

Quasi-orthogonal cocyclic matrices

Dane Flannery
(with José Andrés Armario)

5th Workshop on Real and Complex Hadamard Matrices and Applications

If M is an $n \times n$ matrix with entries in $\{\omega \in \mathbb{C} \mid |\omega| \leq 1\}$ then $|\det(M)| \leq n^{n/2}$. (J. Hadamard.)

If M is an $n \times n$ matrix with entries in $\{\omega \in \mathbb{C} \mid |\omega| \leq 1\}$ then $|\det(M)| \leq n^{n/2}$. (J. Hadamard.)

Recall that if its entries are all powers of a primitive k th root of unity ζ_k , M attaining the bound is *Butson Hadamard*. Denote $\text{BH}(n, k)$, or $\text{H}(n)$ if $k = 2$.

If M is an $n \times n$ matrix with entries in $\{\omega \in \mathbb{C} \mid |\omega| \leq 1\}$ then $|\det(M)| \leq n^{n/2}$. (J. Hadamard.)

Recall that if its entries are all powers of a primitive k th root of unity ζ_k , M attaining the bound is *Butson Hadamard*. Denote $\text{BH}(n, k)$, or $\text{H}(n)$ if $k = 2$.

Lemma

An $n \times n$ $\langle \zeta_k \rangle$ -matrix H is a $\text{BH}(n, k) \iff$ the Gramian HH^* of H equals nI_n .

If M is an $n \times n$ matrix with entries in $\{\omega \in \mathbb{C} \mid |\omega| \leq 1\}$ then $|\det(M)| \leq n^{n/2}$. (J. Hadamard.)

Recall that if its entries are all powers of a primitive k th root of unity ζ_k , M attaining the bound is *Butson Hadamard*. Denote $\text{BH}(n, k)$, or $\text{H}(n)$ if $k = 2$.

Lemma

An $n \times n$ $\langle \zeta_k \rangle$ -matrix H is a $\text{BH}(n, k) \iff$ the Grammian HH^* of H equals nI_n .

$\text{BH}(n, k)$ are examples of *pairwise combinatorial designs*: matrices with entries in an ‘ambient’ ring satisfying a Grammian equation—the same constraint on every pair of distinct rows (often, every column pair too).

If M is an $n \times n$ matrix with entries in $\{\omega \in \mathbb{C} \mid |\omega| \leq 1\}$ then $|\det(M)| \leq n^{n/2}$. (J. Hadamard.)

Recall that if its entries are all powers of a primitive k th root of unity ζ_k , M attaining the bound is *Butson Hadamard*. Denote $\text{BH}(n, k)$, or $\text{H}(n)$ if $k = 2$.

Lemma

An $n \times n$ $\langle \zeta_k \rangle$ -matrix H is a $\text{BH}(n, k) \iff$ the Grammian HH^* of H equals nI_n .

$\text{BH}(n, k)$ are examples of *pairwise combinatorial designs*: matrices with entries in an ‘ambient’ ring satisfying a Grammian equation—the same constraint on every pair of distinct rows (often, every column pair too).

For $\text{BH}(n, k)$, distinct rows (resp., columns) are pairwise orthogonal.

Algebraic Design Theory has been successful in answering existence and classification questions for PCDs, especially those exhibiting *regular* group actions.

Algebraic Design Theory has been successful in answering existence and classification questions for PCDs, especially those exhibiting *regular* group actions.

(For $H(n)$, intersections with work by Craigen on signed permutation groups, and Ito on Hadamard groups.)

Algebraic Design Theory has been successful in answering existence and classification questions for PCDs, especially those exhibiting *regular* group actions.

(For $H(n)$, intersections with work by Craigen on signed permutation groups, and Ito on Hadamard groups.)

Simplest instance is *group development*: M is group-developed over a group G if G as a group of permutation matrix pairs acts regularly on M : fixes M , and the induced actions on row indices and column indices are both regular

Algebraic Design Theory has been successful in answering existence and classification questions for PCDs, especially those exhibiting *regular* group actions.

(For $H(n)$, intersections with work by Craigen on signed permutation groups, and Ito on Hadamard groups.)

Simplest instance is *group development*: M is group-developed over a group G if G as a group of permutation matrix pairs acts regularly on M : fixes M , and the induced actions on row indices and column indices are both regular $\iff M = [\phi(xy)]_{x,y \in G}$ up to row & column permutations for some map ϕ .

