

ON THE STRUCTURE OF EDGE GRAPHS II

B. BOLLOBÁS, P. ERDŐS AND M. SIMONOVITS

This note is a sequel to [1]. First let us recall some of the notations. Denote by $G(n, m)$ a graph with n vertices and m edges. Let $K_d(r_1, \dots, r_d)$ be the complete d -partite graph with r_i vertices in its i -th class and put $K_d(t) = K_d(t, \dots, t)$, $K_d = K_d(1)$.

Given integers $n \geq d (\geq 2)$, let $m_d(n)$ be the minimal integer with the property that every $G(n, m)$, where $m \geq m_d(n)$, contains a K_d . The function $m_d(n)$ was determined by Turán [5]. It is easily seen that

$$m_d(n) = \frac{d-2}{2(d-1)} n^2 + o(n).$$

In this note we are interested in the maximal value of t , depending on the integers n, d ($2 \leq d \leq n$) and on a positive number c , such that every $G(n, m)$ contains a $K_d(t)$ provided

$$m \geq \left(\frac{d-2}{2(d-1)} + c \right) n^2.$$

We denote this maximal value by $g(n, d, c)$. Naturally, we may and will always suppose that $c < 1/(2(d-1))$. Erdős and Stone [3] proved the rather surprising fact that if n is large enough then $g(n, d, c) \geq (I_{d-1}(n))_c^{\frac{1}{2}}$, where I_s denotes the s times iterated logarithm. However, this estimate turns out to be rather far from best possible. For fixed d and c ($c \leq 1/(2(d-1))$) the correct order of $g(n, d, c)$ was determined by Bollobás and Erdős [1], who proved that there are constants $c_2 > c_1 > 0$ such that

$$c_1 \log n \leq g(n, d, c) \leq c_2 \log n.$$

More precisely, they showed that there are positive constants γ_d, γ_d^* , depending on d , such that

$$\gamma_d c \log n \leq g(n, d, c) \leq \gamma_d^* \frac{\log n}{-\log c}. \quad (1)$$

The main aim of the paper is to show that, for a fixed value of d , the upper bound in (1) gives the correct order of $g(n, d, c)$ for all $c < 1/(2(d-1))$ and sufficiently large values of n .

Denote by $[x]$ the integer part of x .

THEOREM. (a) *There is an absolute constant $\alpha > 0$ such that if $0 < c < 1/d$ and*

$$m > \left(1 - \frac{1}{d} + c \right) \frac{n^2}{2},$$

then every $G(n, m)$ contains a $K_{d+1}(t)$, where

$$t = \left\lceil \frac{\alpha \log n}{d \log(1/c)} \right\rceil. \tag{2}$$

(b) Given an integer $d \geq 1$ there exists a constant $\varepsilon_d > 0$ such that if $0 < c < \varepsilon_d$ and $n \geq n(d, c)$ is an integer then there exists a graph $G(n, m)$ satisfying (2) which does not contain a $K_{d+1}(t)$ with

$$t = \left\lfloor 5 \frac{\log n}{\log(1/c)} \right\rfloor.$$

Remarks. 1. The ratio of the upper and lower bounds of $g(n, d+1, c)$ given by the theorem does not depend on c . However, it does depend on d . We conjecture that the upper bound gives the correct order, i.e. $\lceil -\log n/4d \log c \rceil$ can be replaced by $\lceil \gamma \log n/\log c \rceil$, where $\gamma (< 0)$ is an absolute constant.

2. The following result can be proved analogously to the theorem.

There exist constants $\delta = \delta(d) > 0$ and $\varepsilon = \varepsilon(d) > 0$ such that if (2) holds and $n > n(d, c)$ then every $G(n, m)$ contains a $K_{d+1}(a, \dots, a, b)$ for every $a < \varepsilon \log n$ and $b \leq n 2^{-\delta a}$. This is sharp in the sense that it fails if δ is sufficiently small.

3. Our final remark concerns r -graphs for $r > 2$. Denote by $G^r(n, m)$ an r -graph with n vertices and m r -tuples. Let $K_p^r(t)$ be the complete p -partite r -graph whose classes consist of t vertices. (An r -tuple is in this graph if and only if its elements belong to different classes.) Put $K_p^r = K_p^r(1)$.

The following problem was posed by Turán about thirty years ago. Given an integer $p > r$, determine the minimal positive number $c_{r,p}$ such that for every $\varepsilon > 0$ and sufficiently large n every graph $G^r(n, m)$ contains a K_p^r provided

$$m \geq (c_{r,p} + \varepsilon) \binom{n}{r}.$$

None of these values $c_{r,p}$ is known and the problem seems to be very difficult. However, it is possible that without actually determining $c_{r,p}$ one can prove a result analogous to the theorem.

