# Excluded permutation matrices and the Stanley–Wilf conjecture

Adam Marcus\*

Gábor Tardos<sup>†</sup>

November 2003

#### Abstract

This paper examines the extremal problem of how many 1 entries an  $n \times n$  0-1 matrix can have that avoids a certain fixed submatrix P. For any permutation matrix P we prove a linear bound, settling a conjecture of Zoltán Füredi and Péter Hajnal [8]. Due to the work of Martin Klazar [13], this also settles the conjectures of Stanley–Wilf on the number of n-permutations avoiding a fixed permutation and a related conjecture of Alon–Friedgut [1].

## 1 Introduction

This paper settles three related conjectures on avoiding smaller patterns. To state the conjectures we define the term "avoiding" in several contexts.

**Definition.** Let A and P be 0-1 matrices. We say that A contains the  $k \times l$  matrix  $P = (p_{ij})$  if there exists a  $k \times l$  submatrix  $B = (b_{ij})$  of A with  $b_{ij} = 1$  whenever  $p_{ij} = 1$ . Otherwise we say that A avoids P. Notice that we can delete rows and columns of A to obtain the submatrix B but we cannot permute the remaining rows and columns. If A contains P we identify the entries of the matrix A corresponding to the entries  $b_{ij}$  of B with  $p_{ij} = 1$  and say that these 1 entries of A represent P.

Let  $[n] = \{1, 2, ..., n\}$ . A permutation of [n] is called an n-permutation. We say that an n-permutation  $\sigma$  contains a k-permutation  $\pi$  if there exist integers  $1 \le x_1 < x_2 < ... < x_k \le n$  such that for  $1 \le i, j \le k$  we have

$$\sigma(x_i) < \sigma(x_j)$$
 if and only if  $\pi(i) < \pi(j)$ .

Otherwise we say that  $\sigma$  avoids  $\pi$ .

<sup>\*</sup>Visiting Researcher, Alfréd Rényi Institute, 1364 Budapest, Pf.127, Hungary, on leave from the Department of Mathematics (ACO), Georgia Institute of Technology, Atlanta, GA 30332-0160. Email: adam@math.gatech.edu. This research was made possible due to funding by the Fulbright Program in Hungary.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup>Alfréd Rényi Institute, 1364 Budapest, Pf.127, Hungary. Email: tardos@renyi.hu. Partially supported by the Hungarian National Research Fund OTKA T029255

The set of finite sequences (words) over [n] is denoted by  $[n]^*$ . A sequence  $a = a_1 a_2 \dots a_l \in [n]^*$  contains the sequence  $b = b_1 b_2 \dots b_k \in [m]^*$  if there exist indices  $1 \le x_1 < x_2 < \dots < x_k \le l$  such that for  $1 \le i, j \le k$  we have

$$a_{x_i} < a_{x_j}$$
 if and only if  $b_i < b_j$ .

Otherwise we say that a avoids b.

Note that these notions are intimately related. It is easy to see that the word  $\sigma(1)\sigma(2)\ldots\sigma(n)$  contains the word  $\pi(1)\pi(2)\ldots\pi(k)$  if and only if the n-permutation  $\sigma$  contains the k-permutation  $\pi$ , which happens if and only if the permutation matrix of  $\sigma$  contains the permutation matrix of  $\pi$ .

**Definition.** For a 0-1 matrix P let f(n, P) be the maximum number of 1 entries in an  $n \times n$  0-1 matrix avoiding P.

For a permutation  $\pi$  let  $S_n(\pi)$  be the number of n-permutations avoiding  $\pi$ . A sequence  $a_1a_2\ldots a_n$  is considered k-sparse if i < j,  $a_i = a_j$  implies  $j - i \ge k$ . For a sequence  $b \in [m]^*$  and  $k \ge m$  let  $l_k(b,n)$  be the maximum length of a k-sparse word in  $[n]^*$  avoiding b.

The main result of this paper is the following theorem proving the Füredi-Hajnal conjecture (originally posed in [8]).