Algebraic Design Theory has been successful in answering existence and classification questions for PCDs, especially those exhibiting *regular* group actions.

(For $H(n)$, intersections with work by Craigen on signed permutation groups, and Ito on Hadamard groups.)

Simplest instance is *group development*: M is group-developed over a group G if G as a group of permutation matrix pairs acts regularly on M : fixes M , and the induced actions on row indices and column indices are both regular $\iff M = [\phi(xy)]_{x,y \in G}$ up to row & column permutations for some map ϕ .

Group development is a purely algebraic notion.

Algebraic Design Theory has been successful in answering existence and classification questions for PCDs, especially those exhibiting *regular* group actions.

(For $H(n)$, intersections with work by Craigen on signed permutation groups, and Ito on Hadamard groups.)

Simplest instance is *group development*: M is group-developed over a group G if G as a group of permutation matrix pairs acts regularly on M : fixes M , and the induced actions on row indices and column indices are both regular $\iff M = [\phi(xy)]_{x,y \in G}$ up to row & column permutations for some map ϕ .

Group development is a purely algebraic notion.

Combining with orthogonality adds further constraints on parameters of the design; e.g., if $H(n)$ is group-developed then n must be a square.

Cocyclic development of designs (de Launey and Horadam)

Cocyclic development of designs (de Launey and Horadam)

G, U groups, U abelian. $Z^2(G, U) :=$ all maps $\psi : G \times G \rightarrow U$ such that

$$\psi(x, y)\psi(xy, z) = \psi(x, yz)\psi(y, z) \quad \forall x, y, z \in G;$$

called *cocycles*. Assume ψ normalized, i.e., $\psi(1, 1) = 1$

Cocyclic development of designs (de Launey and Horadam)

G, U groups, U abelian. $Z^2(G, U) :=$ all maps $\psi : G \times G \rightarrow U$ such that

$$\psi(x, y)\psi(xy, z) = \psi(x, yz)\psi(y, z) \quad \forall x, y, z \in G;$$

called *cocycles*. Assume ψ normalized, i.e., $\psi(1, 1) = 1$, and display as a *cocyclic matrix* $M_\psi = [\psi(g, h)]_{g, h \in G}$.

Cocyclic development of designs (de Launey and Horadam)

G, U groups, U abelian. $Z^2(G, U) :=$ all maps $\psi : G \times G \rightarrow U$ such that

$$\psi(x, y)\psi(xy, z) = \psi(x, yz)\psi(y, z) \quad \forall x, y, z \in G;$$

called *cocycles*. Assume ψ normalized, i.e., $\psi(1, 1) = 1$, and display as a *cocyclic matrix* $M_\psi = [\psi(g, h)]_{g, h \in G}$.

Generalizes group development, where cocycles are *coboundaries*.

Cocyclic development of designs (de Launey and Horadam)

G, U groups, U abelian. $Z^2(G, U) :=$ all maps $\psi : G \times G \rightarrow U$ such that

$$\psi(x, y)\psi(xy, z) = \psi(x, yz)\psi(y, z) \quad \forall x, y, z \in G;$$

called *cocycles*. Assume ψ normalized, i.e., $\psi(1, 1) = 1$, and display as a *cocyclic matrix* $M_\psi = [\psi(g, h)]_{g, h \in G}$.

Generalizes group development, where cocycles are *coboundaries*.

Suppose that $|G|$ is divisible by 4. Say $\psi \in Z^2(G, \langle -1 \rangle)$ is *orthogonal* if M_ψ is Hadamard.

Cocyclic development of designs (de Launey and Horadam)

G, U groups, U abelian. $Z^2(G, U) :=$ all maps $\psi : G \times G \rightarrow U$ such that

$$\psi(x, y)\psi(xy, z) = \psi(x, yz)\psi(y, z) \quad \forall x, y, z \in G;$$

called *cocycles*. Assume ψ normalized, i.e., $\psi(1, 1) = 1$, and display as a *cocyclic matrix* $M_\psi = [\psi(g, h)]_{g, h \in G}$.

Generalizes group development, where cocycles are *coboundaries*.

Suppose that $|G|$ is divisible by 4. Say $\psi \in Z^2(G, \langle -1 \rangle)$ is *orthogonal* if M_ψ is Hadamard.

