

A matrix approach to Yang multiplication

Akihiro Munemasa
Tohoku University
(joint work with Pritta Etriana Putri)

July 10, 2017
5th Workshop on Real and Complex
Hadamard Matrices and Applications
Renyi Institute of Mathematics, Budapest

Sequence and real Hadamard matrices

Yang (1983,1983,1989) proved composition theorems for sequences.
(Preserved property: **complementarity**).

Sequence and real Hadamard matrices

Yang (1983,1983,1989) proved composition theorems for sequences.
(Preserved property: **complementarity**).

A quadruple (a, b, c, d) of **complementary** sequences of length n can be used to construct a **Hadamard matrix** of order $4n$, via the Goethals-Seidel array:

$$H = \begin{bmatrix} A & -BR & -CR & -DR \\ BR & A & -D^{\top}R & C^{\top}R \\ CR & D^{\top}R & A & -B^{\top}R \\ DR & -C^{\top}R & B^{\top}R & A \end{bmatrix}, \quad HH^{\top} = 4nI,$$

where

A, B, C, D = circulant matrix with first row a, b, c, d ,
 R = back diagonal permutation matrix.

Quadruple of complementary sequences

Kharaghani–Koukouvinos, Part V, Chapter 8 of CRC Handbook.

- 1 Base seq. $BS(m, n)$: length (m, m, n, n)
- 2 Near normal seq. $NN(n)$: a special case of $BS(n + 1, n)$
- 3 Nonperiodic complementary seq. $NCS(n)$: length (n, n, n, n)
- 4 Golay seq. $GCP(n)$: $(n, n, 0, 0)$

Quadruple of complementary sequences

Kharaghani–Koukouvinos, Part V, Chapter 8 of CRC Handbook.

- 1 Base seq. $BS(m, n)$: length (m, m, n, n)
- 2 Near normal seq. $NN(n)$: a special case of $BS(n + 1, n)$
- 3 Nonperiodic complementary seq. $NCS(n)$: length (n, n, n, n)
- 4 Golay seq. $GCP(n)$: $(n, n, 0, 0)$

For $\{0, \pm 1\}$ -sequences (ternary),

- 1 Normal seq. $NS(n)$: length $(n, n, n, 0)$, weight $2n$,
- 2 T-seq. $TS(n)$: length (n, n, n, n) , weight n

(with some disjointness conditions).

Work done by Craigen, Doković, Kotsireas, Seberry, . . .

From base sequences to 4 complementary sequences

Yang (1989), Theorem 4, states

$$\begin{aligned} BS(m+1, m) \neq \emptyset, BS(n+1, n) \neq \emptyset \\ \implies NCS((2m+1)(2n+1), 4) \neq \emptyset \\ (\implies \exists H(4(2m+1)(2n+1))). \end{aligned}$$

From base sequences to 4 complementary sequences

Yang (1989), Theorem 4, states

$$\begin{aligned}BS(m + 1, m) \neq \emptyset, BS(n + 1, n) \neq \emptyset \\ \implies NCS((2m + 1)(2n + 1), 4) \neq \emptyset \\ (\implies \exists H(4(2m + 1)(2n + 1))).\end{aligned}$$

Conjecture $BS(n + 1, n) \neq \emptyset$ for all n .

From base sequences to 4 complementary sequences

Yang (1989), Theorem 4, states

$$\begin{aligned} BS(m+1, m) \neq \emptyset, BS(n+1, n) \neq \emptyset \\ \implies NCS((2m+1)(2n+1), 4) \neq \emptyset \\ (\implies \exists H(4(2m+1)(2n+1))). \end{aligned}$$

Conjecture $BS(n+1, n) \neq \emptyset$ for all n .

In this talk: a matrix approach to prove this theorem.

From base sequences to 4 complementary sequences

Yang (1989), Theorem 4, states

$$\begin{aligned} BS(m+1, m) \neq \emptyset, BS(n+1, n) \neq \emptyset \\ \implies NCS((2m+1)(2n+1), 4) \neq \emptyset \\ (\implies \exists H(4(2m+1)(2n+1))). \end{aligned}$$

Conjecture $BS(n+1, n) \neq \emptyset$ for all n .

In this talk: a matrix approach to prove this theorem.

Is the proof difficult?

From base sequences to 4 complementary sequences

Yang (1989), Theorem 4, states

$$\begin{aligned}BS(m + 1, m) \neq \emptyset, BS(n + 1, n) \neq \emptyset \\ \implies NCS((2m + 1)(2n + 1), 4) \neq \emptyset \\ (\implies \exists H(4(2m + 1)(2n + 1))).\end{aligned}$$

Conjecture $BS(n + 1, n) \neq \emptyset$ for all n .

In this talk: a matrix approach to prove this theorem.

Is the proof difficult?

