to π/m . Hence

$$(6) \quad |k_m(1)| \geq (c_{10}/t_m)^Y |h_m(1)|.$$

Outside the arc C the movement of roots tends to increase h_m ; the worst place is right at the end points of C and there we have the similar estimate

$$(7) \quad |k_m(x)| \leq (c_{11} t_m)^Y |h_m(x)|$$

outside C . Now k_m has roots all through B spaced $2\pi/m$ apart, and $k_m \neq x^m - 1$. By Lemma 1, k_m must assume its maximum at a point x_0 outside C . Then, applying (3), (7), (6), and (4) in succession, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |g_m(x_0)| &> (c_{11} t_m)^{-Y} (c_{10}/t_m)^Y \exp(c_8 t_m) \\ &= (c_{12}/t_m)^{2Y} \exp(c_8 t_m) \\ &> \exp(c_8 t_m), \end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof of Lemma 5.

PROOF OF THEOREM 2. Take $n = 2 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \cdots p_k$. It is well known that $|F_n(1)| = 1$. In view of Lemma 4, we may apply Lemma 5 with $m, g_m(x), t_m, \epsilon$ replaced by $\phi(n), F_n(x), p_k^{4/3}$ and $1/6$ respectively. The conclusion is precisely Theorem 2.

Theorem 2 is probably not the best result. It should not be difficult to extend the method to show that

$$A_n > \exp(\log n)^k$$

for every k and infinitely many n . A very much stronger result may be true, namely

$$(8) \quad A_n > \exp(c_{13} n / \log \log n)$$

for infinitely many n . If true, this would be essentially the best possible result, because for a certain c_{14} and all n ,

$$A_n < \exp(c_{14} n / \log \log n).$$

(The proof is omitted.)

The possibility that (7) may be true is indicated in the following theorem.

THEOREM 3. Let n be the product of k distinct primes p_1, p_2, \dots, p_k and denote by $f(x)$ the number of integers not greater than x which are relatively prime to n . Let

$$P = (1 - 1/p_1) \cdots (1 - 1/p_k),$$

$$g(x) = f(x) - Px.$$

Then there exists an x_0 , $1 \leq x_0 < n$, such that

$$(9) \quad |g(x_0)| > c_{15} 2^{k/2} (\log k)^{-1/2}.$$

The connection between Theorem 3 and (8) is as follows. The function $g(x)$ measures how much the roots of $F_n(x)$ are displaced from the uniform distribution. Lemma 5 then suggests that it might be possible to prove

$$(10) \quad \max_{|z|=1} |F_n(x)| > \exp [c_{15} 2^{k/2} (\log k)^{-1/2}].$$

If in particular we take $n = 2 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \cdots p_k$, then

$$p_k \sim \log n \sim k \log k,$$

and (10) is a result similar to (8).

PROOF OF THEOREM 3. The usual sieve process gives

$$f(x) = [x] - \sum_{p|n} \left[\frac{x}{p} \right] + \sum_{pq|n} \left[\frac{x}{pq} \right] - \cdots = \sum_{r|n} \mu(r) [x/r].$$

Define $(x/r) = x/r - [x/r]$, so that $g(x) = \sum_{r|n} \mu(r)(x/r)$. Then

$$\sum_{x=1}^n [g(x)]^2 = \sum_{r,s|n} \mu(r)\mu(s) \sum_{x=1}^n (x/r)(x/s).$$

Let $r = ud$, $s = vd$, $(u, v) = 1$. Then the final sum becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{x=1}^n (x/r)(x/s) &= nd(rs)^{-2} \sum_{a=0}^{d-1} [a + a + d + \cdots + a + (u-1)d] \\ &\quad \cdot [a + a + d + \cdots + a + (v-1)d] \\ &= n(3rs - 3r - 3s + d^2 + 2)/12rs. \end{aligned}$$

In carrying out the second summation, the first three terms vanish. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} 12 \sum_{x=1}^n [g(x)]^2 &= n \sum_{r,s|n} (d^2 + 2)\mu(r)\mu(s)/rs \\ &= n(2^k P + 2P^2). \end{aligned}$$

Now $P > c_{17}/\log k$, as follows a fortiori from Lemma 3. Hence

$$\sum_{x=1}^n [g(x)]^2 > c_{18}n2^k/\log k,$$

from which the existence of an x_0 satisfying (9) follows at once.

I am indebted to Dr. Irving Kaplansky who shortened some of the proofs and extensively revised the first draft of the manuscript.

UNIVERSITY OF MICHIGAN