Lemma

ψ is orthogonal \Leftrightarrow no. $+1$ s = no. -1 s in every non-initial row of M_ψ .

Cocyclic development of designs (de Launey and Horadam)

G, U groups, U abelian. $Z^2(G, U) :=$ all maps $\psi : G \times G \rightarrow U$ such that

$$\psi(x, y)\psi(xy, z) = \psi(x, yz)\psi(y, z) \quad \forall x, y, z \in G;$$

called *cocycles*. Assume ψ normalized, i.e., $\psi(1, 1) = 1$, and display as a *cocyclic matrix* $M_\psi = [\psi(g, h)]_{g, h \in G}$.

Generalizes group development, where cocycles are *coboundaries*.

Suppose that $|G|$ is divisible by 4. Say $\psi \in Z^2(G, \langle -1 \rangle)$ is *orthogonal* if M_ψ is Hadamard.

Lemma

ψ is orthogonal \Leftrightarrow no. $+1$ s = no. -1 s in every non-initial row of M_ψ .

That is, the *row excess* $\text{RE}(M) := \sum_{i \geq 2} |\sum_{j \geq 1} m_{i,j}|$ of a cocyclic Hadamard matrix $M = [m_{i,j}]$ is optimal (least, i.e., zero).

Analogs of orthogonal cocycle for orders $\not\equiv 0 \pmod{4}$

Now suppose that $|G| = 4t + 2 > 2$. So G splits over normal subgroup of order $2t + 1$.

Analogs of orthogonal cocycle for orders $\not\equiv 0 \pmod{4}$

Now suppose that $|G| = 4t + 2 > 2$. So G splits over normal subgroup of order $2t + 1$.

Of course no $H(4t + 2)$ exist.

Analog of orthogonal cocycle for orders $\not\equiv 0$ modulo 4

Now suppose that $|G| = 4t + 2 > 2$. So G splits over normal subgroup of order $2t + 1$.

Of course no $H(4t + 2)$ exist.

Lemma

Let M be a cocyclic $\{\pm 1\}$ -matrix with indexing group G .

- (i) no. even rows of M is $4t + 2$ or $2t + 1$; so $\text{RE}(M) \geq 4t$.
- (ii) $\text{RE}(M) = 4t$ if and only if

$$\text{abs}(MM^T) = \begin{bmatrix} 4tI + 2J & 0 \\ 0 & 4tI + 2J \end{bmatrix}$$

up to row permutation ($\text{abs}([x_{ij}]) = [[x_{ij}]]$, J is all 1s matrix).

In analogy with definition (characterization) of orthogonal cocycle:

Definition

$\psi \in Z^2(G, \langle -1 \rangle)$ is *quasi-orthogonal* if $\text{RE}(M_\psi) = 4t$.

In analogy with definition (characterization) of orthogonal cocycle:

Definition

$\psi \in Z^2(G, \langle -1 \rangle)$ is *quasi-orthogonal* if $\text{RE}(M_\psi) = 4t$.

Lemma

ψ is *quasi-orthogonal* $\iff |\{g \in G \setminus \{1\} \mid \sum_{h \in G} \psi(g, h) = \pm 2\}| = 2t$
and $|\{g \in G \setminus \{1\} \mid \sum_{h \in G} \psi(g, h) = 0\}| = 2t + 1$.

Ehlich-Wojtas bound for $(4t + 2) \times (4t + 2)$ $\{\pm 1\}$ -matrices M :
 $|\det(M)| \leq 2(4t + 1)(4t)^{2t}$. For the bound to be attained, $4t + 1$ must be the sum of two squares.

Ehlich-Wojtas bound for $(4t + 2) \times (4t + 2)$ $\{\pm 1\}$ -matrices M :
 $|\det(M)| \leq 2(4t + 1)(4t)^{2t}$. For the bound to be attained, $4t + 1$ must be the sum of two squares.

Lemma

If M_ψ for $\psi \in Z^2(G, \langle -1 \rangle)$ attains the Ehlich-Wojtas bound then ψ is quasi-orthogonal.

Ehlich-Wojtas bound for $(4t + 2) \times (4t + 2)$ $\{\pm 1\}$ -matrices M :
 $|\det(M)| \leq 2(4t + 1)(4t)^{2t}$. For the bound to be attained, $4t + 1$ must be the sum of two squares.

