

Cyclicity of polynomials in Dirichlet spaces in the bidisc

Łukasz Kosiński

August 21, 2015

\mathbb{D} – the unit disc, $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{D})$ - holomorphic functions on \mathbb{D} .

\mathbb{D} – the unit disc, $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{D})$ - holomorphic functions on \mathbb{D} .

Dirichlet space of order $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ for the unit disc, D_α :

$$D_\alpha = \{f(z) = \sum a_k z^k \in \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{D}) : \|f\|_\alpha := \sum (k+1)^\alpha |a_k|^2 < \infty\}.$$

\mathbb{D} – the unit disc, $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{D})$ - holomorphic functions on \mathbb{D} .

Dirichlet space of order $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ for the unit disc, D_α :

$$D_\alpha = \{f(z) = \sum a_k z^k \in \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{D}) : \|f\|_\alpha := \sum (k+1)^\alpha |a_k|^2 < \infty\}.$$

- If $\alpha = 0$ then we obtain the *Hardy space* H^2 comprises $f \in \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{D})$ such that

$$\sup_{0 < r < 1} \int_0^{2\pi} |f(re^{i\theta})|^2 d\theta < \infty.$$

\mathbb{D} – the unit disc, $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{D})$ - holomorphic functions on \mathbb{D} .

Dirichlet space of order $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ for the unit disc, D_α :

$$D_\alpha = \{f(z) = \sum a_k z^k \in \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{D}) : \|f\|_\alpha := \sum (k+1)^\alpha |a_k|^2 < \infty\}.$$

- If $\alpha = 0$ then we obtain the *Hardy space* H^2 comprises $f \in \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{D})$ such that

$$\sup_{0 < r < 1} \int_0^{2\pi} |f(re^{i\theta})|^2 d\theta < \infty.$$

- For $\alpha = -1$ the space D_{-1} is the *Bergman space* \mathcal{B} comprises $f \in \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{D})$ such that

$$\int_{\mathbb{D}} |f(z)|^2 dA(z) < \infty.$$

\mathbb{D} – the unit disc, $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{D})$ - holomorphic functions on \mathbb{D} .

Dirichlet space of order $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ for the unit disc, D_α :

$$D_\alpha = \{f(z) = \sum a_k z^k \in \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{D}) : \|f\|_\alpha := \sum (k+1)^\alpha |a_k|^2 < \infty\}.$$

- If $\alpha = 0$ then we obtain the *Hardy space* H^2 comprises $f \in \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{D})$ such that

$$\sup_{0 < r < 1} \int_0^{2\pi} |f(re^{i\theta})|^2 d\theta < \infty.$$

- For $\alpha = -1$ the space D_{-1} is the *Bergman space* \mathcal{B} comprises $f \in \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{D})$ such that

$$\int_{\mathbb{D}} |f(z)|^2 dA(z) < \infty.$$

- D_1 is the *Dirichlet space* \mathcal{D} composed of $f \in \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{D})$ such that

$$\int_{\mathbb{D}} |f'(z)|^2 dA(z) < \infty.$$

- D_α is a Hilbert space,

- D_α is a Hilbert space,
- $D_\alpha \subset D_\beta$ if $\beta \leq \alpha$,

- D_α is a Hilbert space,
- $D_\alpha \subset D_\beta$ if $\beta \leq \alpha$,
- $f \mapsto f(z)$ is continuous, so D_α has a reproducing kernel,

- D_α is a Hilbert space,
- $D_\alpha \subset D_\beta$ if $\beta \leq \alpha$,
- $f \mapsto f(z)$ is continuous, so D_α has a reproducing kernel,
- D_α is an algebra iff $\alpha > 1$. This means that for $\alpha > 1$ the product $fg \in D_\alpha$ whenever $f, g \in D_\alpha$.

- D_α is a Hilbert space,
- $D_\alpha \subset D_\beta$ if $\beta \leq \alpha$,
- $f \mapsto f(z)$ is continuous, so D_α has a reproducing kernel,
- D_α is an algebra iff $\alpha > 1$. This means that for $\alpha > 1$ the product $fg \in D_\alpha$ whenever $f, g \in D_\alpha$.
- The shift operator in ℓ^2 is a multiplication by z in H^2 . To consider weighted shifts we take a multiplication by z in weighted Dirichlet spaces.

Let X be a vector space and Φ an operator on X . An element $f \in X$ is cyclic if $\text{span} \{ \Phi^n(f) : n \in \mathbb{N} \}$ is dense in X .

Let X be a vector space and Φ an operator on X . An element $f \in X$ is cyclic if $\text{span} \{ \Phi^n(f) : n \in \mathbb{N} \}$ is dense in X .

Let $S : D_\alpha \rightarrow D_\alpha$ be given by

$$S(f)(z) = zf(z).$$

Let X be a vector space and Φ an operator on X . An element $f \in X$ is cyclic if $\text{span} \{ \Phi^n(f) : n \in \mathbb{N} \}$ is dense in X .

Let $S : D_\alpha \rightarrow D_\alpha$ be given by

$$S(f)(z) = zf(z).$$

$f \in D_\alpha$ is cyclic iff $\{pf : p \text{ is a polynomial}\}$ is dense in D_α .

Let X be a vector space and Φ an operator on X . An element $f \in X$ is cyclic if $\text{span} \{ \Phi^n(f) : n \in \mathbb{N} \}$ is dense in X .

Let $S : D_\alpha \rightarrow D_\alpha$ be given by

$$S(f)(z) = zf(z).$$

$f \in D_\alpha$ is cyclic iff $\{ pf : p \text{ is a polynomial} \}$ is dense in D_α .

This is equivalent to the fact that there is a sequence of polynomial p_n such that $\|1 - p_n f\|_\alpha \rightarrow 0$.

- if $f \in \mathcal{O}(\bar{\mathbb{D}})$ and $f \neq 0$ on $\bar{\mathbb{D}}$, then f is cyclic in D_α for any α – Taylor polynomials $p_n := T_n(1/f)$ satisfy $p_n f \rightarrow 1$ in D_α ;

- if $f \in \mathcal{O}(\bar{\mathbb{D}})$ and $f \neq 0$ on $\bar{\mathbb{D}}$, then f is cyclic in D_α for any α – Taylor polynomials $p_n := T_n(1/f)$ satisfy $p_n f \rightarrow 1$ in D_α ;
- if $\alpha > 1$, then $f \in D_\alpha$ is cyclic iff it does not vanish on $\bar{\mathbb{D}}$;

- if $f \in \mathcal{O}(\bar{\mathbb{D}})$ and $f \neq 0$ on $\bar{\mathbb{D}}$, then f is cyclic in D_α for any α – Taylor polynomials $p_n := T_n(1/f)$ satisfy $p_n f \rightarrow 1$ in D_α ;
- if $\alpha > 1$, then $f \in D_\alpha$ is cyclic iff it does not vanish on $\bar{\mathbb{D}}$;
- $f(z) = 1 - z$ is cyclic in D_1 ; note that $p_n = T_n(1/f) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} z^k$, satisfy $\|p_n f - 1\|_\alpha = \|z^n\|_\alpha = (n+1)^\alpha$.

- if $f \in \mathcal{O}(\bar{\mathbb{D}})$ and $f \neq 0$ on $\bar{\mathbb{D}}$, then f is cyclic in D_α for any α – Taylor polynomials $p_n := T_n(1/f)$ satisfy $p_n f \rightarrow 1$ in D_α ;
- if $\alpha > 1$, then $f \in D_\alpha$ is cyclic iff it does not vanish on $\bar{\mathbb{D}}$;
- $f(z) = 1 - z$ is cyclic in D_1 ; note that $p_n = T_n(1/f) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} z^k$, satisfy $\|p_n f - 1\|_\alpha = \|z^n\|_\alpha = (n+1)^\alpha$.
Generalized Riesz mean polynomials $p_n(z) = \sum_{k=0}^n (1 - \frac{H_k}{H_{n+1}}) z^k$, where $H_n = 1 + 1/2 + \dots + 1/n$, satisfy $\|p_n f - 1\|_1 \rightarrow 0$.