Conjecture. Let $2 < r < p$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. Then there exists a constant $\gamma > 0$ such that if

$$m \geq (c_{r,p} + \varepsilon) \binom{n}{r}$$

and n is sufficiently large then every $G^r(n, m)$ contains a $K_p^r(t)$ where

$$t = \lceil (\gamma \log n)^{1/(r-1)} \rceil.$$

It can be deduced from the results in [2] that this assertion holds with

$$t = \lceil (\gamma \log n)^{1/(p-1)} \rceil.$$

Proof of the Theorem. As (b) can be proved as Theorem 2 in [1], we prove only (a).

The cardinality of a set X is denoted by $|X|$. In the proof we shall make use of the following relations that follow from Stirling's formula:

$$\binom{n}{k} \leq \frac{n^k}{k!} \approx \left(\frac{en}{k}\right)^k \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi k}} < \left(\frac{en}{k}\right)^k. \tag{3}$$

To simplify the calculations we shall not choose $\alpha > 0$ immediately but we shall show that if $\alpha > 0$ is a *sufficiently small absolute constant* then the result holds.

Let $G = G(n, m)$ be a graph satisfying (2). As in the proof of Theorem 1 of [1], it is easily seen that G contains a subgraph H with $n' \geq (dc/4)^{\frac{1}{2}} n$ vertices whose every vertex has degree at least $(1 - 1/d + c/2)n'$ in H . So with a slight change of notation it suffices to prove the following proposition.

PROPOSITION. *If $0 < \beta < 1$ is a sufficiently small absolute constant and every vertex of a graph G with n vertices has degree at least*

$$(1 - 1/d + c)n \quad (0 < c < 1/d) \tag{4}$$

then G contains a $K_{d+1}(M)$ where

$$M = \left\lceil \beta \frac{\log n}{d \log(1/c)} \right\rceil.$$

Proof of the proposition. The proposition is obvious if $M < 1$; so we can assume without loss of generality that $M \geq 1$, i.e.

$$n \geq (1/c)^{d/\beta}. \tag{5}$$

To prove the result we use induction on d . For $d = 1$ a stronger result is proved in [1] (and it also follows from [4]). Suppose now that $d \geq 2$ and the proposition is already proved for smaller values of d .

Put

$$c' = \frac{1}{d-1} - \frac{1}{d} + c \quad \text{and} \quad p_0 = \left\lceil \beta \frac{\log n}{(c-1) \log(1/c')} \right\rceil.$$

As the minimal degree in H is greater than $(1 - 1/(d-1) + c')n$, by the induction hypothesis G contains a $K_d(p_0)$.

In the sequel we shall make use of the following simple lemma.

LEMMA. *Let X be a set of vertices of G . Put $x = |X|/d$. Denote by Y the set of those vertices of $G - X$ that are joined to at least $(-1/d + c/2)dx$ vertices of X . Then*

$$d(cn - 2x) \leq 2|Y|. \tag{6}$$

Proof. Denote by S the number of edges connecting $G - X$ to X . S clearly satisfies the following inequalities:

$$dx\{(1 - 1/d + c)n - dx\} \leq |S| \leq |Y|dx + (n - dx - |Y|)(1 - 1/d + c/2)dx.$$

Consequently

$$\frac{1}{2}c dxn - dx^2 + \frac{1}{2}c d^2 x^2 \leq |Y| (1/d - \frac{1}{2}c)dx,$$

and this implies (6).

Let us go on with the proof of the proposition. Put $P = (2/c)M$.

(a) Let us assume that G contains a $K = K_d(p_1, \dots, p_d)$ such that

$$p_i \leq p + M, \quad 1 \leq i \leq d, \tag{7}$$

where

$$p = \frac{1}{d} \sum_1^d p_i$$

and

$$P \leq p \leq P + 1. \tag{8}$$

If β is sufficiently small then

$$cn > 4(P + 1), \tag{9}$$

so by the lemma we can suppose that if Z is the set of vertices of $G - K$ that are joined to at least $p(d-1) + cpd/2$ vertices of K , then $|Z| \geq dcn/4$. A vertex of Z is joined to at least

$$p(d-1) + (cpd/2) - (d-1)(p+M) \geq (cPd/2) - (d-1)M = M$$

vertices of each class of K , so it is joined to a subgraph $K_d(M)$ of K . By (3) the number of $K_d(M)$ subgraphs of K is at most

$$\begin{aligned} \binom{P+1+M}{M}^d &< \binom{2P}{M}^d < \left(\frac{4e}{c}\right)^{Md} \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{c}\right)^{\beta(\log n/\log(1/c))} (4e)^{\beta(\log n/\log(1/c))} < n^\beta n^{2\beta} = n^{3\beta}. \end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

If β is sufficiently small then

$$n^{3\beta} < \frac{cn}{\beta \log n} < \frac{cnd^2 \log(1/c)}{4\beta \log n} = \frac{dcn}{4M} \leq \frac{|Z|}{M}.$$

Thus Z contains a set Z' of M vertices and K contains a $K_d(M)$ subgraph K' such that every vertex of Z' is joined to every vertex of K' . Consequently G contains a $K_{d+1}(M)$.

(b) By (a) we can assume without loss of generality that whenever a subgraph $K_d(p_1, \dots, p_d)$ of G satisfies (7) then $p = (1/d) \sum_1^d p_i < P$.

