## FINAL EXAM REGULATIONS - READ THEM CAREFULLY

- 1. You have a continuous 120 minutes (no breaks) to take the exam.
- 2. The exam is closed books, no notes, no calculators, no internet. You can use only clean sheets, pens, pencils.
- 3. Keep in mind that partial scores can be earned in any problem. So if you cannot solve a problem, but you have some thoughts that you consider a good approach, hand them in.

## FINAL EXAM

## 1. Prove that if U is a nonempty, open subset of $\mathbf{R}^d$ . then $\lambda_d(U) > 0$ .

**Solution.** Since U is nonempty, we can take some  $x = (x_1, \ldots, x_d) \in U$ . Since U is open, for some  $r > 0, B(x, r) \subseteq U$ . Then

$$V = \left(x_1 - \frac{r}{d}, x_1 + \frac{r}{d}\right) \times \ldots \times \left(x_d - \frac{r}{d}, x_d + \frac{r}{d}\right) \subseteq B(x, r),$$

since any point of V differs from x by less than r/d in each coordinate, hence by the triangle-inequality, altogether by less than r. Then  $V \subseteq U$ , and using that V is the product of intervals of positive length, its Lebesgue measure is the product of its edge lengths (proven in class), that is,

$$\lambda_d(U) \ge \lambda_d(V) = \left(\frac{2r}{d}\right)^d > 0,$$

and the proof is complete.

2. Let  $\lambda_2$  be the 2-dimensional Lebesgue measure on the plane  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . Consider the triangle

$$T = \left\{ (x, y) \in \mathbf{R}^2 : x \ge 0, y \ge 0, x + y \le 1 \right\}.$$

Prove that  $\lambda_2(T) = 1/2$ .

**Preliminary.** In the solutions, we will use the term Lebesgue measure for  $\lambda_2$ , and will frequently use that the Lebesgue measure of a rectangle is the product of its edges (proven in class).

Solution 1. Let us denote by T' the closed triangle which completes T to the unit square  $[0,1]^2$ , i.e.

$$T' = \{(x, y) : x \leq 1, y \leq 1, x + y \ge 1\}.$$

Let  $D = T \cap T'$  be the diagonal of the square. Then  $\lambda_2(D) = 0$  (proper subspaces and their shifted copies have measure 0: mentioned in class, details were left to an elementary exercise in the notes). Also observe that  $T' \setminus D$  is a congruent copy of  $T \setminus D$ , namely,

$$T' \setminus D = (T \setminus D) \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} + (1, 1),$$

hence  $\lambda_2(T \setminus D) = \lambda_2(T' \setminus D)$  (we proved in the class how linear transformations and shifts change the Lebesgue measure). Then in the pairwise disjoint union  $[0, 1]^2 = (T \setminus D) \cup (T' \setminus D) \cup D$ , the left-hand side admits Lebesgue measure 1, so does the right-hand side, where the last term gives 0, and the first two terms contribute the same value, hence both are 1/2. Then

$$\lambda_2(T) = \lambda_2(T \setminus D) + \lambda_2(D) = \frac{1}{2} + 0 = \frac{1}{2},$$

and the proof is complete.

**Solution 2.** (In case one does not remember our results about the relation of linear transformations, shifts and the Lebesgue measure. This is a little more computational. There will be some rectangles defined, draw them for, say, n = 4, because the formulae are somewhat complicated, but the idea of them is quite visible.) Observe that for any  $n \in \mathbf{N}$ , T is covered with the following union of rectangles:

$$\bigcup_{j=0}^{n-1} \left[ \frac{j}{n}, \frac{j+1}{n} \right] \times \left[ 0, 1 - \frac{j}{n} \right].$$

The Lebesgue measure of the *j*th such rectangle is  $(n - j)/n^2$ , which shows that

$$\lambda_2(T) \leqslant \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \frac{n-j}{n^2} = \frac{n^2+n}{2n^2} = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2n}.$$

Also, T contains the pairwise disjoint union of rectangles

$$\bigcup_{j=0}^{n-1} \left(\frac{j}{n}, \frac{j+1}{n}\right) \times \left(0, 1 - \frac{j+1}{n}\right).$$

The Lebesgue measure of the *j*th such rectangle is  $(n - j - 1)/n^2$ , which shows that

$$\lambda_2(T) \ge \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \frac{n-j-1}{n^2} = \frac{n^2-n}{2n^2} = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2n}.$$

Since the two estimates hold for any  $n \in \mathbf{N}$ , we can take  $n \to \infty$  in both, resulting that  $\lambda_2(T) = 1/2$ .

**Remark.** One can proceed by computing the limit of  $L_n(\mathbf{1}_T)$ , where  $L_n$ 's are the positive, linear functionals used in the class to define the Lebesgue measure. It leads to a similar, but slightly more complicated calculation than the one in Solution 2.