**Theorem 1.** For all permutation matrices P we have f(n, P) = O(n).

By a result of Martin Klazar [13] (which we reproduce in Section 3) the above result proves the Stanley–Wilf conjecture (stated here as Corollary 2) and also the Alon–Friedgut conjecture (stated here as Corollary 3), which was originally posed in [1]. The Stanley–Wilf conjecture was formulated by Richard Stanley and Herbert Wilf around 1992 but it is hard to find an exact reference. An even earlier source is the PhD thesis of Julian West [16] of 1990 where he asks about the growth rate of  $S_n(\pi)$ . His question 3.4.3 is more specific; he asks if  $S_n(\pi)$  and  $S_n(\pi')$  are asymptotically equal for k-permutations  $\pi$  and  $\pi'$ . Miklós Bóna [4] showed that this conjecture was too strong, however, by finding 4-permutations  $\pi$  and  $\pi'$  with  $S_n(\pi)$  and  $S_n(\pi')$  displaying different growth rates. Nevertheless, it shows a direct interest in asymptotic enumerations of this kind.

Corollary 2. For all permutations  $\pi$  there exists a constant  $c = c_{\pi}$  such that  $S_n(\pi) \leq c^n$ .

Corollary 3. For a k-permutation  $\sigma$  and the word  $a = \sigma(1)\sigma(2)...\sigma(k)$  we have  $l_k(a,n) = O(n)$ .

Several special cases of the above conjectures have already been established. Bóna [5] proved the Stanley–Wilf conjecture for layered permutations  $\pi$ , that is, for permutations consisting of an arbitrary number of increasing blocks with each of elements of a block smaller than the elements of the previous block. Approximate versions of all conjectures were also established. Using another result of Klazar [10] on generalized Davenport-Schinzel sequences Alon and Friedgut

[1] showed approximate versions of their own conjecture and the Stanley–Wilf conjecture where the linear and exponential bounds were replaced by  $O(n\gamma(n))$  and  $2^{O(n\gamma(n))}$ , respectively, with an extremely slow growing function  $\gamma$  related to the inverse Ackermann function. In Section 2 we give a surprisingly simple and straightforward proof of Theorem 1. For the reader's convenience we reproduce Klazar's argument on how this result implies the Stanley-Wilf conjecture in Section 3. In Section 4 we discuss further consequences of our main theorem as well as related problems that are still open.

## 2 Proof of the Füredi-Hajnal conjecture

Theorem 1 is proved by establishing a linear recursion for f(n, P) in Lemma 7, that in turn is based on three rather simple lemmas. We partition the matrix into blocks. This idea appears in several related papers, e.g. in [13], but we use larger blocks than were previously considered.

Throughout these lemmas, we let P be a fixed  $k \times k$  permutation matrix and A be an  $n \times n$  matrix with f(n,P) one entries which avoids P. We assume  $k^2$  divides n. We define the blocks as  $S_{ij} = \{a_{i'j'} : i' \in [k^2i+1,k^2(i+1)], j' \in [k^2j+1,k^2(j+1)]\}$ . We let  $B = (b_{ij})$  be an  $(n/k^2) \times (n/k^2)$  0-1 matrix with  $b_{ij} = 0$  if all entries of  $S_{ij}$  are zero. We say that a block is wide (respectively tall) if it contains 1 entries in at least k columns (respectively rows).

#### Lemma 4. B avoids P.

*Proof.* Assume not and consider the k one entries of B representing P. Choose an arbitrary non-zero entry from the k corresponding blocks of A. They represent P, contradicting the fact that A avoids P.

**Lemma 5.** Consider the set (column) of blocks  $C_j = \{S_{ij} : i = 1 \dots \frac{n}{k^2}\}$ . The number of blocks in  $C_j$  that are wide is less than  $k\binom{k^2}{k}$ .

*Proof.* Assume not. By the pigeonhole principle, there exist k blocks in  $C_j$  that have a 1 in columns  $c_1 < c_2 < \ldots < c_k$ . Let  $S_{d_1j}, \ldots, S_{d_kj}$  be these blocks with  $1 \le d_1 < d_2 < \ldots < d_k \le n/k^2$ . For each non-zero entry  $p_{rs}$ , pick any non-zero entry in column  $c_s$  of  $S_{d_{r},j}$ . These entries of A represent P, a contradiction.  $\square$ 

**Lemma 6.** Consider the set (row) of blocks  $R_i = \{S_{ij} : j = 1 \dots \frac{n}{k^2}\}$ . The number of blocks in  $R_i$  that are tall is less than  $k\binom{k^2}{k}$ .