- if $f \in \mathcal{O}(\bar{\mathbb{D}})$ and $f \neq 0$ on $\bar{\mathbb{D}}$, then f is cyclic in D_α for any α – Taylor polynomials $p_n := T_n(1/f)$ satisfy $p_n f \rightarrow 1$ in D_α ;
- if $\alpha > 1$, then $f \in D_\alpha$ is cyclic iff it does not vanish on $\bar{\mathbb{D}}$;
- $f(z) = 1 - z$ is cyclic in D_1 ; note that $p_n = T_n(1/f) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} z^k$, satisfy $\|p_n f - 1\|_\alpha = \|z^n\|_\alpha = (n+1)^\alpha$.
Generalized Riesz mean polynomials $p_n(z) = \sum_{k=0}^n (1 - \frac{H_k}{H_{n+1}}) z^k$, where $H_n = 1 + 1/2 + \dots + 1/n$, satisfy $\|p_n f - 1\|_1 \rightarrow 0$.
- f is cyclic in H^2 iff f is outer;

- if $f \in \mathcal{O}(\bar{\mathbb{D}})$ and $f \neq 0$ on $\bar{\mathbb{D}}$, then f is cyclic in D_α for any α – Taylor polynomials $p_n := T_n(1/f)$ satisfy $p_n f \rightarrow 1$ in D_α ;
- if $\alpha > 1$, then $f \in D_\alpha$ is cyclic iff it does not vanish on $\bar{\mathbb{D}}$;
- $f(z) = 1 - z$ is cyclic in D_1 ; note that $p_n = T_n(1/f) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} z^k$, satisfy $\|p_n f - 1\|_\alpha = \|z^n\|_\alpha = (n+1)^\alpha$.
Generalized Riesz mean polynomials $p_n(z) = \sum_{k=0}^n (1 - \frac{H_k}{H_{n+1}}) z^k$, where $H_n = 1 + 1/2 + \dots + 1/n$, satisfy $\|p_n f - 1\|_1 \rightarrow 0$.
- f is cyclic in H^2 iff f is outer;
- if f is cyclic in D_1 , then f is outer and $c(\{f^* = 0\}) = 0$;

- if $f \in \mathcal{O}(\bar{\mathbb{D}})$ and $f \neq 0$ on $\bar{\mathbb{D}}$, then f is cyclic in D_α for any $\alpha > 0$ – Taylor polynomials $p_n := T_n(1/f)$ satisfy $p_n f \rightarrow 1$ in D_α ;
- if $\alpha > 1$, then $f \in D_\alpha$ is cyclic iff it does not vanish on $\bar{\mathbb{D}}$;
- $f(z) = 1 - z$ is cyclic in D_1 ; note that $p_n = T_n(1/f) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} z^k$, satisfy $\|p_n f - 1\|_\alpha = \|z^n\|_\alpha = (n+1)^\alpha$.
Generalized Riesz mean polynomials $p_n(z) = \sum_{k=0}^n (1 - \frac{H_k}{H_{n+1}}) z^k$, where $H_n = 1 + 1/2 + \dots + 1/n$, satisfy $\|p_n f - 1\|_1 \rightarrow 0$.
- f is cyclic in H^2 iff f is outer;
- if f is cyclic in D_1 , then f is outer and $c(\{f^* = 0\}) = 0$;
- (Brown-Shields conjecture): If f is an outer function such that $c(\{f^* = 0\}) = 0$, then f cyclic in D_1 .

Dirichlet spaces in \mathbb{D}^2 .

Dirichlet spaces in \mathbb{D}^2 .

A holomorphic function $f : \mathbb{D}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, $f(z_1, z_2) = \sum_{k,l=0}^{\infty} a_{k,l} z_1^k z_2^l$ belongs to D_α if

$$\|f\|_\alpha^2 := \sum_{k,l} (k+1)^\alpha (l+1)^\alpha |a_{k,l}|^2 < \infty.$$

Dirichlet spaces in \mathbb{D}^2 .

A holomorphic function $f : \mathbb{D}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, $f(z_1, z_2) = \sum_{k,l=0}^{\infty} a_{k,l} z_1^k z_2^l$ belongs to D_α if

$$\|f\|_\alpha^2 := \sum_{k,l} (k+1)^\alpha (l+1)^\alpha |a_{k,l}|^2 < \infty.$$

Cyclicity with respect to *shift operators* $S_1(f)(z_1, z_2) = z_1 f(z_1, z_2)$, $S_2(f)(z_1, z_2) = z_2 f(z_1, z_2)$.

Dirichlet spaces in \mathbb{D}^2 .

A holomorphic function $f : \mathbb{D}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, $f(z_1, z_2) = \sum_{k,l=0}^{\infty} a_{k,l} z_1^k z_2^l$ belongs to D_α if

$$\|f\|_\alpha^2 := \sum_{k,l} (k+1)^\alpha (l+1)^\alpha |a_{k,l}|^2 < \infty.$$

Cyclicity with respect to *shift operators* $S_1(f)(z_1, z_2) = z_1 f(z_1, z_2)$, $S_2(f)(z_1, z_2) = z_2 f(z_1, z_2)$.

Definition

f is cyclic in D_α if and only if $\text{span}\{z_1 f, z_2 f\}$ is dense in D_α

Note that $f \in D_\alpha$ is cyclic in D_α iff there is a sequence $p_n \in \mathbb{C}[z_1, z_2]$ such that

$$\|1 - fp_n\|_\alpha \rightarrow 0.$$

There is a connection between Nyman's dilatation completeness problem (equivalent to the Riemann hypothesis) and cyclicity on the Hardy space of the infinite polydisc.

There is a connection between Nyman's dilatation completeness problem (equivalent to the Riemann hypothesis) and cyclicity on the Hardy space of the infinite polydisc.

Let $\rho(x) = x - [x]$, $\varphi(x) = \rho(1/x)$, $x \in (0, 1)$. Nyman's (1950) proved that the following is equivalent:

- All zeroes of the Riemann- ζ function are on the line $\{Re z = 1/2\}$,
- $\chi_{(0,1)} \in \overline{\text{span}}_{L^2}(\varphi(nx), n \in \mathbb{N})$.

There is a connection between Nyman's dilatation completeness problem (equivalent to the Riemann hypothesis) and cyclicity on the Hardy space of the infinite polydisc.

Let $\rho(x) = x - [x]$, $\varphi(x) = \rho(1/x)$, $x \in (0, 1)$. Nyman's (1950) proved that the following is equivalent:

- All zeroes of the Riemann- ζ function are on the line $\{Re z = 1/2\}$,
- $\chi_{(0,1)} \in \overline{\text{span}}_{L^2}(\varphi(nx), n \in \mathbb{N})$.

Nikolski showed that the second condition above is related to cyclicity in $H^2(\mathbb{D}_2^\infty)$.