See the next page: only 9 lines.

$$\begin{aligned}
[Q, R] &= (\alpha_1, \beta_1, \alpha_2, \beta_2, \dots, \alpha_n, \beta_n, \alpha_{n+1}), \\
[S, T] &= (\gamma_1, \delta_1, \gamma_2, \delta_2, \dots, \gamma_n, \delta_n, \gamma_{n+1}),
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
\alpha_k &= \begin{pmatrix} Af_k^*/Cg_k^* \\ Bf_k^*/Dg_k^* \end{pmatrix}, & \beta_k &= \begin{pmatrix} -B^*e_k/Dh_k^* \\ Ae_k/-Ch_k^* \end{pmatrix}, \\
\gamma_k &= \begin{pmatrix} Ag_k^*/-Cf_k^* \\ Bg_k^*/-Df_k^* \end{pmatrix} & \text{and} & \delta_k = \begin{pmatrix} -Bh_k^*/-D^*e_k \\ Ah_k^*/C^*e_k \end{pmatrix}.
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. Obviously (Q, R, S, T) are four $(1, -1)$ -sequences of length $(2m + 1)(2n + 1)$. By letting $a = A(z^2)$, $b = B(z^2)$, $c = zC(z^2)$ and $d = zD(z^2)$, also $f = z^{-nM}F(z^{2M})$, $g = z^{-nM}G(z^{2M})$, $h = z^xH(z^{2M})$ and $e = z^yE(z^{2M})$, where $M = 2m + 1$, $x = (1 - n)M$ and $y = 2m + (1 - n)M$, in (L), and by observing that $Q = \mathbf{q}w$, $R = \mathbf{r}w$, $S = \mathbf{s}w$ and $T = \mathbf{t}w$, where $w = z^{nM}$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
|Q|^2 + |R|^2 + |S|^2 + |T|^2 &= |\mathbf{s}|^2 + |\mathbf{t}|^2 + |\mathbf{q}|^2 + |\mathbf{r}|^2 \\
&= (|A|^2 + |Bq|^2 + |C|^2 + |D|^2)(|E|^2 + |F|^2 + |G|^2 + |H|^2) \\
&= 4(2m + 1)(2n + 1) \quad \text{for any } z \text{ on } \mathbf{K}.
\end{aligned}$$

$$BS(m + 1, m) \times BS(n + 1, n) \rightarrow \\ NCS((2m + 1)(2n + 1), 4)$$

$$(a, b, c, d) \in BS(m + 1, m) \\ \subset \{\pm 1\}^{m+1} \times \{\pm 1\}^{m+1} \times \{\pm 1\}^m \times \{\pm 1\}^m, \\ (f, g, h, e) \in BS(n + 1, n) \\ \subset \{\pm 1\}^{n+1} \times \{\pm 1\}^{n+1} \times \{\pm 1\}^n \times \{\pm 1\}^n,$$



$$(q, r, s, t) \in NCS((2m + 1)(2n + 1), 4) \\ \subset (\{\pm 1\}^{(2m+1)(2n+1)})^4.$$

$$BS(m+1, m) \times BS(n+1, n) \rightarrow NCS((2m+1)(2n+1), 4)$$

$$(a, b, c, d) \in BS(m+1, m) \\ \subset \{\pm 1\}^{m+1} \times \{\pm 1\}^{m+1} \times \{\pm 1\}^m \times \{\pm 1\}^m,$$

$$(f, g, h, e) \in BS(n+1, n) \\ \subset \{\pm 1\}^{n+1} \times \{\pm 1\}^{n+1} \times \{\pm 1\}^n \times \{\pm 1\}^n,$$

$$(a', b', c', d') \in (\{\pm 1\}^{2m+1})^4, (f', g', h', e') \in (\{\pm 1\}^{2n+1})^4.$$

Our **matrix** approach:

$$(Q, R, S, T) \in (\{\pm 1\}^{(2n+1) \times (2m+1)})^4, \\ (q, r, s, t) \in NCS((2m+1)(2n+1), 4), \\ Q = f'^{*T} a' + g'^T c' - e'^T b'^* + h'^T d'.$$

Lagrange identity

Let \mathcal{R} be a commutative ring with involutive automorphism $*$. Let $a, b, c, d, f, g, h, e \in \mathcal{R}$. Set

$$\begin{aligned}q &= af^* + cg - b^*e + dh, \\r &= bf^* + dg^* + a^*e - ch^*, \\s &= ag^* - cf - bh - d^*e, \\t &= bg - df + ah^* + c^*e.\end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}qq^* + rr^* + ss^* + tt^* \\= (aa^* + bb^* + cc^* + dd^*)(ee^* + ff^* + gg^* + hh^*).\end{aligned}$$

Lagrange identity

Let \mathcal{R} be a commutative ring with involutive automorphism $*$. Let $a, b, c, d, f, g, h, e \in \mathcal{R}$. Set

$$\begin{aligned}q &= af^* + cg - b^*e + dh, \\r &= bf^* + dg^* + a^*e - ch^*, \\s &= ag^* - cf - bh - d^*e, \\t &= bg - df + ah^* + c^*e.\end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}qq^* + rr^* + ss^* + tt^* \\= (aa^* + bb^* + cc^* + dd^*)(ee^* + ff^* + gg^* + hh^*).\end{aligned}$$

We use this with

$$\mathcal{R} = \mathbb{Z}[x^{\pm 1}, y^{\pm 1}], \quad * : x \mapsto x^{-1}, \quad y \mapsto y^{-1}.$$

The Hall polynomial $f_a(x)$

Let $\mathbf{a} = (a_0, \dots, a_{n-1}) \in \mathbb{Z}^n$.

Define the *Hall polynomial* $f_a(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ of \mathbf{a} by

$$f_a(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} a_i x^i.$$

The Hall polynomial $f_a(x)$

Let $a = (a_0, \dots, a_{n-1}) \in \mathbb{Z}^n$.