3. Let  $(X, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$  be a measure space. Assume that the measurable function  $f : X \to \mathbb{C}$  satisfies  $f \in L^1(X)$ and |f(x)| < 1 for almost every  $x \in X$ . (Recall, this means that  $\mu(\{x \in X : |f(x)| \ge 1\}) = 0$ .) Prove that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \int_X f^n \ d\mu = 0.$$

(To clarify, for any  $n \in \mathbf{N}$ , the function  $f^n$  is defined as  $f^n(x) := (f(x))^n$ .) Solution. Let us denote by S the set where |f| < 1, by assumption,  $\mu(X \setminus S) = 0$ , hence for any  $n \in \mathbf{N}$ ,

$$\int_X f^n \ d\mu = \int_S f^n \ d\mu$$

Note also that on S, |f| is an integrable majorant for the sequence  $f, f^2, f^3, \ldots$ , hence dominated convergence applies. Also, on S,  $f^n$  tends pointwise to 0. Summing up,

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \int_X f^n \ d\mu = \lim_{n \to \infty} \int_S f^n \ d\mu = \int_S \lim_{n \to \infty} f^n \ d\mu = \int_S 0 \ d\mu = 0,$$

and the proof is complete.

4. Define the positive, linear functional  $L: C_c(\mathbf{R}) \to \mathbf{C}$  as

$$Lf := \int_{-1}^{1} f(x) \, dx + f(2021), \qquad f \in C_c(\mathbf{R}).$$

Let  $\mu$  be the measure associated to L via the Riesz representation theorem. Prove that  $\mu(\mathbf{R}) = 3$ . (In  $\mathbf{R}$ , we consider the standard, euclidean topology. The integral in the definition of L is the Riemann integral, you can use without proof that it makes sense for every compactly supported, continuous function. You can use without proof that L is indeed positive and linear.)

**Preliminary.** For any  $a, b \in \mathbf{R}$  with  $a \leq b$ , define the function

$$H_{a,b} = (\mathrm{id} - (a-1)) \cdot \mathbf{1}_{(a-1,a)} + \mathbf{1}_{[a,b]} + (b+1-\mathrm{id})\mathbf{1}_{(b,b+1)}$$

Again, draw an image: the formula is complicated, but the content is simple, the function is constant 0 up to a - 1 and above b + 1, constant 1 between a and b, and in the remaining segments (a - 1, a) and (b, b + 1), it connects the constant pieces linearly. Note that  $H_{a,b} \in C_c(\mathbf{R})$  for any choice of a, b.

Solution 1. The set **R** is itself open, so from the definition of  $\mu$  on open sets in the proof of the Riesz representation theorem, we know that

$$\mu(\mathbf{R}) = \sup\{Lf : f \in C_c(\mathbf{R}), 0 \leq f \leq 1\}.$$

For any function f in the supremum, we have

$$Lf = \int_{-1}^{1} f(x) \, dx + f(2021) \leqslant \int_{-1}^{1} 1 \, dx + 1 = 3$$

Also, for  $H_{-1,2021} \in C_c(\mathbf{R})$ ,

$$LH_{-1,2021} = \int_{-1}^{1} H_{-1,2021}(x) \, dx + H_{-1,2021}(2021) = \int_{-1}^{1} 1 \, dx + 1 = 3,$$

and the proof is complete.

**Solution 2.** (In case one does not remember how we defined  $\mu$  in the proof of the Riesz representation theorem.) Let  $n \ge 2022$ . Then

$$\mu([-n,n]) = \int_{\mathbf{R}} \mathbf{1}_{[-n,n]} \, d\mu \leqslant \int_{\mathbf{R}} H_{-n,n} \, d\mu = LH_{-n,n}$$
$$= \int_{-1}^{1} H_{-n,n}(x) \, dx + H_{-n,n}(2021) = \int_{-1}^{1} 1 \, dx + 1 = 3.$$

Also,

$$\mu([-n,n]) = \int_{\mathbf{R}} \mathbf{1}_{[-n,n]} \, d\mu \ge \int_{\mathbf{R}} H_{1-n,n-1} \, d\mu = LH_{1-n,n-1}$$
$$= \int_{-1}^{1} H_{1-n,n-1}(x) \, dx + H_{1-n,n-1}(2021) = \int_{-1}^{1} 1 \, dx + 1 = 3.$$

Then  $\mu([-n, n]) = 3$  for  $n \ge 2022$ . We have proven in class that for monotone increasing union of sets, the measure of the union equals the limit of the individual measures, i.e.

$$\mu(\mathbf{R}) = \mu\left(\bigcup_{n=2022}^{\infty} [-n, n]\right) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \mu([-n, n]) = 3$$

and the proof is complete.

- 5. Does there exist a sequence of Lebesgue measurable functions  $f_1, f_2, \ldots$  on the real line which satisfies simultaneously
  - that  $f_n \in L^p(X)$  for any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and any  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ ;
  - that for every  $1 \leq p < \infty$ ,

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \|f_n\|_{L^p} \to 0;$$

 $\bullet\,$  and that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \|f_n\|_{L^{\infty}} \to \infty?$$

Solution. Yes, we construct such a sequence. Let

$$f_n = n \cdot \mathbf{1}_{[0,1/n^n]},$$

and we claim they do the job.

For any  $1 \leq p < \infty$ ,

$$||f_n||_{L^p} = \left(\int_{[0,1/n^n]} n^p \ d\lambda\right)^{1/p} = (n^{p-n})^{1/p} = n^{1-n/p}.$$

In particular, each  $f_n$  is in  $L^p$  for any  $1 \leq p < \infty$ , and the limit, as n tends to  $\infty$ , is 0. Also,

 $\|f_n\|_{L^{\infty}} = n.$ 

In particular, each  $f_n$  is in  $L^{\infty}$ , and the limit, as n tends to  $\infty$ , is  $\infty$ .