- D_α are algebras whenever $\alpha > 1$,

- D_α are algebras whenever $\alpha > 1$,
- cyclicity of $f \in H^2(\mathbb{D}^2) = D_0$ implies that f is an outer function i.e.

$$\log |f(z_1, z_2)| = \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \log |f^*(\zeta, \eta)| P_{(z_1, z_2)}(\zeta, \eta) |d\zeta| |d\eta|.$$

- D_α are algebras whenever $\alpha > 1$,
- cyclicity of $f \in H^2(\mathbb{D}^2) = D_0$ implies that f is an outer function i.e.

$$\log |f(z_1, z_2)| = \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \log |f^*(\zeta, \eta)| P_{(z_1, z_2)}(\zeta, \eta) |d\zeta| |d\eta|.$$

(Rudin) But there are outer functions which are not cyclic in $H^2(\mathbb{D}^2)$.

- D_α are algebras whenever $\alpha > 1$,
- cyclicity of $f \in H^2(\mathbb{D}^2) = D_0$ implies that f is an outer function i.e.

$$\log |f(z_1, z_2)| = \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \log |f^*(\zeta, \eta)| P_{(z_1, z_2)}(\zeta, \eta) |d\zeta| |d\eta|.$$

(Rudin) But there are outer functions which are not cyclic in $H^2(\mathbb{D}^2)$.

If f is cyclic in D_α , then does f not vanish on \mathbb{D}^2 .

- D_α are algebras whenever $\alpha > 1$,
- cyclicity of $f \in H^2(\mathbb{D}^2) = D_0$ implies that f is an outer function i.e.

$$\log |f(z_1, z_2)| = \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \log |f^*(\zeta, \eta)| P_{(z_1, z_2)}(\zeta, \eta) |d\zeta| |d\eta|.$$

(Rudin) But there are outer functions which are not cyclic in $H^2(\mathbb{D}^2)$.

If f is cyclic in D_α , then does f not vanish on \mathbb{D}^2 .

- (Neuwirth, Ginsberg, Newman) cyclicity of polynomials $f \in \mathbb{C}[z_1, z_2]$ in $H^2(\mathbb{D}^2)$.

- D_α are algebras whenever $\alpha > 1$,
- cyclicity of $f \in H^2(\mathbb{D}^2) = D_0$ implies that f is an outer function i.e.

$$\log |f(z_1, z_2)| = \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \log |f^*(\zeta, \eta)| P_{(z_1, z_2)}(\zeta, \eta) |d\zeta| |d\eta|.$$

(Rudin) But there are outer functions which are not cyclic in $H^2(\mathbb{D}^2)$.

If f is cyclic in D_α , then does f not vanish on \mathbb{D}^2 .

- (Neuwirth, Ginsberg, Newman) cyclicity of polynomials $f \in \mathbb{C}[z_1, z_2]$ in $H^2(\mathbb{D}^2)$.
- Which polynomials are cyclic in D_α ?

- $f(z_1, z_2) = 1 - z_1$. Then f is cyclic in D_α iff $\alpha \leq 1$,

- $f(z_1, z_2) = 1 - z_1$. Then f is cyclic in D_α iff $\alpha \leq 1$,
- (Thomas Ransford) $f(z, w) = 2 - z - w$. Then $\{f = 0\} \cap \mathbb{T}^2 = \{(1, 1)\}$.
 f is cyclic in D_α if and only if D_α is not an algebra, i.e. $\alpha \leq 1$.

- $f(z_1, z_2) = 1 - z_1$. Then f is cyclic in D_α iff $\alpha \leq 1$,
- (Thomas Ransford) $f(z, w) = 2 - z - w$. Then $\{f = 0\} \cap \mathbb{T}^2 = \{(1, 1)\}$.
 f is cyclic in D_α if and only if D_α is not an algebra, i.e. $\alpha \leq 1$.
- (H. Hedenmalm) If $f \in \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{D}^2) \cap \mathcal{C}(\bar{\mathbb{D}}^2)$ is such that f vanishes only in $(1, 1)$ and $f(1, \cdot)$ and $f(\cdot, 1)$ are outer functions, then f is cyclic in D_1 .

- $f(z_1, z_2) = 1 - z_1$. Then f is cyclic in D_α iff $\alpha \leq 1$,
- (Thomas Ransford) $f(z, w) = 2 - z - w$. Then $\{f = 0\} \cap \mathbb{T}^2 = \{(1, 1)\}$.
 f is cyclic in D_α if and only if D_α is not an algebra, i.e. $\alpha \leq 1$.
- (H. Hedenmalm) If $f \in \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{D}^2) \cap \mathcal{C}(\bar{\mathbb{D}}^2)$ is such that f vanishes only in $(1, 1)$ and $f(1, \cdot)$ and $f(\cdot, 1)$ are outer functions, then f is cyclic in D_1 .
- Let $f(z_1, z_2) = g(z_1)h(z_2)$. Then $\|f\|_\alpha = \|g\|_{D_\alpha} \|h\|_{D_\alpha}$.
 Moreover, f is cyclic in D_α iff g and h are cyclic in $D_\alpha(\mathbb{D})$.
 Even more: if $f = f(z_1, z_2)$ is cyclic in D_α , then slices $f_{z_1} = f(z_1, \cdot)$ and $f_{z_2} = f(\cdot, z_2)$ are cyclic in $D_\alpha(\mathbb{D})$.

- Let $f(z, w) = 1 - zw$.
 $\{f = 0\} \cap \mathbb{T}^2 = \{(e^{i\theta}, e^{-i\theta}) : \theta \in \mathbb{R}\}$.
Then f is cyclic in D_α iff $\alpha \leq 1/2$ (so it is not cyclic in D_1).

- Let $f(z, w) = 1 - zw$.
 $\{f = 0\} \cap \mathbb{T}^2 = \{(e^{i\theta}, e^{-i\theta}) : \theta \in \mathbb{R}\}$.
 Then f is cyclic in D_α iff $\alpha \leq 1/2$ (so it is not cyclic in D_1).

Let $p_n = \sum_{k,l} a_{k,l} z^k w^l$ be such that $p_n f \rightarrow 1$ in D_α . Let

$\tilde{p}_n = \sum_k a_{k,k} z^k w^k = q_n(zw)$. Then

$\|p_n f - 1\|_\alpha \geq \|\tilde{p}_n f - 1\|_\alpha = \|(1 - z)q_n - 1\|_{D_{2\alpha}(\mathbb{D})}$, so $2\alpha \leq 1$.

- Let $f(z, w) = 1 - zw$.
 $\{f = 0\} \cap \mathbb{T}^2 = \{(e^{i\theta}, e^{-i\theta}) : \theta \in \mathbb{R}\}$.
 Then f is cyclic in D_α iff $\alpha \leq 1/2$ (so it is not cyclic in D_1).

Let $p_n = \sum_{k,l} a_{k,l} z^k w^l$ be such that $p_n f \rightarrow 1$ in D_α . Let $\tilde{p}_n = \sum_k a_{k,k} z^k w^k = q_n(zw)$. Then $\|p_n f - 1\|_\alpha \geq \|\tilde{p}_n f - 1\|_\alpha = \|(1 - z)q_n - 1\|_{D_{2\alpha}(\mathbb{D})}$, so $2\alpha \leq 1$.

On the other hand, if $(1 - z)p_n \rightarrow 1$ in $D_\alpha(\mathbb{D})$, then $(1 - zw)p_n(zw) \rightarrow 1$ in $D_{2\alpha}$.

- Let $f(z, w) = 1 - zw$.
 $\{f = 0\} \cap \mathbb{T}^2 = \{(e^{i\theta}, e^{-i\theta}) : \theta \in \mathbb{R}\}$.
 Then f is cyclic in D_α iff $\alpha \leq 1/2$ (so it is not cyclic in D_1).