Define the *Hall polynomial* $f_a(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ of a by

$$f_a(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} a_i x^i.$$

It is more convenient to use

$$\psi_a(x) = x^{1-n} f_a(x^2).$$

Example: $a = (a_0, a_1, a_2, a_3)$, $b = (b_0, b_1, b_2)$

$$f_a(x) = a_0 + a_1 x + a_2 x^2 + a_3 x^3,$$

$$\psi_a(x) = a_0 x^{-3} + a_1 x^{-1} + a_2 x^1 + a_3 x^3,$$

$$f_b(x) = b_0 + b_1 x + b_2 x^2,$$

$$\psi_b(x) = b_0 x^{-2} + b_1 x^0 + b_2 x^2.$$

Complementary sequences

Define

$$* : \mathbb{Z}[x^{\pm 1}] \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[x^{\pm 1}], \quad x \mapsto x^{-1}.$$

A k -tuple (a_1, \dots, a_k) of sequences with all entries in $\{\pm 1\}$ is said to be **complementary** if

$$\sum_{i=1}^k f_{a_i}(x) f_{a_i}^*(x) \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Complementary sequences

Define

$$* : \mathbb{Z}[x^{\pm 1}] \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[x^{\pm 1}], \quad x \mapsto x^{-1}.$$

A k -tuple (a_1, \dots, a_k) of sequences with all entries in $\{\pm 1\}$ is said to be **complementary** if

$$\sum_{i=1}^k f_{a_i}(x) f_{a_i}^*(x) \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

The **constant term** of the right-hand side is the sum of the lengths of a_1, \dots, a_k .

Complementary sequences

Define

$$* : \mathbb{Z}[x^{\pm 1}] \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[x^{\pm 1}], \quad x \mapsto x^{-1}.$$

A k -tuple (a_1, \dots, a_k) of sequences with all entries in $\{\pm 1\}$ is said to be **complementary** if

$$\sum_{i=1}^k f_{a_i}(x) f_{a_i}^*(x) \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

The **constant term** of the right-hand side is the sum of the lengths of a_1, \dots, a_k .

Example:

$$BS(m, n) : (a, b, c, d) \in \{\pm 1\}^m \times \{\pm 1\}^m \times \{\pm 1\}^n \times \{\pm 1\}^n,$$
$$NCS(n, 4) : (q, r, s, t) \in (\{\pm 1\}^n)^4.$$

Yang Multiplication Theorem (C.H. Yang, 1989)

Let

$$(a, b, c, d) \in BS(m + 1, m), \quad (f, g, h, e) \in BS(n + 1, n).$$

Then $\exists(q, r, s, t) \in NCS((2m + 1)(2n + 1))$.

Yang Multiplication Theorem (C.H. Yang, 1989)

Let

$$(a, b, c, d) \in BS(m+1, m), \quad (f, g, h, e) \in BS(n+1, n).$$

Then $\exists (q, r, s, t) \in NCS((2m+1)(2n+1))$.

Yang's approach:

$$\begin{aligned} f_q(x) &= f_a(x^2) f_{f^*}(x^{2(2m+1)}) + x f_c(x^2) f_g(x^{2(2m+1)}) \\ &\quad - x^{2m+1} f_{b^*}(x^2) f_e(x^{2(2m+1)}) \\ &\quad + x^{2m+2} f_d(x^2) f_h(x^{2(2m+1)}). \end{aligned}$$

Yang Multiplication Theorem (C.H. Yang, 1989)

Let

$$(a, b, c, d) \in BS(m+1, m), \quad (f, g, h, e) \in BS(n+1, n).$$

Then $\exists(q, r, s, t) \in NCS((2m+1)(2n+1))$.

Yang's approach:

$$\begin{aligned} f_q(x) &= f_a(x^2) f_{f^*}(x^{2(2m+1)}) + x f_c(x^2) f_g(x^{2(2m+1)}) \\ &\quad - x^{2m+1} f_{b^*}(x^2) f_e(x^{2(2m+1)}) \\ &\quad + x^{2m+2} f_d(x^2) f_h(x^{2(2m+1)}). \end{aligned}$$

Our **matrix** approach:

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_Q(x, \mathbf{y}) &= \psi_a(x) \psi_f^*(\mathbf{y}) + \psi_c(x) \psi_g(\mathbf{y}) \\ &\quad - \psi_b^*(x) \psi_e(\mathbf{y}) + \psi_d(x) \psi_h(\mathbf{y}). \end{aligned}$$

$\psi_Q(x, y)$ for an $n \times m$ matrix Q

Let q_0, \dots, q_{n-1} denote the row vector of Q :

$$Q = \begin{bmatrix} q_0 \\ q_1 \\ \vdots \\ q_{n-1} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Define

$$\psi_Q(x, y) = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} y^{2i+1-n} \psi_{q_i}(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x^{\pm 1}, y^{\pm 1}].$$

$\psi_Q(x, y)$ for an $n \times m$ matrix Q

Let q_0, \dots, q_{n-1} denote the row vector of Q :

$$Q = \begin{bmatrix} q_0 \\ q_1 \\ \vdots \\ q_{n-1} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Define

$$\psi_Q(x, y) = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} y^{2i+1-n} \psi_{q_i}(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x^{\pm 1}, y^{\pm 1}].$$

Example: Let $Q = (q_{ij})$ be a 3×4 matrix. Then $\psi_Q(x, y)$ is

$$\sum \text{ of } \begin{bmatrix} q_{00} x^{-3} y^{-2} & q_{01} x^{-1} y^{-2} & q_{02} x^1 y^{-2} & q_{03} x^3 y^{-2} \\ q_{10} x^{-3} y^0 & q_{11} x^{-1} y^0 & q_{12} x^1 y^0 & q_{13} x^3 y^0 \\ q_{20} x^{-3} y^2 & q_{21} x^{-1} y^2 & q_{22} x^1 y^2 & q_{23} x^3 y^2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