Lemma

If M_ψ for $\psi \in Z^2(G, \langle -1 \rangle)$ attains the Ehlich-Wojtas bound then ψ is quasi-orthogonal.

Example. $\psi \in Z^2(\text{Sym}(3), \langle -1 \rangle)$ given by

$$M_\psi = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$$

is quasi-orthogonal. $\det(M_\psi) = 128$ does not attain the E-W bound.

Proving existence of cocyclic $H(n)$, i.e., existence of orthogonal cocycles, is hard.

Proving existence of cocyclic $H(n)$, i.e., existence of orthogonal cocycles, is hard.

Re. existence of quasi-orthogonal cocycles, note

Lemma

If $|G| = 4t + 2$ and $\psi \in Z^2(G, \langle -1 \rangle)$ is a coboundary then ψ is not quasi-orthogonal

Proving existence of cocyclic $H(n)$, i.e., existence of orthogonal cocycles, is hard.

Re. existence of quasi-orthogonal cocycles, note

Lemma

If $|G| = 4t + 2$ and $\psi \in Z^2(G, \langle -1 \rangle)$ is a coboundary then ψ is not quasi-orthogonal (every row in M_ψ is even).

Proving existence of cocyclic $H(n)$, i.e., existence of orthogonal cocycles, is hard.

Re. existence of quasi-orthogonal cocycles, note

Lemma

If $|G| = 4t + 2$ and $\psi \in Z^2(G, \langle -1 \rangle)$ is a coboundary then ψ is not quasi-orthogonal (every row in M_ψ is even).

But otherwise do quasi-orthogonal cocycles always exist? i.e., $\forall G$ of order $2 \cdot \text{odd}$.

Proving existence of cocyclic $H(n)$, i.e., existence of orthogonal cocycles, is hard.

Re. existence of quasi-orthogonal cocycles, note

Lemma

If $|G| = 4t + 2$ and $\psi \in Z^2(G, \langle -1 \rangle)$ is a coboundary then ψ is not quasi-orthogonal (every row in M_ψ is even).

But otherwise do quasi-orthogonal cocycles always exist? i.e., $\forall G$ of order $2 \cdot \text{odd}$.

With E. O'Brien, existence confirmed by computer $\forall G$ of order ≤ 42 .

Proving existence of cocyclic $H(n)$, i.e., existence of orthogonal cocycles, is hard.

Re. existence of quasi-orthogonal cocycles, note

Lemma

If $|G| = 4t + 2$ and $\psi \in Z^2(G, \langle -1 \rangle)$ is a coboundary then ψ is not quasi-orthogonal (every row in M_ψ is even).

But otherwise do quasi-orthogonal cocycles always exist? i.e., $\forall G$ of order $2 \cdot \text{odd}$.

With E. O'Brien, existence confirmed by computer $\forall G$ of order ≤ 42 . (Cf. $H(n)$): see Ito's powerful non-existence results, & classification for $n \leq 36$ by Ó Catháin and Röder for examples of G that do not index any cocyclic Hadamard matrix.)

Proving existence of cocyclic $H(n)$, i.e., existence of orthogonal cocycles, is hard.

Re. existence of quasi-orthogonal cocycles, note

Lemma

If $|G| = 4t + 2$ and $\psi \in Z^2(G, \langle -1 \rangle)$ is a coboundary then ψ is not quasi-orthogonal (every row in M_ψ is even).

But otherwise do quasi-orthogonal cocycles always exist? i.e., $\forall G$ of order 2.odd.

With E. O'Brien, existence confirmed by computer $\forall G$ of order ≤ 42 . (Cf. $H(n)$): see Ito's powerful non-existence results, & classification for $n \leq 36$ by Ó Catháin and Röder for examples of G that do not index any cocyclic Hadamard matrix.)

Also, haven't yet found G of an allowable order for which there are no quasi-orthogonal cocycles whose matrices attain the E-W bound.

For $\psi \in Z^2(G, U)$, E_ψ denotes the central extension $\{(g, u) \mid g \in G, u \in U\}$ of U by G with multiplication $(g, u)(h, v) = (gh, uv\psi(g, h))$.

For $\psi \in Z^2(G, U)$, E_ψ denotes the central extension $\{(g, u) \mid g \in G, u \in U\}$ of U by G with multiplication $(g, u)(h, v) = (gh, uv\psi(g, h))$.