Let $p_n = \sum_{k,l} a_{k,l} z^k w^l$ be such that $p_n f \rightarrow 1$ in D_α . Let $\tilde{p}_n = \sum_k a_{k,k} z^k w^k = q_n(zw)$. Then $\|p_n f - 1\|_\alpha \geq \|\tilde{p}_n f - 1\|_\alpha = \|(1 - z)q_n - 1\|_{D_{2\alpha}(\mathbb{D})}$, so $2\alpha \leq 1$.

On the other hand, if $(1 - z)p_n \rightarrow 1$ in $D_\alpha(\mathbb{D})$, then $(1 - zw)p_n(zw) \rightarrow 1$ in $D_{2\alpha}$.

- $f(z, w) = (1 - z)(1 - w)$ is cyclic if $\alpha \leq 1$.

Theorem

Suppose that $f \in \mathbb{C}[z_1, z_2]$ is not a polynomial of one variable, have no zeroes on \mathbb{D}^2 and $\{f = 0\}$ meets \mathbb{T}^2 along a curve. Then f is not cyclic in D_α for $\alpha > 1/2$.

Definition

Let $E \subset \mathbb{T}^2$ be a Borel set, μ – a probability measure supported on E . We say that μ has finite (Riesz) α -energy if

$$I_\alpha[\mu] = \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \frac{1}{|e^{i\theta_1} - e^{i\eta_1}|^{1-\alpha}} \frac{1}{|e^{i\theta_2} - e^{i\eta_2}|^{1-\alpha}} d\mu(\eta_1, \eta_2) d\mu(\theta_1, \theta_2) < \infty.$$

Definition

Let $E \subset \mathbb{T}^2$ be a Borel set, μ – a probability measure supported on E . We say that μ has finite (Riesz) α -energy if

$$I_\alpha[\mu] = \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \frac{1}{|e^{i\theta_1} - e^{i\eta_1}|^{1-\alpha}} \frac{1}{|e^{i\theta_2} - e^{i\eta_2}|^{1-\alpha}} d\mu(\eta_1, \eta_2) d\mu(\theta_1, \theta_2) < \infty.$$

Moreover,

$$c_\alpha(E) := 1/\inf\{I_\alpha[\mu]\}, \quad (\text{Riesz}) \alpha - \text{capacity}$$

defines (Riesz) α -capacity.

Definition

Let $E \subset \mathbb{T}^2$ be a Borel set, μ – a probability measure supported on E . We say that μ has finite (Riesz) α -energy if

$$I_\alpha[\mu] = \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \frac{1}{|e^{i\theta_1} - e^{i\eta_1}|^{1-\alpha}} \frac{1}{|e^{i\theta_2} - e^{i\eta_2}|^{1-\alpha}} d\mu(\eta_1, \eta_2) d\mu(\theta_1, \theta_2) < \infty.$$

Moreover,

$$c_\alpha(E) := 1/\inf\{I_\alpha[\mu]\}, \quad (\text{Riesz } \alpha\text{-capacity})$$

defines (Riesz) α -capacity.

For $\alpha = 1$ we use kernel $\log(e/|e^{i\theta_1} - e^{i\eta_1}|) \log(e/|e^{i\theta_2} - e^{i\eta_2}|)$ in the definitions of energy and capacity.

Viewing the integral defining the energy as a convolution with a kernel of positive type it is possible to express α -energy of μ in terms of its Fourier coefficients:

Viewing the integral defining the energy as a convolution with a kernel of positive type it is possible to express α -energy of μ in terms of its Fourier coefficients:

$$I_\alpha[\mu] = 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{|\hat{\mu}(k, 0)|^2}{k^\alpha} + \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \frac{|\hat{\mu}(0, l)|^2}{l^\alpha} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}} \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \frac{|\hat{\mu}(k, l)|^2}{|k|^\alpha l^\alpha},$$

where $\hat{\mu}(k, l) = \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} e^{-i(k\theta_1 + l\theta_2)} d\mu(\theta_1, \theta_2)$.

Generalization of van der Corput's lemma.

S is a smooth curve in $\mathbb{T}^2 = [0, 2\pi) \times [0, 2\pi)$, $\varphi : I \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^2$ is its parametrization, $I = (-1, 1)$.

Generalization of var der Corput's lemma.

S is a smooth curve in $\mathbb{T}^2 = [0, 2\pi) \times [0, 2\pi)$, $\varphi : I \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^2$ is its parametrization, $I = (-1, 1)$.

The type of $\xi = \varphi(x) \in \varphi(I)$ is the smallest τ such that for all $\eta \in \mathbb{R}^2$, $\|\eta\| = 1$ there exists $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, $k \leq \tau$, such that

$$\frac{d^k \varphi(x)}{dt^k} \cdot \eta \neq 0.$$

Note that $\tau \geq 2$.

Generalization of var der Corput's lemma.

S is a smooth curve in $\mathbb{T}^2 = [0, 2\pi) \times [0, 2\pi)$, $\varphi : I \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^2$ is its parametrization, $I = (-1, 1)$.

The type of $\xi = \varphi(x) \in \varphi(I)$ is the smallest τ such that for all $\eta \in \mathbb{R}^2$, $\|\eta\| = 1$ there exists $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, $k \leq \tau$, such that

$$\frac{d^k \varphi(x)}{dt^k} \cdot \eta \neq 0.$$

Note that $\tau \geq 2$.

Example: $\varphi(t) = (t, \psi(t))$. The curve is of type 2 at $\varphi(0)$ if for any $|\eta| = 1$ either $\eta_1 + \psi'(0)\eta_2 \neq 0$ or $\psi''(0)\eta_2 \neq 0$. This means that $\psi''(0) \neq 0$.

A curve is of type 2 if it has everywhere non-vanishing curvature.

$S \subset \mathbb{T}^2$ - a curve of finite type. Let σ be a measure on S obtained by pulling back to Lebesgue measure on the line using parametrization of D . Let $d\mu(x) = \psi(x)d\sigma(x)$, $x \in S \subset \mathbb{T}^2$, where $\psi \in \mathcal{C}_0^\infty(S)$, $\psi \geq 0$.

$S \subset \mathbb{T}^2$ - a curve of finite type. Let σ be a measure on S obtained by pulling back to Lebesgue measure on the line using parametrization of D . Let $d\mu(x) = \psi(x)d\sigma(x)$, $x \in S \subset \mathbb{T}^2$, where $\psi \in C_0^\infty(S)$, $\psi \geq 0$.

Lemma (Decay of Fourier coefficients of measures on varieties)

If S of finite type $\tau \in \mathbb{N}$ and μ is as above, then there is $C > 0$ such that

$$|\hat{\mu}(k, l)| \leq C(k^2 + l^2)^{-1/(2\tau)},$$

$k, l \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Lemma

Assume that $f \in D_\alpha$ is such that $\{f^* = 0\} \cap \mathbb{T}^2$ contains a locally smooth curve S of type τ . Then f is not cyclic in D_α for any $\alpha > 1 - 1/\tau$.

It suffices to show that $c_\alpha(S) > 0$. Indeed, if $c_\alpha(S) > 0$, then $c_\alpha(\{f^* = 0\} \cap \mathbb{T}^2) > 0$ and there is a probability measure μ on S such that $I_\alpha[\mu] < \infty$. Cauchy integral:

$$\begin{aligned} C[\mu](z_1, z_2) &= \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} (1 - e^{i\theta_1} z_1)^{-1} (1 - e^{i\theta_2} z_2)^{-1} d\mu(\theta_1, \theta_2) = \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \sum_k e^{ik\theta_1} z_1^k \sum_l e^{il\theta_2} z_2^l d\mu(\theta_1, \theta_2) = \\ &= \sum_{k,l} \hat{\mu}(-k, -l) z_1^k z_2^l = \sum_{k,l} \tilde{\mu}(k, l) z_1^k z_2^l. \quad (1) \end{aligned}$$

Lemma

Assume that $f \in D_\alpha$ is such that $\{f^* = 0\} \cap \mathbb{T}^2$ contains a locally smooth curve S of type τ . Then f is not cyclic in D_α for any $\alpha > 1 - 1/\tau$.