$\psi_Q(x, y)$ for an $n \times m$ matrix Q

Let q_0, \dots, q_{n-1} denote the row vector of Q :

$$Q = \begin{bmatrix} q_0 \\ q_1 \\ \vdots \\ q_{n-1} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Define

$$\psi_Q(x, y) = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} y^{2i+1-n} \psi_{q_i}(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x^{\pm 1}, y^{\pm 1}].$$

Example: Let $Q = (q_{ij})$ be a 3×4 matrix. Then $\psi_Q(x, y)$ is

$$\sum \text{ of } \begin{bmatrix} q_{00}x^{-3}y^{-2} & q_{01}x^{-1}y^{-2} & q_{02}x^1y^{-2} & q_{03}x^3y^{-2} \\ q_{10}x^{-3}y^0 & q_{11}x^{-1}y^0 & q_{12}x^1y^0 & q_{13}x^3y^0 \\ q_{20}x^{-3}y^2 & q_{21}x^{-1}y^2 & q_{22}x^1y^2 & q_{23}x^3y^2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

$\psi_Q(x, y)$ for an $n \times m$ matrix Q

Let q_0, \dots, q_{n-1} denote the row vector of Q :

$$Q = \begin{bmatrix} q_0 \\ q_1 \\ \vdots \\ q_{n-1} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Define

$$\psi_Q(x, y) = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} y^{2i+1-n} \psi_{q_i}(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x^{\pm 1}, y^{\pm 1}].$$

Example: Let $Q = (q_{ij})$ be a 3×4 matrix. Then $\psi_Q(x, y)$ is

$$\sum \text{ of } \begin{bmatrix} q_{00}x^{-3}y^{-2} & q_{01}x^{-1}y^{-2} & q_{02}x^1y^{-2} & q_{03}x^3y^{-2} \\ q_{10}x^{-3}y^0 & q_{11}x^{-1}y^0 & q_{12}x^1y^0 & q_{13}x^3y^0 \\ q_{20}x^{-3}y^2 & q_{21}x^{-1}y^2 & q_{22}x^1y^2 & q_{23}x^3y^2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

$\psi_Q(x, y)$ for an $n \times m$ matrix Q

Let q_0, \dots, q_{n-1} denote the row vector of Q :

$$Q = \begin{bmatrix} q_0 \\ q_1 \\ \vdots \\ q_{n-1} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Define

$$\psi_Q(x, y) = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} y^{2i+1-n} \psi_{q_i}(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x^{\pm 1}, y^{\pm 1}].$$

Example: Let $Q = (q_{ij})$ be a 3×4 matrix. Then $\psi_Q(x, y)$ is

$$\sum \text{ of } \begin{bmatrix} q_{00}x^{-3}y^{-2} & q_{01}x^{-1}y^{-2} & q_{02}x^1y^{-2} & q_{03}x^3y^{-2} \\ q_{10}x^{-3}y^0 & q_{11}x^{-1}y^0 & q_{12}x^1y^0 & q_{13}x^3y^0 \\ q_{20}x^{-3}y^2 & q_{21}x^{-1}y^2 & q_{22}x^1y^2 & q_{23}x^3y^2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

$\psi_Q(x, y)$ for an $n \times m$ matrix Q

Let q_0, \dots, q_{n-1} denote the row vector of Q :

$$Q = \begin{bmatrix} q_0 \\ q_1 \\ \vdots \\ q_{n-1} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Define

$$\psi_Q(x, y) = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} y^{2i+1-n} \psi_{q_i}(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x^{\pm 1}, y^{\pm 1}].$$

Example: Let $Q = (q_{ij})$ be a 3×4 matrix. Then $\psi_Q(x, y)$ is

$$\sum \text{ of } \begin{bmatrix} q_{00}x^{-3}y^{-2} & q_{01}x^{-1}y^{-2} & q_{02}x^1y^{-2} & q_{03}x^3y^{-2} \\ q_{10}x^{-3}y^0 & q_{11}x^{-1}y^0 & q_{12}x^1y^0 & q_{13}x^3y^0 \\ q_{20}x^{-3}y^2 & q_{21}x^{-1}y^2 & q_{22}x^1y^2 & q_{23}x^3y^2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

$\psi_Q(x, y)$ for an $n \times m$ matrix Q

Let q_0, \dots, q_{n-1} denote the row vector of Q :

$$Q = \begin{bmatrix} q_0 \\ q_1 \\ \vdots \\ q_{n-1} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Define

$$\psi_Q(x, y) = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} y^{2i+1-n} \psi_{q_i}(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x^{\pm 1}, y^{\pm 1}].$$

Example: Let $Q = (q_{ij})$ be a 3×4 matrix. Then $\psi_Q(x, y)$ is

$$\sum \text{ of } \begin{bmatrix} q_{00}x^{-3}y^{-2} & q_{01}x^{-1}y^{-2} & q_{02}x^1y^{-2} & q_{03}x^3y^{-2} \\ q_{10}x^{-3}y^0 & q_{11}x^{-1}y^0 & q_{12}x^1y^0 & q_{13}x^3y^0 \\ q_{20}x^{-3}y^2 & q_{21}x^{-1}y^2 & q_{22}x^1y^2 & q_{23}x^3y^2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

$\psi_Q(x, y)$ for an $n \times m$ matrix Q

Let q_0, \dots, q_{n-1} denote the row vector of Q :

$$Q = \begin{bmatrix} q_0 \\ q_1 \\ \vdots \\ q_{n-1} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Define

$$\psi_Q(x, y) = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} y^{2i+1-n} \psi_{q_i}(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x^{\pm 1}, y^{\pm 1}].$$