*Proof.* The same proof applies as for Lemma 5.

With these tools, the main lemma follows:

**Lemma 7.** For a  $k \times k$  permutation matrix P and n divisible by  $k^2$  we have

$$f(n,P) \le (k-1)^2 f(\frac{n}{k^2},P) + 2k^3 \binom{k^2}{k} n.$$

*Proof.* We consider three types of blocks:

- $X_1 = \{ \text{ blocks that are wide } \}.$  $|X_1| \leq \frac{n}{k^2} k {k^2 \choose k} \text{ by Lemma 5.}$
- $X_2 = \{ \text{ blocks that are tall } \}.$  $|X_2| \leq \frac{n}{k^2} k {k \choose k} \text{ by Lemma 6.}$
- $X_3 = \{$  nonempty blocks that are neither wide nor tall  $\}$ .  $|X_3| \le f(\frac{n}{k^2}, P)$  by Lemma 4.

This includes all of the nonempty blocks. We bound f(n, P), the number of ones in A by summing estimates of the number of ones in these three categories of blocks. Any block contains at most  $k^4$  one entries and a block of  $X_3$  contains at most  $(k-1)^2$  one entries.

$$f(n,P) \le k^4 |X_1| + k^4 |X_2| + (k-1)^2 |X_3|$$
  
$$\le 2k^3 \binom{k^2}{k} n + (k-1)^2 f\left(\frac{n}{k^2}, P\right)$$

Solving the above linear recursion gives the following Theorem and also Theorem 1. We did not optimize for the constant factor here.

**Theorem 8.** For a  $k \times k$  permutation matrix P we have

$$f(n,P) \le 2k^4 \binom{k^2}{k} n.$$

*Proof.* We proceed by induction on n. The base cases (when  $n \leq k^2$ ) are trivial. Now assume the hypothesis to be true for all  $n < n_0$  and consider the case  $n = n_0$ . We let n' be the largest integer less than or equal to n which is divisible by  $k^2$ . Then by Lemma 7, we have:

$$f(n,P) \leq f(n',P) + 2k^{2}n$$

$$\leq (k-1)^{2} f\left(\frac{n'}{k^{2}},P\right) + 2k^{3} {k^{2} \choose k} n' + 2k^{2}n$$

$$\leq (k-1)^{2} \left[ 2k^{4} {k^{2} \choose k} \frac{n'}{k^{2}} \right] + 2k^{3} {k^{2} \choose k} n' + 2k^{2}n$$

$$\leq 2k^{2} \left( (k-1)^{2} + k + 1 \right) {k^{2} \choose k} n$$

$$\leq 2k^{4} {k^{2} \choose k} n$$

where the last inequality is true for all  $k \geq 2$ .

## 3 Deduction of the Stanley-Wilf conjecture

For the reader's convenience (and to show the similarities of the two proofs) we sketch here Klazar's argument [13] that the Füredi-Hajnal conjecture implies the Stanley–Wilf conjecture.

**Definition.** For a 0-1 matrix P let  $T_n(P)$  be the set of  $n \times n$  matrices which avoid P.

As we noted in Section 1, a permutation  $\sigma$  avoids another permutation  $\pi$  if and only if the permutation matrix corresponding to  $\sigma$  avoids the permutation matrix corresponding to  $\pi$ . Thus if P is the permutation matrix of the permutation  $\pi$ , then  $T_n(P)$  contains the permutation matrices of all n-permutations avoiding  $\pi$ . In particular we have  $|T_n(p)| \geq S_n(\pi)$ .

Assuming the Füredi-Hajnal conjecture Klazar proves the following stronger statement, which in turn implies Corollary 2:

**Theorem 9.** For any permutation matrix P there exists a constant  $c = c_P$  such that  $|T_n(P)| \le c^n$ .

*Proof.* Using f(n, P) = O(n) the statement of the theorem follows from the following simple recursion:

$$|T_{2n}(P)| \le |T_n(P)| 15^{f(n,P)}.$$

To prove the recursion we map  $T_{2n}(P)$  to  $T_n(P)$  by partitioning any matrix  $A \in T_{2n}(P)$  into 2 by 2 blocks and replacing each all-zero block by a 0 entry and all other blocks by 1 entries. As we saw in Lemma 4 the resulting n by n matrix B avoids P. Any matrix  $B \in T_n(P)$  is the image of at most  $15^w$  matrices of  $T_{2n}(P)$  under this mapping where w is the number of 1 entries in B. Here w < f(n, P) so the recursion and the Theorem follow.