It suffices to show that $c_\alpha(S) > 0$. Indeed, if $c_\alpha(S) > 0$, then $c_\alpha(\{f^* = 0\} \cap \mathbb{T}^2) > 0$ and there is a probability measure μ on S such that $I_\alpha[\mu] < \infty$. Cauchy integral:

$$\begin{aligned} C[\mu](z_1, z_2) &= \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} (1 - e^{i\theta_1} z_1)^{-1} (1 - e^{i\theta_2} z_2)^{-1} d\mu(\theta_1, \theta_2) = \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \sum_k e^{ik\theta_1} z_1^k \sum_l e^{il\theta_2} z_2^l d\mu(\theta_1, \theta_2) = \\ &= \sum_{k,l} \hat{\mu}(-k, -l) z_1^k z_2^l = \sum_{k,l} \tilde{\mu}(k, l) z_1^k z_2^l. \quad (1) \end{aligned}$$

$$\|C[\mu]\|_{-\alpha} = \sum_{k,l} \frac{|\hat{\mu}(k, l)|^2}{(k+1)^\alpha (l+1)^\alpha} < \infty$$

as $I_\alpha[\mu] < \infty$.

Note that $C[\mu] \neq 0$ ($\mu \neq 0$), so $C[\mu]$ is non-trivial element of $D_{-\alpha}$.

Note that $C[\mu] \neq 0$ ($\mu \neq 0$), so $C[\mu]$ is non-trivial element of $D_{-\alpha}$.
 $D_{-\alpha}$ may be identified with dual of D_{α} via the pairing

$$\langle f, g \rangle = \sum_{k,l} a_{k,l} b_{k,l} \sim \int f^*(e^{i\theta_1}, e^{i\theta_2}) g^*(e^{-i\theta_1}, e^{-i\theta_2}) d\theta_1 d\theta_2,$$

$$f = \sum a_{k,l} z^k w^l, g = \sum b_{k,l} z^k w^l.$$

Note that $C[\mu] \neq 0$ ($\mu \neq 0$), so $C[\mu]$ is non-trivial element of $D_{-\alpha}$.

$D_{-\alpha}$ may be identified with dual of D_α via the pairing

$$\langle f, g \rangle = \sum_{k,l} a_{k,l} b_{k,l} \sim \int f^*(e^{i\theta_1}, e^{i\theta_2}) g^*(e^{-i\theta_1}, e^{-i\theta_2}) d\theta_1 d\theta_2,$$

$$f = \sum a_{k,l} z^k w^l, \quad g = \sum b_{k,l} z^k w^l.$$

Since μ is supported on $\{f^* = 0\}$ we get that $\langle pf, C[\mu] \rangle = 0$ for any polynomial p . In particular, f is non-cyclic.

We have to show that $c_\alpha(S) > 0$.

Let $d\mu = \psi(x) d\sigma(x)$.

$$I_\alpha[\mu] = 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{|\hat{\mu}(k,0)|^2}{k^\alpha} + \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \frac{|\hat{\mu}(0,l)|^2}{l^\alpha} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}} \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \frac{|\hat{\mu}(k,l)|^2}{|k|^\alpha l^\alpha}$$

$$\text{and } |\hat{\mu}(k,l)| \leq C(k^2 + l^2)^{-1/(2\tau)}, \quad k, l \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Note that $C[\mu] \neq 0$ ($\mu \neq 0$), so $C[\mu]$ is non-trivial element of $D_{-\alpha}$.

$D_{-\alpha}$ may be identified with dual of D_α via the pairing

$$\langle f, g \rangle = \sum_{k,l} a_{k,l} b_{k,l} \sim \int f^*(e^{i\theta_1}, e^{i\theta_2}) g^*(e^{-i\theta_1}, e^{-i\theta_2}) d\theta_1 d\theta_2,$$

$$f = \sum a_{k,l} z^k w^l, \quad g = \sum b_{k,l} z^k w^l.$$

Since μ is supported on $\{f^* = 0\}$ we get that $\langle pf, C[\mu] \rangle = 0$ for any polynomial p . In particular, f is non-cyclic.

We have to show that $c_\alpha(S) > 0$.

Let $d\mu = \psi(x) d\sigma(x)$.

$$I_\alpha[\mu] = 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{|\hat{\mu}(k,0)|^2}{k^\alpha} + \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \frac{|\hat{\mu}(0,l)|^2}{l^\alpha} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}} \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \frac{|\hat{\mu}(k,l)|^2}{|k|^\alpha l^\alpha}$$

and $|\hat{\mu}(k,l)| \leq C(k^2 + l^2)^{-1/(2\tau)}$, $k, l \in \mathbb{Z}$.

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \frac{|\hat{\mu}(k,l)|^2}{|k|^\alpha l^\alpha} &\leq 2 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{|\hat{\mu}(k,k)|^2}{(k+1)^\alpha (k+1)^\alpha} + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \sum_{l=1}^{k-1} \frac{|\hat{\mu}(k,l)|^2}{(k+1)^\alpha (l+1)^\alpha} + \\ \sum_{l=2}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^{l-1} \frac{|\hat{\mu}(k,l)|^2}{(k+1)^\alpha (l+1)^\alpha} &\leq C \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{k^{\alpha+1/\tau}} + \frac{1}{k^{2\alpha-1+2/\tau}} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Assume that $\varphi(t) = (t, \psi(t))$ parametrizes a piece of the zero set of f on \mathbb{T}^2 . Then $\tilde{\varphi}(t) = (\gamma(t), \psi(t))$, where $\gamma(t) = \arg m_a(e^{it})$, parametrizes a piece of the zero set of $f(m_a(z_1), z_2)$.
 $\tilde{\varphi}$ generically has type 2 at $t = 0$.

Assume that $\varphi(t) = (t, \psi(t))$ parametrizes a piece of the zero set of f on \mathbb{T}^2 . Then $\tilde{\varphi}(t) = (\gamma(t), \psi(t))$, where $\gamma(t) = \arg m_a(e^{it})$, parametrizes a piece of the zero set of $f(m_a(z_1), z_2)$.

$\tilde{\varphi}$ generically has type 2 at $t = 0$.

Actually, note that $\gamma'(0) > 0$ and $\gamma''(0) \neq 0$ as long as $\text{Im}(a) \neq 0$.

Thus if $\psi''(0) \neq 0$, then φ has type 2. If $\psi''(0) = 0$, then equations $\eta_1 \gamma'(0) + \eta_2 \eta'(0) = 0$ and $\eta_2 \gamma''(0) = 0$ cannot hold simultaneously, so $\tilde{\varphi}$ is of type 2 and then $f(m_a(z), w)$ is cyclic. Thus f is cyclic.

Theorem

*Let $f \in \mathbb{C}[z_1, z_2]$ have no zeros in \mathbb{D}^2 and finitely many zeroes on \mathbb{T}^2 .
Then f is cyclic in D_α iff D_α is not an algebra i.e. $\alpha \leq 1$.*

Theorem

Let $f \in \mathbb{C}[z_1, z_2]$ have no zeros in \mathbb{D}^2 and finitely many zeroes on \mathbb{T}^2 . Then f is cyclic in D_α iff D_α is not an algebra i.e. $\alpha \leq 1$.