Example: Let $Q = (q_{ij})$ be a 3×4 matrix. Then $\psi_Q(x, y)$ is

$$\sum \text{ of } \begin{bmatrix} q_{00}x^{-3}y^{-2} & q_{01}x^{-1}y^{-2} & q_{02}x^1y^{-2} & q_{03}x^3y^{-2} \\ q_{10}x^{-3}y^0 & q_{11}x^{-1}y^0 & q_{12}x^1y^0 & q_{13}x^3y^0 \\ q_{20}x^{-3}y^2 & q_{21}x^{-1}y^2 & q_{22}x^1y^2 & q_{23}x^3y^2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

$\psi_Q(x, y)$ for an $n \times m$ matrix Q

Let q_0, \dots, q_{n-1} denote the row vector of Q :

$$Q = \begin{bmatrix} q_0 \\ q_1 \\ \vdots \\ q_{n-1} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Define

$$\psi_Q(x, y) = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} y^{2i+1-n} \psi_{q_i}(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x^{\pm 1}, y^{\pm 1}].$$

Example: Let $Q = (q_{ij})$ be a 3×4 matrix. Then $\psi_Q(x, y)$ is

$$\sum \text{ of } \begin{bmatrix} q_{00}x^{-3}y^{-2} & q_{01}x^{-1}y^{-2} & q_{02}x^1y^{-2} & q_{03}x^3y^{-2} \\ q_{10}x^{-3}y^0 & q_{11}x^{-1}y^0 & q_{12}x^1y^0 & q_{13}x^3y^0 \\ q_{20}x^{-3}y^2 & q_{21}x^{-1}y^2 & q_{22}x^1y^2 & q_{23}x^3y^2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

$\psi_a(x)$ and $\psi_Q(x, y)$

Lemma

For sequences a, b regarded as row vectors,

$$\psi_{b^\top a}(x, y) = \psi_a(x)\psi_b(y).$$

$\psi_a(x)$ and $\psi_Q(x, y)$

Lemma

For sequences a, b regarded as row vectors,

$$\psi_{b^\top a}(x, y) = \psi_a(x)\psi_b(y).$$

For a matrix Q , denote by $\text{seq}(Q)$ the sequence obtained by concatenating the rows of Q .

Lemma

If Q has m columns, then

$$\psi_{\text{seq}(Q)}(x) = \psi_Q(x, x^m).$$

Our approach

Recall that our **matrix** approach was:

$$\begin{aligned}\psi_Q(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) &= \psi_a(\mathbf{x})\psi_f^*(\mathbf{y}) + \psi_c(\mathbf{x})\psi_g(\mathbf{y}) \\ &\quad - \psi_b^*(\mathbf{x})\psi_e(\mathbf{y}) + \psi_d(\mathbf{x})\psi_h(\mathbf{y}).\end{aligned}$$

This is achieved by defining

$$Q = \mathbf{f}^{*\top} \mathbf{a} + \mathbf{g}^\top \mathbf{c} - \mathbf{e}^\top \mathbf{b}^* + \mathbf{h}^\top \mathbf{d},$$

where \mathbf{f}^* denotes the reverse of \mathbf{f} . Note $\psi_f^*(\mathbf{y}) = \psi_{f^*}(\mathbf{y})$.

Our approach

Recall that our **matrix** approach was:

$$\begin{aligned}\psi_Q(x, y) &= \psi_a(x)\psi_f^*(y) + \psi_c(x)\psi_g(y) \\ &\quad - \psi_b^*(x)\psi_e(y) + \psi_d(x)\psi_h(y).\end{aligned}$$

This is achieved by defining

$$Q = f^{*\top} a + g^\top c - e^\top b^* + h^\top d,$$

where f^* denotes the reverse of f . Note $\psi_f^*(y) = \psi_{f^*}(y)$.

Our approach

Recall that our **matrix** approach was:

$$\begin{aligned}\psi_Q(x, y) &= \psi_a(x)\psi_f^*(y) + \psi_c(x)\psi_g(y) \\ &\quad - \psi_b^*(x)\psi_e(y) + \psi_d(x)\psi_h(y).\end{aligned}$$

This is achieved by defining

$$Q = f^{*\top}a + g^\top c - e^\top b^* + h^\top d,$$

where f^* denotes the reverse of f . Note $\psi_f^*(y) = \psi_{f^*}(y)$.

Our approach

Recall that our **matrix** approach was:

$$\begin{aligned}\psi_Q(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) &= \psi_a(\mathbf{x})\psi_f^*(\mathbf{y}) + \psi_c(\mathbf{x})\psi_g(\mathbf{y}) \\ &\quad - \psi_b^*(\mathbf{x})\psi_e(\mathbf{y}) + \psi_d(\mathbf{x})\psi_h(\mathbf{y}).\end{aligned}$$

This is achieved by defining

$$Q = \mathbf{f}^{*\top} \mathbf{a} + \mathbf{g}^\top \mathbf{c} - \mathbf{e}^\top \mathbf{b}^* + \mathbf{h}^\top \mathbf{d},$$

where \mathbf{f}^* denotes the reverse of \mathbf{f} . Note $\psi_f^*(\mathbf{y}) = \psi_{f^*}(\mathbf{y})$.