Let $K = K_d(p_1, \dots, p_d)$ be a subgraph for which p attains its maximum under the conditions (7). As G contains a $K_d(p_0)$, $M < p_0 \leq p < P$. Let U be the set of those vertices that are joined to at least M vertices of each class of K . If U is large, say $|U| \geq n^\frac{1}{2}$, then G contains a $K_{d+1}(M)$, just as in case (a). For by (10) the number of $K_d(M)$ subgraphs of K is at most

$$\binom{p+M}{M}^d < \binom{P+1+M}{M}^d < n^{3\beta} < \frac{n^\frac{1}{2}}{M} \leq \frac{|U|}{M},$$

provided β is sufficiently small.

Thus we can suppose that $|U| \leq n^{\frac{1}{2}}$. Let W be the set of vertices of $G - K$ that are joined to at least $(1 - 1/d + c/2)dp$ vertices of K . Put $V = W - U$. By the lemma, (9) and (5), for sufficiently small β we have

$$|V| \geq \frac{1}{4}cnd - n^{\frac{1}{2}} \geq \frac{1}{8}cnd.$$

Let us define an equivalence relation on V by putting $x \sim y$ ($x, y \in V$) if x and y are joined to exactly the same vertices of K . Let C_i denote the i -th class of K . If $x \in V$ there exists an $i_0, 1 \leq i_0 \leq d$, such that x is joined to less than M vertices of C_{i_0} . As x is joined to more than $(d-1)p$ vertices of K , the number of vertices of $\bigcup_{j \neq i_0} C_j$ not joined to x is less than

$$(d-1)(p+m) - \{(d-1)p - M\} = dM.$$

Hence the number of equivalence classes in V is less than

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i=1}^d \left\{ \sum_{\lambda \leq M} \binom{p_i}{\lambda} \right\} \left\{ \sum_{\mu \leq dM} \binom{pd-p_i}{\mu} \right\} \\ & \leq d^2 M^2 \binom{2p}{M} \binom{pd}{dM} \leq d^2 M^2 \left(\frac{2p}{M}\right)^M \left(\frac{p}{M}\right)^{dM} eM^{(d+1)} \\ & \leq d^2 M^2 (2e)^{(d+1)M} \left(\frac{1}{c}\right)^{dM} < \beta^2 (\log n)^2 n^{4\beta/\log(1/c)} n^\beta. \end{aligned}$$

Thus (5) implies that if β is sufficiently small, the number of equivalence classes is less than $cnd/(8p+8M)$, so there exists a set V_1 of $[p+M]$ equivalent vertices.

We shall show that *there is a $K' = K_d(q_1, \dots, q_d)$ subgraph in G that contradicts the maximality of $K = K_d(p_1, \dots, p_d)$* . Let $x \in V_1$ and let \bar{C}_i denote the set of those vertices of C_i which are joined to x . We may suppose without loss of generality that x is joined to less than M vertices of C_1 , i.e. $|\bar{C}_1| \leq M$. Assume furthermore that $|\bar{C}_2| \leq |\bar{C}_j|, j = 3, \dots, d$. We shall give different constructions for K' according as $|\bar{C}_2| \leq p$ or $|\bar{C}_2| > p$.

If $|\bar{C}_2| \leq p$ let the classes C_i^* of a $K_d(q_1, \dots, q_d)$ be defined as follows:

$$C_1^* = V_1, \quad C_2^* = C_1 \cup C_2 \quad \text{and} \quad C_j^* = \bar{C}_j, \quad j = 3, \dots, n.$$

Since

$$\left| \bigcup_1^d \bar{C}_i \right| > (d-1)p, \quad \left| \bigcup_1^d C_i^* \right| > dp.$$

Furthermore, $|C_i| \leq p+M$. Thus this subgraph $K_d(q_1, \dots, q_d)$ satisfies (7) and contradicts the maximality of K .

If $|\bar{C}_2| > p$, select $q = [p+1]$ vertices from each $\bar{C}_j, j = 2, \dots, d$ and from V_1 . These vertices determine a $K_d(q)$ in G , contradicting the maximality of K .

This completes the proof of the proposition and so the proof of the theorem is also complete.

References

1. B. Bollobás and P. Erdős, "On the structure of edge graphs", *Bull. London Math. Soc.*, 5 (1973), 317–321.
2. P. Erdős, "On some extremal problems on r -graphs", *Discrete Math.*, 1 (1971), 1–6.
3. ——— and A. H. Stone, "On the structure of linear graphs", *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 52 (1946), 1089–1091.
4. T. Kővári, V. T. Sós and P. Turán, "On a problem of Zarankiewicz", *Coll. Math.*, 3 (1954), 50–57.
5. P. Turán, "On an extremal problem in graph theory" (in Hungarian), *Mat. és Fiz. Lapok*, 48 (1941), 436–452.

University of Cambridge, Cambridge,
Hungarian Academy of Sciences, Budapest,
and R. Eötvös University, Budapest.