The reduction also provides a nice characterization in the theory of excluded matrices:

**Corollary 10.** Let P be a 0-1 matrix. We have  $\log(|T_n(P)|) = O(n)$  if and only if P has at most a single 1 entry in each row and column.

*Proof.* The matrices in the characterization are the submatrices of permutation matrices. For these matrices  $\log(|T_n(P)|) = O(n)$  follows from Theorem 9. For other matrices P,  $T_n(P)$  contains all of the  $n \times n$  permutation matrices (a total of n!), so  $\log(|T_n(P)|) = \Omega(n \log n)$ .

# 4 Generalizations and open problems

The problem of estimating the extremal function f(n, P) for 0-1 matrices P was considered first for some special patterns P in [3, 7]. Later [8] systematically treated all patterns P with at most four 1 entries and established the order of

magnitude of f(n, P) for almost all of them. For the missing few such patterns see the upcoming paper [15], where certain sets of avoided patterns are also considered. We restate the following question of [8] here:

**Problem 1.** (Füredi-Hajnal) What are the matrices P with f(n, P) = O(n)?

This problem seems to be much harder than the corresponding enumerative problem settled by Corollary 10. A characterization will probably be based on excluded submatrices. We therefore define P to be minimally nonlinear if f(n,P) is nonlinear but replacing any 1 entry in P with 0 yields a pattern P' with f(n,P)=O(n). (We could also exclude patterns containing an empty row or column.) As any 0-1 matrix with 3 one entries has a linear extremal function any pattern P containing four 1 entries with f(n,P) nonlinear is minimally nonlinear. These patterns are all known, but no other minimally nonlinear patterns are known. We pose the following problem:

**Problem 2.** Find minimally nonlinear patterns P with more than four 1 entries.

Consider bipartite graphs with a fixed bipartition and (separate) linear orders on both set of vertices. Notice that the ordered bipartite graph  $G_1$  contains another ordered bipartite graph  $G_2$  exactly if for their (bipartite) adjacency matrices  $M_1$  and  $M_2$   $M_1$  contains  $M_2$ . Thus one can interpret f(n,P) as the maximum number of edges of an ordered bipartite graph on n + n vertices which does not have a certain (ordered bipartite) subgraph. This interpretation makes the study of f(n, P) the ordered bipartite version of the Turán-type extremal graph theory. In this interpretation our theorem states that (ordered bipartite) matchings have linear extremal functions. One can also study general graphs on an ordered vertex set. In the unordered case the problem of finding the minimally nonlinear (bipartite) graphs is easy: they are the (even) cycles. The ordered problem seems to be far more complex. Several works of Klazar [9, 11, 12] consider the generalization to ordered hypergraphs. Among other results one can find far reaching hypergraph consequences of the Füredi-Hajnal conjecture there. For the sake of brevity, we do not state here these interesting enumerative and extremal hypergraph results. Peter Brass, Gyula Károlyi and Pavel Valtr [6] study the extremal theory of graphs with a cyclically ordered

All papers on the Stanley–Wilf conjecture mention the original and stronger form of the conjecture: Is it true that for any permutation  $\sigma$  the numbers  $(S_n(\sigma))^{1/n}$  tend to a finite limit  $c_\sigma$  as n goes to infinity? By the result of Richard Arratia [2] the two forms of the Stanley–Wilf conjecture are equivalent, so now we have that  $c_\sigma < \infty$  exists. Working out the bounds for a k-permutation  $\sigma$  in Theorem 8 one finds an explicit bound  $c_\sigma \leq 15^{2k^4\binom{k^2}{k}}$ . This doubly exponential bound is very far from the one conjectured by Richard Arratia [2].

**Problem 3.** (Arratia)  $c_{\sigma} \leq (k-1)^2$ .

Note, that  $c_{\sigma} = (k-1)^2$  for the identity k-permutation, as follows e.g. from Regev's asymptotic formula [14] for  $S_n(Id_k)$ . As observed by Bóna [4] in all

cases where  $c_{\sigma}$  is known exactly, it is known to be an integer, suggesting that  $c_{\sigma}$  may encode something combinatorial.