$$\begin{aligned}\psi_{\text{seq}(Q)}(\mathbf{x}) &= \psi_a(\mathbf{x})\psi_f^*(\mathbf{x}^m) + \psi_c(\mathbf{x})\psi_g(\mathbf{x}^m) \\ &\quad - \psi_b^*(\mathbf{x})\psi_e(\mathbf{x}^m) + \psi_d(\mathbf{x})\psi_h(\mathbf{x}^m).\end{aligned}$$

Lemma

$$f_a(x^2)f_a^*(x^2) = \psi_a(x)\psi_a^*(x).$$

Thus

Complementary sequences

Lemma

$$f_a(x^2)f_a^*(x^2) = \psi_a(x)\psi_a^*(x).$$

Thus

a_1, \dots, a_k : complementary

$$\iff \sum_{i=1}^k f_{a_i}(x)f_{a_i}^*(x) \in \mathbb{Z}$$

$$\iff \sum_{i=1}^k \psi_{a_i}(x)\psi_{a_i}^*(x) \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Recall the Lagrange identity

Let $a, b, c, d, f, g, h, e \in \mathbb{Z}[x^{\pm 1}, y^{\pm 1}]$. Set

$$\begin{aligned}q &= af^* + cg - b^*e + dh, \\r &= bf^* + dg^* + a^*e - ch^*, \\s &= ag^* - cf - bh - d^*e, \\t &= bg - df + ah^* + c^*e.\end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}qq^* + rr^* + ss^* + tt^* \\= (aa^* + bb^* + cc^* + dd^*)(ee^* + ff^* + gg^* + hh^*).\end{aligned}$$

The Lagrange identity (consequence)

Let $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{Z}^m$, $f, g, h, e \in \mathbb{Z}^n$,

$$Q = f^{*t}a + g^t c - e^t b^* + h^t d,$$

$$R = f^{*t}b + g^{*t}d - e^t a^* - h^{*t}c,$$

$$S = g^{*t}a - f^t c - h^t b + e^t d^*,$$

$$T = g^t b - f^t d - h^{*t}a + e^t c^*.$$

Then $Q, R, S, T \in \mathbb{Z}^{n \times m}$.

$$\begin{aligned} & (\psi_Q \psi_Q^* + \psi_R \psi_R^* + \psi_S \psi_S^* + \psi_T \psi_T^*)(x, \mathbf{y}) \\ &= (\psi_a \psi_a^* + \psi_b \psi_b^* + \psi_c \psi_c^* + \psi_d \psi_d^*)(x) \\ & \quad \times (\psi_e \psi_e^* + \psi_f \psi_f^* + \psi_g \psi_g^* + \psi_h \psi_h^*)(\mathbf{y}). \end{aligned}$$

The Lagrange identity (consequence)

Let $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{Z}^m$, $f, g, h, e \in \mathbb{Z}^n$,

$$Q = f^{*t}a + g^t c - e^t b^* + h^t d,$$

$$R = f^{*t}b + g^{*t}d - e^t a^* - h^{*t}c,$$

$$S = g^{*t}a - f^t c - h^t b + e^t d^*,$$

$$T = g^t b - f^t d - h^{*t}a + e^t c^*.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} & (\psi_{\text{seq}(Q)}\psi_{\text{seq}(Q)}^* + \psi_{\text{seq}(R)}\psi_{\text{seq}(R)}^* \\ & + \psi_{\text{seq}(S)}\psi_{\text{seq}(S)}^* + \psi_{\text{seq}(T)}\psi_{\text{seq}(T)}^*)(x, x^m) \\ & = (\psi_a\psi_a^* + \psi_b\psi_b^* + \psi_c\psi_c^* + \psi_d\psi_d^*)(x) \\ & \quad \times (\psi_e\psi_e^* + \psi_f\psi_f^* + \psi_g\psi_g^* + \psi_h\psi_h^*)(x^m). \end{aligned}$$

Interleaving

For $a = (a_0, \dots, a_{m-1})$, define

$$a/0 = (a_0, 0, a_1, 0, \dots, 0, a_{m-1}) \quad (\text{length } 2m - 1),$$

$$0/a = (0, a_0, 0, \dots, 0, a_{m-1}, 0) \quad (\text{length } 2m + 1).$$

Interleaving

For $a = (a_0, \dots, a_{m-1})$, define

$$a/0 = (a_0, 0, a_1, 0, \dots, 0, a_{m-1}) \quad (\text{length } 2m - 1),$$

$$0/a = (0, a_0, 0, \dots, 0, a_{m-1}, 0) \quad (\text{length } 2m + 1).$$

Lemma

$$\psi_{a/0}(x) = \psi_{0/a}(x) = \psi_a(x^2).$$

Theorem

Let $(a, b, c, d) \in BS(m + 1, m)$, $(f, g, h, e) \in BS(n + 1, n)$.
Then there exists $(q, r, s, t) \in NCS((2n + 1)(2m + 1))$.

Construction of the matrices Q, R, S, T

Let $(a, b, c, d) \in BS(m + 1, m)$, $(f, g, h, e) \in BS(n + 1, n)$.

Then

$$a, b \in \{\pm 1\}^{m+1}, c, d \in \{\pm 1\}^m, f, g \in \{\pm 1\}^{n+1}, h, e \in \{\pm 1\}^n.$$

Construction of the matrices Q, R, S, T

Let $(a, b, c, d) \in BS(m+1, m)$, $(f, g, h, e) \in BS(n+1, n)$.