$f(z, w) = 2 - z_1 - z_2$. Note that $\{f = 0\} \cap \mathbb{T}^2 = \{(1, 1)\}$. Then $|(z_1 - 1)(z_2 - 1)| \leq 2|2 - z_1 - z_2|$, $(z_1, z_2) \in \mathbb{D}^2$. Thus for k big enough $Q(z_1, z_2) = \frac{(z_1 - 1)^k (z_2 - 1)^k}{2 - z_1 - z_2}$ is two times continuously differtiable on \mathbb{T}^2 .

Theorem

Let $f \in \mathbb{C}[z_1, z_2]$ have no zeros in \mathbb{D}^2 and finitely many zeroes on \mathbb{T}^2 . Then f is cyclic in D_α iff D_α is not an algebra i.e. $\alpha \leq 1$.

$f(z, w) = 2 - z_1 - z_2$. Note that $\{f = 0\} \cap \mathbb{T}^2 = \{(1, 1)\}$. Then $|(z_1 - 1)(z_2 - 1)| \leq 2|2 - z_1 - z_2|$, $(z_1, z_2) \in \mathbb{D}^2$. Thus for k big enough $Q(z_1, z_2) = \frac{(z_1 - 1)^k (z_2 - 1)^k}{2 - z_1 - z_2}$ is two times continuously differtiable on \mathbb{T}^2 . Thus Fourier coefficients of Q satisfy

$$\sum_{k,l} |\hat{Q}(k, l)|^2 (k+1)^2 (l+1)^2 < \infty.$$

This puts $Q \in D_2$.

Theorem

Let $f \in \mathbb{C}[z_1, z_2]$ have no zeros in \mathbb{D}^2 and finitely many zeroes on \mathbb{T}^2 . Then f is cyclic in D_α iff D_α is not an algebra i.e. $\alpha \leq 1$.

$f(z, w) = 2 - z_1 - z_2$. Note that $\{f = 0\} \cap \mathbb{T}^2 = \{(1, 1)\}$. Then $|(z_1 - 1)(z_2 - 1)| \leq 2|2 - z_1 - z_2|$, $(z_1, z_2) \in \mathbb{D}^2$. Thus for k big enough $Q(z_1, z_2) = \frac{(z_1 - 1)^k (z_2 - 1)^k}{2 - z_1 - z_2}$ is two times continuously differentiable on \mathbb{T}^2 . Thus Fourier coefficients of Q satisfy

$$\sum_{k, l} |\hat{Q}(k, l)|^2 (k + 1)^2 (l + 1)^2 < \infty.$$

This puts $Q \in D_2$.

$(z_1 - 1)^k (z_2 - 1)^k = Q(z_1, z_2)(2 - z_1 - z_2)$. But $(z_1 - 1)^k (z_2 - 1)^k$ is cyclic in D_1 , so there are $p_n \in \mathbb{C}[z_1, z_2]$ such that $fQp_n \rightarrow 1$ in D_1 . Since $Q \in D_1$, there are q_n such that $q_n \rightarrow Q$ in D_1 . Thus $\|f q_n p_n - 1\|_1 \rightarrow 0$.

Łojasiewicz's inequality

Let f be a nonzero real analytic function on an open set $U \subset \mathbb{R}^n$. Assume the zero set $\mathcal{Z}(f) = \{x \in U : f(x) = 0\}$ of f is nonempty. Let E be a compact subset of U . Then there are constants $C > 0$ and $q \in \mathbb{N}$, depending on E , such that

$$|f(x)| \geq C \cdot \text{dist}(x, \mathcal{Z}(f))^q, \quad x \in E.$$

Łojasiewicz's inequality

Let f be a nonzero real analytic function on an open set $U \subset \mathbb{R}^n$. Assume the zero set $\mathcal{Z}(f) = \{x \in U : f(x) = 0\}$ of f is nonempty. Let E be a compact subset of U . Then there are constants $C > 0$ and $q \in \mathbb{N}$, depending on E , such that

$$|f(x)| \geq C \cdot \text{dist}(x, \mathcal{Z}(f))^q, \quad x \in E.$$

$f \in \mathbb{C}[z_1, z_2]$ has no zeroes in \mathbb{D}^2 and finitely many zeroes on \mathbb{T}^2 . Let $r(x_1, x_2) = |f(e^{ix_1}, e^{ix_2})|^2$. Set $E = [0, 2\pi]^2$. By Łojasiewicz's inequality there is $C > 0$ and q so that

$$r(x) \geq C \text{dist}(x, \mathcal{Z}(r))^q$$

for $x \in E$.

Łojasiewicz's inequality

Let f be a nonzero real analytic function on an open set $U \subset \mathbb{R}^n$. Assume the zero set $\mathcal{Z}(f) = \{x \in U : f(x) = 0\}$ of f is nonempty. Let E be a compact subset of U . Then there are constants $C > 0$ and $q \in \mathbb{N}$, depending on E , such that

$$|f(x)| \geq C \cdot \text{dist}(x, \mathcal{Z}(f))^q, \quad x \in E.$$

$f \in \mathbb{C}[z_1, z_2]$ has no zeroes in \mathbb{D}^2 and finitely many zeroes on \mathbb{T}^2 . Let $r(x_1, x_2) = |f(e^{ix_1}, e^{ix_2})|^2$. Set $E = [0, 2\pi]^2$. By Łojasiewicz's inequality there is $C > 0$ and q so that

$$r(x) \geq C \text{dist}(x, \mathcal{Z}(r))^q$$

for $x \in E$.

By assumption on f , $\mathcal{Z}(r) \cap E$ is finite and thus there is a constant $c > 0$ so that for $x \in E$

$$\text{dist}(x, \mathcal{Z}(r))^2 \geq c \prod_{y \in \mathcal{Z}(r) \cap E} |x - y|^2.$$

$$\text{But } |x - y|^2 = |x_1 - y_1|^2 + |x_2 - y_2|^2 \geq |e^{ix_1} - e^{iy_1}|^2 + |e^{ix_2} - e^{iy_2}|^2 \geq 2|(e^{ix_1} - e^{iy_1})(e^{ix_2} - e^{iy_2})|.$$

But $|x - y|^2 = |x_1 - y_1|^2 + |x_2 - y_2|^2 \geq |e^{ix_1} - e^{iy_1}|^2 + |e^{ix_2} - e^{iy_2}|^2 \geq 2|(e^{ix_1} - e^{iy_1})(e^{ix_2} - e^{iy_2})|$. Replacing $z = (z_1, z_2) = (e^{ix_1}, e^{ix_2})$ we see

$$\frac{\prod_{\zeta \in \mathcal{Z}(f) \cap \mathbb{T}^2} |(z_1 - \zeta_1)(z_2 - \zeta_2)|^{q/2}}{|f(z)|^2}$$

is bounded on $\mathbb{T}^2 \setminus \mathcal{Z}(f)$ where $\mathcal{Z}(f)$ denotes the zero set of f .

But $|x - y|^2 = |x_1 - y_1|^2 + |x_2 - y_2|^2 \geq |e^{ix_1} - e^{iy_1}|^2 + |e^{ix_2} - e^{iy_2}|^2 \geq 2|(e^{ix_1} - e^{iy_1})(e^{ix_2} - e^{iy_2})|$. Replacing $z = (z_1, z_2) = (e^{ix_1}, e^{ix_2})$ we see

$$\frac{\prod_{\zeta \in \mathcal{Z}(f) \cap \mathbb{T}^2} |(z_1 - \zeta_1)(z_2 - \zeta_2)|^{q/2}}{|f(z)|^2}$$

is bounded on $\mathbb{T}^2 \setminus \mathcal{Z}(f)$ where $\mathcal{Z}(f)$ denotes the zero set of f . If we increase the power in the numerator (say to $4q$) we get a function which is continuous on \mathbb{T}^2 . Thus, for $Q_0(z) = \prod_{\zeta \in \mathcal{Z}(f) \cap \mathbb{T}^2} (z_1 - \zeta_1)^q (z_2 - \zeta_2)^q$ we have that Q_0/f is bounded and continuous on \mathbb{T}^2 . For a large enough power N , Q_0^N/f is 2-times differentiable on \mathbb{T}^2 . Clearly $Q_0^N(z_1, z_2) = g(z_1)h(z_2)$ for some one variable polynomials g, h which only vanish on the circle.