Let $|G| = 4t$ and $\psi \in Z^2(G, \langle -1 \rangle)$ be orthogonal.

For $\psi \in Z^2(G, U)$, E_ψ denotes the central extension $\{(g, u) \mid g \in G, u \in U\}$ of U by G with multiplication $(g, u)(h, v) = (gh, uv\psi(g, h))$.

Let $|G| = 4t$ and $\psi \in Z^2(G, \langle -1 \rangle)$ be orthogonal.

The central extension E_ψ is then called a *Hadamard group* (Ito).

For $\psi \in Z^2(G, U)$, E_ψ denotes the central extension $\{(g, u) \mid g \in G, u \in U\}$ of U by G with multiplication $(g, u)(h, v) = (gh, uv\psi(g, h))$.

Let $|G| = 4t$ and $\psi \in Z^2(G, \langle -1 \rangle)$ be orthogonal.

The central extension E_ψ is then called a *Hadamard group* (Ito).

The Hadamard group acts regularly on

$$\mathcal{E}_{M_\psi} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \otimes M_\psi.$$

For $\psi \in Z^2(G, U)$, E_ψ denotes the central extension $\{(g, u) \mid g \in G, u \in U\}$ of U by G with multiplication $(g, u)(h, v) = (gh, uv\psi(g, h))$.

Let $|G| = 4t$ and $\psi \in Z^2(G, \langle -1 \rangle)$ be orthogonal.

The central extension E_ψ is then called a *Hadamard group* (Ito).

The Hadamard group acts regularly on

$$\mathcal{E}_{M_\psi} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \otimes M_\psi.$$

\mathcal{E}_{M_ψ} (really $\frac{1}{2}(\mathcal{E}_{M_\psi} + J_{8t})$) is an incidence matrix of a special block design.

Each block of this design has size $4t$, and each of its points is contained in exactly $4t$ blocks.

Each block of this design has size $4t$, and each of its points is contained in exactly $4t$ blocks.

The points partition into classes of size 2.

Each block of this design has size $4t$, and each of its points is contained in exactly $4t$ blocks.

The points partition into classes of size 2.

Two points in distinct classes are both in exactly $2t$ blocks.

Each block of this design has size $4t$, and each of its points is contained in exactly $4t$ blocks.

The points partition into classes of size 2.

Two points in distinct classes are both in exactly $2t$ blocks.

No block contains points in the same class.

Each block of this design has size $4t$, and each of its points is contained in exactly $4t$ blocks.

The points partition into classes of size 2.

Two points in distinct classes are both in exactly $2t$ blocks.

No block contains points in the same class.

As well as the points and blocks being permuted regularly by E_ψ (i.e., \mathcal{E}_{M_ψ} is group-developed over E_ψ), the central subgroup $\langle(1, -1)\rangle$ of E_ψ acts regularly on each point class.

Each block of this design has size $4t$, and each of its points is contained in exactly $4t$ blocks.

The points partition into classes of size 2.

Two points in distinct classes are both in exactly $2t$ blocks.

No block contains points in the same class.

As well as the points and blocks being permuted regularly by E_ψ (i.e., \mathcal{E}_{M_ψ} is group-developed over E_ψ), the central subgroup $\langle(1, -1)\rangle$ of E_ψ acts regularly on each point class.

In summary

Theorem

If ψ is orthogonal then \mathcal{E}_{M_ψ} is a divisible $(4t, 2, 4t, 2t)$ -design with E_ψ as a regular group of automorphisms, which is class regular wrt $\langle(1, -1)\rangle \subseteq E_\psi$.

Let E be a group of order vu with a subgroup U of order u . A k -set $R \subseteq E$ is a (v, u, k, λ) -relative difference set (wrt the forbidden subgroup U) if the multiset $\{r_1 r_2^{-1} \mid r_1, r_2 \in R, r_1 \neq r_2\}$ contains each element of $E \setminus U$ precisely λ times, and contains no element of U .

Let E be a group of order vu with a subgroup U of order u . A k -set $R \subseteq E$ is a (v, u, k, λ) -relative difference set (wrt the forbidden subgroup U) if the multiset $\{r_1 r_2^{-1} \mid r_1, r_2 \in R, r_1 \neq r_2\}$ contains each element of $E \setminus U$ precisely λ times, and contains no element of U .