Then

$$a, b \in \{\pm 1\}^{m+1}, c, d \in \{\pm 1\}^m, f, g \in \{\pm 1\}^{n+1}, h, e \in \{\pm 1\}^n.$$

Set

$$a' = a/0, b' = b/0, c' = 0/c, d' = 0/d \in \{0, \pm 1\}^{2m+1},$$
$$f' = f/0, g' = g/0, h' = 0/h, e' = 0/e \in \{0, \pm 1\}^{2n+1}.$$

Construction of the matrices Q, R, S, T

Let $(a, b, c, d) \in BS(m+1, m)$, $(f, g, h, e) \in BS(n+1, n)$.

Then

$$a, b \in \{\pm 1\}^{m+1}, c, d \in \{\pm 1\}^m, f, g \in \{\pm 1\}^{n+1}, h, e \in \{\pm 1\}^n.$$

Set

$$a' = a/0, b' = b/0, c' = 0/c, d' = 0/d \in \{0, \pm 1\}^{2m+1},$$
$$f' = f/0, g' = g/0, h' = 0/h, e' = 0/e \in \{0, \pm 1\}^{2n+1}.$$

Construction of the matrices Q, R, S, T

Let $(a, b, c, d) \in BS(m + 1, m)$, $(f, g, h, e) \in BS(n + 1, n)$.

Then

$$a, b \in \{\pm 1\}^{m+1}, c, d \in \{\pm 1\}^m, f, g \in \{\pm 1\}^{n+1}, h, e \in \{\pm 1\}^n.$$

Set

$$a' = a/0, b' = b/0, c' = 0/c, d' = 0/d \in \{0, \pm 1\}^{2m+1},$$
$$f' = f/0, g' = g/0, h' = 0/h, e' = 0/e \in \{0, \pm 1\}^{2n+1}.$$

Define $(2n + 1) \times (2m + 1)$ matrices with entries in $\{\pm 1\}$:

$$Q = f'^{*t}a' + g'^{t}c' - e'^{t}b'^{*} + h'^{t}d',$$

$$R = f'^{*t}b' + g'^{*t}d' - e'^{t}a'^{*} - h'^{*t}c',$$

$$S = g'^{*t}a' - f'^{t}c' - h'^{t}b' + e'^{t}d'^{*},$$

$$T = g'^{t}b' - f'^{t}d' - h'^{*t}a' + e'^{t}c'^{*}.$$

$(a, b, c, d), (f, g, h, e) \rightarrow (Q, R, S, T) \rightarrow$

Set $q = \text{seq}(Q)$, $r = \text{seq}(R)$, $s = \text{seq}(S)$, $t = \text{seq}(T)$. Then

$$(\psi_q \psi_q^* + \psi_r \psi_r^* + \psi_s \psi_s^* + \psi_t \psi_t^*)(x)$$

$(a, b, c, d), (f, g, h, e) \rightarrow (Q, R, S, T) \rightarrow$

Set $q = \text{seq}(Q)$, $r = \text{seq}(R)$, $s = \text{seq}(S)$, $t = \text{seq}(T)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} & (\psi_q \psi_q^* + \psi_r \psi_r^* + \psi_s \psi_s^* + \psi_t \psi_t^*)(x) \\ &= (\psi_Q \psi_Q^* + \psi_R \psi_R^* + \psi_S \psi_S^* + \psi_T \psi_T^*)(x, x^{2m+1}) \end{aligned}$$

$$(a, b, c, d), (f, g, h, e) \rightarrow (Q, R, S, T) \rightarrow$$

Set $q = \text{seq}(Q)$, $r = \text{seq}(R)$, $s = \text{seq}(S)$, $t = \text{seq}(T)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} & (\psi_q \psi_q^* + \psi_r \psi_r^* + \psi_s \psi_s^* + \psi_t \psi_t^*)(x) \\ &= (\psi_Q \psi_Q^* + \psi_R \psi_R^* + \psi_S \psi_S^* + \psi_T \psi_T^*)(x, \mathbf{x}^{2m+1}) \\ &= (\psi_{a'} \psi_{a'}^* + \psi_{b'} \psi_{b'}^* + \psi_{c'} \psi_{c'}^* + \psi_{d'} \psi_{d'}^*)(x) \\ & \quad \times (\psi_{e'} \psi_{e'}^* + \psi_{f'} \psi_{f'}^* + \psi_{g'} \psi_{g'}^* + \psi_{h'} \psi_{h'}^*)(\mathbf{x}^{2m+1}) \end{aligned}$$

$$(a, b, c, d), (f, g, h, e) \rightarrow (Q, R, S, T) \rightarrow$$

Set $q = \text{seq}(Q)$, $r = \text{seq}(R)$, $s = \text{seq}(S)$, $t = \text{seq}(T)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} & (\psi_q \psi_q^* + \psi_r \psi_r^* + \psi_s \psi_s^* + \psi_t \psi_t^*)(x) \\ &= (\psi_Q \psi_Q^* + \psi_R \psi_R^* + \psi_S \psi_S^* + \psi_T \psi_T^*)(x, x^{2m+1}) \\ &= (\psi_{a'} \psi_{a'}^* + \psi_{b'} \psi_{b'}^* + \psi_{c'} \psi_{c'}^* + \psi_{d'} \psi_{d'}^*)(x) \\ & \quad \times (\psi_{e'} \psi_{e'}^* + \psi_{f'} \psi_{f'}^* + \psi_{g'} \psi_{g'}^* + \psi_{h'} \psi_{h'}^*)(x^{2m+1}) \\ &= (\psi_a \psi_a^* + \psi_b \psi_b^* + \psi_c \psi_c^* + \psi_d \psi_d^*)(x^2) \\ & \quad \times (\psi_e \psi_e^* + \psi_f \psi_f^* + \psi_g \psi_g^* + \psi_h \psi_h^*)(x^{2(2m+1)}) \end{aligned}$$