But $|x - y|^2 = |x_1 - y_1|^2 + |x_2 - y_2|^2 \geq |e^{ix_1} - e^{iy_1}|^2 + |e^{ix_2} - e^{iy_2}|^2 \geq 2|(e^{ix_1} - e^{iy_1})(e^{ix_2} - e^{iy_2})|$. Replacing $z = (z_1, z_2) = (e^{ix_1}, e^{ix_2})$ we see

$$\frac{\prod_{\zeta \in \mathcal{Z}(f) \cap \mathbb{T}^2} |(z_1 - \zeta_1)(z_2 - \zeta_2)|^{q/2}}{|f(z)|^2}$$

is bounded on $\mathbb{T}^2 \setminus \mathcal{Z}(f)$ where $\mathcal{Z}(f)$ denotes the zero set of f . If we increase the power in the numerator (say to $4q$) we get a function which is continuous on \mathbb{T}^2 . Thus, for $Q_0(z) = \prod_{\zeta \in \mathcal{Z}(f) \cap \mathbb{T}^2} (z_1 - \zeta_1)^q (z_2 - \zeta_2)^q$ we have that Q_0/f is bounded and continuous on \mathbb{T}^2 . For a large enough power N , Q_0^N/f is 2-times differentiable on \mathbb{T}^2 . Clearly $Q_0^N(z_1, z_2) = g(z_1)h(z_2)$ for some one variable polynomials g, h which only vanish on the circle.

Thus there are

$$Q(z_1, z_2) = \frac{g(z_1)h(z_2)}{f(z_1, z_2)}$$

is 2-times continuously differentiable.

Theorem

Let $0 < \alpha \leq 1/2$. Then any polynomial that does not vanish in \mathbb{D}^2 is cyclic in D_α .

What may be assumed about polynomial f non-vanishing on \mathbb{D}^2 (Agler and McCarthy, Knese): assume that f has bidegree (n, m) ; put $\tilde{f}(z_1, z_2) = z_1^n z_2^m \overline{f(1/\bar{z}_1, 1/\bar{z}_2)}$.

- f does not divide \tilde{f} . Then f has finitely many zeros on \mathbb{T}^2 (zeros of f are common zeros of \tilde{f} and f) - by Bezout's theorem f and \tilde{f} have infinitely many zeroes iff they have a common factor;

What may be assumed about polynomial f non-vanishing on \mathbb{D}^2 (Agler and McCarthy, Knese): assume that f has bidegree (n, m) ; put $\tilde{f}(z_1, z_2) = z_1^n z_2^m \overline{f(1/\bar{z}_1, 1/\bar{z}_2)}$.

- f does not divide \tilde{f} . Then f has finitely many zeros on \mathbb{T}^2 (zeros of f are common zeros of \tilde{f} and f) - by Bezout's theorem f and \tilde{f} have infinitely many zeroes iff they have a common factor;
- f divides \tilde{f} . Then $f = \omega \tilde{f}$ for some $\omega \in \mathbb{T}$. In particular, $|f| = |\tilde{f}|$ on \mathbb{T}^2 . Thus, $\{f = 0\} \subset (\mathbb{D} \times (\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{D})) \cup \mathbb{T}^2 \cup ((\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{D}) \times \mathbb{D})$.

What may be assumed about polynomial f non-vanishing on \mathbb{D}^2 (Agler and McCarthy, Knese): assume that f has bidegree (n, m) ; put $\tilde{f}(z_1, z_2) = z_1^n z_2^m \overline{f(1/\bar{z}_1, 1/\bar{z}_2)}$.

- f does not divide \tilde{f} . Then f has finitely many zeros on \mathbb{T}^2 (zeros of f are common zeros of \tilde{f} and f) - by Bezout's theorem f and \tilde{f} have infinitely many zeroes iff they have a common factor;
- f divides \tilde{f} . Then $f = \omega \tilde{f}$ for some $\omega \in \mathbb{T}$. In particular, $|f| = |\tilde{f}|$ on \mathbb{T}^2 . Thus, $\{f = 0\} \subset (\mathbb{D} \times (\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{D})) \cup \mathbb{T}^2 \cup ((\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{D}) \times \mathbb{D})$.

Additionally, f posses the following determinantal representation:

$$f(z) = c \det(I_{n+m} - U \begin{pmatrix} z_1 I_n & 0 \\ 0 & z_2 I_m \end{pmatrix}),$$

where c is a constant and U is unitary.

Equivalent norm on D_α .

Put

$$\begin{aligned} |f|_\alpha^2 &= \int_{\mathbb{D}} |\partial_{z_1} f(z_1, 0)|^2 (1 - |z_1|^2)^{1-\alpha} dA(z_1) \\ &\quad + \int_{\mathbb{D}} |\partial_{z_1} f(0, z_2)|^2 (1 - |z_2|^2)^{1-\alpha} dA(z_2) \\ &\quad + \int_{\mathbb{D}^2} |\partial_{z_1} \partial_{z_2} f(z_1, z_2)|^2 (1 - |z_1|^2)^{1-\alpha} (1 - |z_2|^2)^{1-\alpha} dA(z_1) dA(z_2). \end{aligned}$$

Equivalent norm on D_α .

Put

$$\begin{aligned}
 |f|_\alpha^2 &= \int_{\mathbb{D}} |\partial_{z_1} f(z_1, 0)|^2 (1 - |z_1|^2)^{1-\alpha} dA(z_1) \\
 &\quad + \int_{\mathbb{D}} |\partial_{z_1} f(0, z_2)|^2 (1 - |z_2|^2)^{1-\alpha} dA(z_2) \\
 &\quad + \int_{\mathbb{D}^2} |\partial_{z_1} \partial_{z_2} f(z_1, z_2)|^2 (1 - |z_1|^2)^{1-\alpha} (1 - |z_2|^2)^{1-\alpha} dA(z_1) dA(z_2).
 \end{aligned}$$

Note that the above formula has sense for a function f holomorphic on $G \times \mathbb{D}$, where G is a domain in \mathbb{D} , $A(G) = A(\mathbb{D})$ and $0 \in G$.

If $g \in \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{D}^2)$, then $|g(0)| + |g|_\alpha$ is a norm equivalent to Dirichlet norm in D_α .

Let $f \in \mathbb{C}[z_1, z_2]$. We may assume that f is irreducible.

We know that $g : (z_1, z_2) \mapsto 1 - z_1 z_2$ is cyclic in \mathfrak{D}_α iff $\alpha \leq 1/2$. This means that there exists a sequence of polynomials $(p_n)_{n \geq 1}$ such that $|p_n g - 1|_\alpha = |p_n g|_\alpha \rightarrow 0$. Factorize a general two-variable polynomial f by fixing z_1 , thus obtaining

$$f(z_1, z_2) = H(z_1) \cdot (1 - h_1(z_1)z_2) \cdots (1 - h_N(z_1)z_2),$$

with H non-vanishing in \mathbb{D} (so we may forget about H) and $z_1 \in \mathbb{C} \setminus A$, where points of A are isolated. Losing no generality we may assume that $0 \notin A$.