In particular, when $k = v$, RDS is *a priori* a transversal of U in E .

Let E be a group of order vu with a subgroup U of order u . A k -set $R \subseteq E$ is a (v, u, k, λ) -relative difference set (wrt the forbidden subgroup U) if the multiset $\{r_1 r_2^{-1} \mid r_1, r_2 \in R, r_1 \neq r_2\}$ contains each element of $E \setminus U$ precisely λ times, and contains no element of U .

In particular, when $k = v$, RDS is *a priori* a transversal of U in E .

Obtain ordinary (v, k, λ) -difference set in E by taking $U = 1$.

Let E be a group of order vu with a subgroup U of order u . A k -set $R \subseteq E$ is a (v, u, k, λ) -relative difference set (wrt the forbidden subgroup U) if the multiset $\{r_1 r_2^{-1} \mid r_1, r_2 \in R, r_1 \neq r_2\}$ contains each element of $E \setminus U$ precisely λ times, and contains no element of U .

In particular, when $k = v$, RDS is *a priori* a transversal of U in E .

Obtain ordinary (v, k, λ) -difference set in E by taking $U = 1$.

Lemma

Let $|G| = 4t$; $\psi \in Z^2(G, \langle -1 \rangle)$ is orthogonal $\iff \{(g, 1) \mid g \in G\}$ is a $(4t, 2, 4t, 2t)$ -RDS in the Hadamard group E_ψ wrt $\langle (1, -1) \rangle$.

Let E be a group of order vu with a subgroup U of order u . A k -set $R \subseteq E$ is a (v, u, k, λ) -relative difference set (wrt the forbidden subgroup U) if the multiset $\{r_1 r_2^{-1} \mid r_1, r_2 \in R, r_1 \neq r_2\}$ contains each element of $E \setminus U$ precisely λ times, and contains no element of U .

In particular, when $k = v$, RDS is *a priori* a transversal of U in E .

Obtain ordinary (v, k, λ) -difference set in E by taking $U = 1$.

Lemma

Let $|G| = 4t$; $\psi \in Z^2(G, \langle -1 \rangle)$ is orthogonal $\iff \{(g, 1) \mid g \in G\}$ is a $(4t, 2, 4t, 2t)$ -RDS in the Hadamard group E_ψ wrt $\langle (1, -1) \rangle$.

So: orthogonal cocycle \equiv Hadamard group \equiv central RDS \equiv class regular divisible design.

Quasi-orthogonal cocycles are equivalent to analogs of Hadamard groups and CRDS, viz. *quasi-Hadamard groups* and *relative quasi-difference sets*.

Quasi-orthogonal cocycles are equivalent to analogs of Hadamard groups and CRDS, viz. *quasi-Hadamard groups* and *relative quasi-difference sets*.

The analog of [orthogonal cocycle \equiv class regular divisible design (with regular Hadamard group of automorphisms)] is more interesting.

Quasi-orthogonal cocycles are equivalent to analogs of Hadamard groups and CRDS, viz. *quasi-Hadamard groups* and *relative quasi-difference sets*.

The analog of [orthogonal cocycle \equiv class regular divisible design (with regular Hadamard group of automorphisms)] is more interesting.

Let X be a v -set and $R_0 = \{(x, x) \mid x \in X\}, R_1, \dots, R_m$ nonempty subsets of $X \times X$, called *associate classes*. Represent class R_i by an incidence matrix A_i . The R_i s comprise an *association scheme on X* if

- 1 $\sum_{i=0}^m A_i = J$
- 2 for all i , $A_i^\top = A_i$
- 3 for all i, j , $\exists p_{ij}^k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $A_i A_j = \sum_k p_{ij}^k A_k$.

A *partially balanced incomplete block design* $\text{PBIBD}(m)$ with parameters $v, b, r, k, \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m$ based on X has b blocks, all of size k , each $x \in X$ occurs in exactly r blocks, and if $(x, y) \in R_i$ then x, y occur together in exactly λ_i blocks.

A *partially balanced incomplete block design* $\text{PBIBD}(m)$ with parameters $v, b, r, k, \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m$ based on X has b blocks, all of size k , each $x \in X$ occurs in exactly r blocks, and if $(x, y) \in R_i$ then x, y occur together in exactly λ_i blocks.