$$(a, b, c, d), (f, g, h, e) \rightarrow (Q, R, S, T) \rightarrow$$

Set $q = \text{seq}(Q)$, $r = \text{seq}(R)$, $s = \text{seq}(S)$, $t = \text{seq}(T)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} & (\psi_q \psi_q^* + \psi_r \psi_r^* + \psi_s \psi_s^* + \psi_t \psi_t^*)(x) \\ &= (\psi_Q \psi_Q^* + \psi_R \psi_R^* + \psi_S \psi_S^* + \psi_T \psi_T^*)(x, x^{2m+1}) \\ &= (\psi_{a'} \psi_{a'}^* + \psi_{b'} \psi_{b'}^* + \psi_{c'} \psi_{c'}^* + \psi_{d'} \psi_{d'}^*)(x) \\ & \quad \times (\psi_{e'} \psi_{e'}^* + \psi_{f'} \psi_{f'}^* + \psi_{g'} \psi_{g'}^* + \psi_{h'} \psi_{h'}^*)(x^{2m+1}) \\ &= (\psi_a \psi_a^* + \psi_b \psi_b^* + \psi_c \psi_c^* + \psi_d \psi_d^*)(x^2) \\ & \quad \times (\psi_e \psi_e^* + \psi_f \psi_f^* + \psi_g \psi_g^* + \psi_h \psi_h^*)(x^{2(2m+1)}) \\ & \in \mathbb{Z}. \end{aligned}$$

$$(a, b, c, d), (f, g, h, e) \rightarrow (Q, R, S, T) \rightarrow$$

Set $q = \text{seq}(Q)$, $r = \text{seq}(R)$, $s = \text{seq}(S)$, $t = \text{seq}(T)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} & (\psi_q \psi_q^* + \psi_r \psi_r^* + \psi_s \psi_s^* + \psi_t \psi_t^*)(x) \\ &= (\psi_Q \psi_Q^* + \psi_R \psi_R^* + \psi_S \psi_S^* + \psi_T \psi_T^*)(x, x^{2m+1}) \\ &= (\psi_{a'} \psi_{a'}^* + \psi_{b'} \psi_{b'}^* + \psi_{c'} \psi_{c'}^* + \psi_{d'} \psi_{d'}^*)(x) \\ & \quad \times (\psi_{e'} \psi_{e'}^* + \psi_{f'} \psi_{f'}^* + \psi_{g'} \psi_{g'}^* + \psi_{h'} \psi_{h'}^*)(x^{2m+1}) \\ &= (\psi_a \psi_a^* + \psi_b \psi_b^* + \psi_c \psi_c^* + \psi_d \psi_d^*)(x^2) \\ & \quad \times (\psi_e \psi_e^* + \psi_f \psi_f^* + \psi_g \psi_g^* + \psi_h \psi_h^*)(x^{2(2m+1)}) \\ & \in \mathbb{Z}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $(q, r, s, t) \in \text{NCS}((2m+1)(2n+1))$. This proves Yang's theorem (see [arXiv:1705.05062](https://arxiv.org/abs/1705.05062) for details).

Another result of Yang (1983)

Theorem

Let $(a, b, c, d) \in BS(m, n)$. Suppose $f, g \in \{0, \pm 1\}^k$ and $e \in \{0, \pm 1\}^{k-1}$ satisfy

- 1 (e, f, g) is complementary with weight $2k + 1$,
- 2 $(0|f), (e|00) \in \{0, \pm 1\}^{k+1}$ are disjoint,
- 3 g and g^* have the same support.

Then $\exists (q, r, s, t) \in TS((2k + 1)(m + n))$.

Another result of Yang (1983)

Theorem

Let $(a, b, c, d) \in BS(m, n)$. Suppose $f, g \in \{0, \pm 1\}^k$ and $e \in \{0, \pm 1\}^{k-1}$ satisfy

- 1 (e, f, g) is complementary with weight $2k + 1$,
- 2 $(0|f), (e|00) \in \{0, \pm 1\}^{k+1}$ are disjoint,
- 3 g and g^* have the same support.

Then $\exists (q, r, s, t) \in TS((2k + 1)(m + n))$.

Remarks:

- 1 Yang (1983) shows this only for $k = 6$ with e, f, g given.
- 2 This is different from better known Yang multiplication (1989):
 $NS(k) \neq \emptyset, BS(m, n) \neq \emptyset \implies TS((2k + 1)(m + n))$.
- 3 Our proof is not as neat as the one presented here.

Another result of Yang (1983)

Theorem

Let $(a, b, c, d) \in BS(m, n)$. Suppose $f, g \in \{0, \pm 1\}^k$ and $e \in \{0, \pm 1\}^{k-1}$ satisfy

- 1 (e, f, g) is complementary with weight $2k + 1$,
- 2 $(0|f), (e|00) \in \{0, \pm 1\}^{k+1}$ are disjoint,
- 3 g and g^* have the same support.

Then $\exists (q, r, s, t) \in TS((2k + 1)(m + n))$.

Remarks:

- 1 Yang (1983) shows this only for $k = 6$ with e, f, g given.
- 2 This is different from better known Yang multiplication (1989):
 $NS(k) \neq \emptyset, BS(m, n) \neq \emptyset \implies TS((2k + 1)(m + n))$.
- 3 Our proof is not as neat as the one presented here. **The End.**