With respect to the Alon-Friedgut conjecture we can ask the following question:

**Problem 4.** What are the words  $a \in [k]^*$  with  $l_k(a, n) = O(n)$ ?

This problem turns out to be an interesting special case of Problem 1. For a word  $a = a_1 a_2 \dots a_l \in [k]^*$  we have  $l_k(a,n) = O(n)$  if and only if  $f(n,P_a) = O(n)$  for the  $k \times l$  0-1 matrix  $P = (p_{ij})$  where  $p_{ij} = 1$  exactly if  $a_j = i$ . This equivalence can be proven along the lines of [13]. Notice that  $f(n,P_a)$  is nonlinear for many words a, e.g., for a = 1212 or even for a = 1213, but it is linear for seemingly similar other words like a = 1312. One may try to prove linearity of  $f(n,P_a)$  for certain words a using techniques similar to those presented in Section 2, but Lemma 4 holds only for (submatrices of) permutation matrices.

## Acknowledgements

We thank Martin Klazar and Miklós Bóna for the great help they provided in writing this paper. Among other things Martin Klazar showed us how our result gives the characterization stated in Corollary 10 and Miklós Bóna showed us the first written reference to the growth rate of  $S_n(\pi)$  in [16].

### References

- [1] N. Alon and E. Friedgut, On the number of permutations avoiding a given pattern, J. Combin. Theory, Ser. A 89 (2000), 133–140.
- [2] R. Arratia, On the Stanley-Wilf conjecture for the number of permutations avoiding a given pattern, Electron. J. Combin. **6(1)** (1999), 1–4, http://www.combinatorics.org/Volume\_6/Abstracts/v6i1n1.html.
- [3] D. Bienstock and E. Győri, An extremal problem on sparse 0-1 matrices, SIAM J. Discrete Math 4 (1991), no. 1, 17–27.
- [4] M. Bóna, Exact and asymptotic enumeration of permutations with subsequence conditions, Ph.D. thesis, MIT, Cambridge, MA, 1997.
- [5] \_\_\_\_\_\_, The solution of a conjecture of Stanley and Wilf for all layered patterns, J. Combin. Theory Ser. A 85 (1999), 96–104.
- [6] P. Brass, G. Károlyi, and P. Valtr, A Turán-type extremal theory of convex geometric graphs, Discrete and Computational Geometry — The Goodman-Pollack Festschrift (B. Aronov, S. Basu, J. Pach, and M. Sharir, eds.), Springer Verlag, 2003, pp. 275–300.

- [7] Z. Füredi, The maximum number of unit distances in a convex n-gon, J. Combin. Theory Ser. A **55** (1992), no. 2, 316–320.
- [8] Z. Füredi and P. Hajnal, *Davenport-Schinzel theory of matrices*, Discrete Math. **103** (1992), 233–251.
- [9] M. Klazar, Extremal problems for ordered (hyper)graphs: applications of Davenport-Schinzel sequences, to appear.
- [10] \_\_\_\_\_\_, A general upper bound in extremal theory of sequences, Comment. Math. Univ. Carolin. **33** (1992), 737–746.
- [11] \_\_\_\_\_\_, Counting pattern-free set partitions I: A generalization of Stirling numbers of the second kind, Europ. J. Combin. 21 (2000), 367–378.
- [12] \_\_\_\_\_\_, Counting pattern-free set partitions II: Noncrossing and other hypergraphs, Electron. J. Combin. 33 (2000), 737–746.
- [13] \_\_\_\_\_\_, The Füredi-Hajnal conjecture implies the Stanley-Wilf conjecture, Formal Power Series and Algebraic Combinatorics (D. Krob, A. A. Mikhalev, and A. V. Mikhalev, eds.), Springer, Berlin, 2000, pp. 250–255.
- [14] A. Regev, Asymptotic values for degrees associated with strips of yound diagrams, Adv. Math. 41 (1981), 115–136.
- [15] G. Tardos, 0-1 matrices with several excluded submatrices, in preparation.
- [16] J. West, Permutations with restricted subsequences and stack-sortable permutations, Ph.D. thesis, MIT, Cambridge, MA, 1990.