So if we choose a simply connected set D such that $\bar{D} = \bar{\mathbb{D}}$ and $D \subset \mathbb{D} \setminus A$, then $h_j \in \mathcal{O}(D)$ and

$$|1 - h_1(z_1)z_2|_\alpha$$

has sense.

- Any $h_j \in \mathcal{O}(D)$ is of finite multiplicity (depending on the degree of f);

- Any $h_j \in \mathcal{O}(D)$ is of finite multiplicity (depending on the degree of f);
- if $\varphi \in \mathcal{O}(D, G)$ is of multiplicity less than K then $\int_D h \circ \phi |\phi'|^2 d\mathcal{L}^2 \leq K \int_G h d\mathcal{L}^2$ for $h \geq 0$;

- Any $h_j \in \mathcal{O}(D)$ is of finite multiplicity (depending on the degree of f);
- if $\varphi \in \mathcal{O}(D, G)$ is of multiplicity less than K then $\int_D h \circ \varphi |\varphi'|^2 d\mathcal{L}^2 \leq K \int_G h d\mathcal{L}^2$ for $h \geq 0$;
- $(1 - |z_1|) \leq C(1 - |h(z_1)|)$, $z_1 \in D$.

- Any $h_j \in \mathcal{O}(D)$ is of finite multiplicity (depending on the degree of f);
- if $\varphi \in \mathcal{O}(D, G)$ is of multiplicity less than K then $\int_D h \circ \phi |\phi'|^2 d\mathcal{L}^2 \leq K \int_G h d\mathcal{L}^2$ for $h \geq 0$;
- $(1 - |z_1|) \leq C(1 - |h(z_1)|)$, $z_1 \in D$.
- Let p_n be a polynomial such that $q_n(z) := (1 - z)p_n(z) \rightarrow 1$. Then $\|(1 - h(z_1)z_2)p_n(h(z_1)z_2)\|_\alpha \leq C\|(1 - z_1z_2)p_n(z_1z_2)\|_\alpha$;

- Any $h_j \in \mathcal{O}(D)$ is of finite multiplicity (depending on the degree of f);
- if $\varphi \in \mathcal{O}(D, G)$ is of multiplicity less than K then $\int_D h \circ \phi |\phi'|^2 d\mathcal{L}^2 \leq K \int_G h d\mathcal{L}^2$ for $h \geq 0$;
- $(1 - |z_1|) \leq C(1 - |h(z_1)|)$, $z_1 \in D$.
- Let p_n be a polynomial such that $q_n(z) := (1 - z)p_n(z) \rightarrow 1$. Then $|(1 - h(z_1)z_2)p_n(h(z_1)z_2)|_\alpha \leq C|(1 - z_1z_2)p_n(z_1z_2)|_\alpha$;
- We start by estimating the seminorm $|q_{\nu_1}(h_j z_2)q_\nu(h_i z_2)|_\alpha$, restricted to $D \times \mathbb{D}$. A computation shows that

$$\begin{aligned} & \partial_{z_1} \partial_{z_2} (q_{\nu_1}(h_j(z_1)z_2)q_\nu(h_i(z_1)z_2)) \\ &= h'_j(z_1)q_\nu(h_i(z_1)z_2)(q''_{\nu_1}(h_j(z_1)z_2)h_j(z_1)z_2 + q'_{\nu_1}(h_j(z_1)z_2)) \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

$$+ h'_j(z_1)q'_{\nu_1}(h_j(z_1)z_2)q'_\nu(h_i(z_1)z_2)h_i(z_1)z_2 \quad (3)$$

$$+ q'_{\nu_1}(h_j(z_1)z_2)h_j(z_1)q'_\nu(h_i(z_1)z_2)h'_i(z_1)z_2 \quad (4)$$

$$+ q_{\nu_1}(h_j(z_1)z_2)(q''_\nu(h_i(z_1)z_2)h_i(z_1)z_2 + q'_\nu(h_i(z_1)z_2))h'_i(z_1). \quad (5)$$

- Suppose $P(z_1, z_2) = z_2^n + A_1(z_1)z_2^{n-1} + \dots + A_n(z_1)$ is holomorphic in a domain $G \times \mathbb{C} \subset \mathbb{C}^2$. If $h \in \mathcal{O}(G')$ for a domain $G' \subset\subset G$ and $P(z_1, h(z_1)) = 1$, then

$$|h'(z_1)| \leq O\left(\frac{1}{|z_1 - w|^{1-\frac{1}{n}}}\right)$$

as $z_1 \rightarrow w \in \partial G'$.

- Suppose $P(z_1, z_2) = z_2^n + A_1(z_1)z_2^{n-1} + \dots + A_n(z_1)$ is holomorphic in a domain $G \times \mathbb{C} \subset \mathbb{C}^2$. If $h \in \mathcal{O}(G')$ for a domain $G' \subset\subset G$ and $P(z_1, h(z_1)) = 1$, then

$$|h'(z_1)| \leq O\left(\frac{1}{|z_1 - w|^{1-\frac{1}{n}}}\right)$$

as $z_1 \rightarrow w \in \partial G'$.

- Let $a < 1$ and $0 \leq \beta \leq 1$. Then there is a constant C depending only on a and β such that for any $g \in \text{Hol}(\mathbb{D})$,

$$\int_{\mathbb{D}} \left| \frac{g(z)}{(z-1)^a} \right|^2 (1-|z|^2)^{1-\beta} dA(z) \leq C \left(|g(0)|^2 + \int_{\mathbb{D}} |g'(z)|^2 (1-|z|^2)^{1-\beta} dA(z) \right). \quad (6)$$

- Applying the procedure N -times we find that $|1 - (1 - h_{i_1}(z)w) \dots (1 - h_{i_N}(z)w) p_{\nu_1}(h_{i_1}(z)w) \dots p_{\nu_N}(h_{i_N}(z)w)|_\alpha$ may be arbitrary small for any $\{i_1, \dots, i_N\}$.
- For ν_1, \dots, ν_N define a function $P = P_{\nu_1 \dots \nu_N}$ as

$$P_{\nu_1 \dots \nu_N}(z_1, z_2) := \frac{1}{N!} \sum_{\sigma \in \Sigma_N} p_{\nu_1}(h_{\sigma(1)}(z_1)z_2) \dots p_{\nu_N}(h_{\sigma(N)}(z_1)z_2),$$

where Σ_N is the group of all permutations of the set $\{1, \dots, N\}$. Then $(1 - (1 - h_1(z)w) \dots (1 - h_N(z)w)P(z, w)|_\alpha$ may be arbitrarily small. This shows that f is cyclic.

- Finally, we observe that P extends holomorphically to a neighborhood of $\overline{\mathbb{D}^2}$, and hence it can be approximated in multiplier norm by polynomials.

Theorem

Let f be an irreducible polynomial with no zeros in \mathbb{D}^2 .

- 1 If $\alpha \leq 1/2$, then f is cyclic in D_α .
- 2 If $1/2 < \alpha \leq 1$, then f is cyclic in D_α iff $\{f = 0\} \cap \mathbb{T}^2$ is finite or empty or f does depend only on one variable.
- 3 If $\alpha > 1$ then f is cyclic in D_α iff $\{f = 0\} \cap \mathbb{T}^2$ is empty.

The assumption about the irreducibility is harmless - any polynomial is a multiplier in D_α - for any $f \in D_\alpha$ and $p \in \mathbb{C}[z_1, z_2]$: $pf \in D_\alpha$. Thus $\|pf\|_\alpha \leq \|p\|_{M(D_\alpha)} \|f\|_\alpha$ for any $f \in D_\alpha$.