Lemma

Let N be an incidence matrix of a $\text{PBIBD}(m)$ with parameters $v, b, r, k, \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m$ arising from an association scheme with associate matrices A_i . Then

$$NN^T = rI + \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i A_i \quad \text{and} \quad JN = kJ. \quad (*)$$

A *partially balanced incomplete block design* $\text{PBIBD}(m)$ with parameters $v, b, r, k, \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m$ based on X has b blocks, all of size k , each $x \in X$ occurs in exactly r blocks, and if $(x, y) \in R_i$ then x, y occur together in exactly λ_i blocks.

Lemma

Let N be an incidence matrix of a $\text{PBIBD}(m)$ with parameters $v, b, r, k, \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m$ arising from an association scheme with associate matrices A_i . Then

$$NN^T = rI + \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i A_i \quad \text{and} \quad JN = kJ. \quad (*)$$

Conversely, a $v \times b$ $(0, 1)$ -matrix N such that $(*)$ holds for associate matrices A_i of an association scheme is an incidence matrix of a $\text{PBIBD}(m)$ with parameters $v, b, r, k, \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m$.

Suppose that $|G| = 4t + 2$. Using the lemma we can prove

Theorem

If $\psi \in Z^2(G, \langle -1 \rangle)$ is quasi-orthogonal then \mathcal{E}_{M_ψ} is an incidence matrix of a PBIBD(4)

Suppose that $|G| = 4t + 2$. Using the lemma we can prove

Theorem

If $\psi \in Z^2(G, \langle -1 \rangle)$ is quasi-orthogonal then \mathcal{E}_{M_ψ} is an incidence matrix of a PBIBD(4) with parameters $v = b = 8t + 4$, $r = k = 4t + 2$, $\lambda_1 = 0$, $\lambda_2 = 2t + 1$, $\lambda_3 = 2t + 2$, and $\lambda_4 = 2t$.

Suppose that $|G| = 4t + 2$. Using the lemma we can prove

Theorem

If $\psi \in Z^2(G, \langle -1 \rangle)$ is quasi-orthogonal then \mathcal{E}_{M_ψ} is an incidence matrix of a PBIBD(4) with parameters $v = b = 8t + 4$, $r = k = 4t + 2$, $\lambda_1 = 0$, $\lambda_2 = 2t + 1$, $\lambda_3 = 2t + 2$, and $\lambda_4 = 2t$.

The established theory of quasi-orthogonal cocycles yields the converse.

Theorem

$Z^2(G, \langle -1 \rangle)$ has quasi-orthogonal elements \iff there is a PBIBD(4) with the above parameters, on which a quasi-Hadamard group E such that $E/\langle -1 \rangle \cong G$ acts regularly, and which is R_1 -class regular wrt $\langle -1 \rangle$.

Suppose that $|G| = 4t + 2$. Using the lemma we can prove

Theorem

If $\psi \in Z^2(G, \langle -1 \rangle)$ is quasi-orthogonal then \mathcal{E}_{M_ψ} is an incidence matrix of a PBIBD(4) with parameters $v = b = 8t + 4$, $r = k = 4t + 2$, $\lambda_1 = 0$, $\lambda_2 = 2t + 1$, $\lambda_3 = 2t + 2$, and $\lambda_4 = 2t$.

The established theory of quasi-orthogonal cocycles yields the converse.

Theorem

$Z^2(G, \langle -1 \rangle)$ has quasi-orthogonal elements \iff there is a PBIBD(4) with the above parameters, on which a quasi-Hadamard group E such that $E/\langle -1 \rangle \cong G$ acts regularly, and which is R_1 -class regular wrt $\langle -1 \rangle$.

So, quasi-orthogonal cocycles always exist \equiv these PBIBD(4)s always exist.

The above equivalence also implies transposability.

Theorem

If ψ is quasi-orthogonal then $M_\psi M_\psi^\top = M_\psi^\top M_\psi$.

The above equivalence also implies transposability.

Theorem

If ψ is quasi-orthogonal then $M_\psi M_\psi^\top = M_\psi^\top M_\psi$.

(Direct proof? Recall that ψ is quasi-orthogonal iff $\text{abs}(M_\psi M_\psi^\top) = I_2 \otimes (4tI + 2J)$.)

The above equivalence also implies transposability.

Theorem

If ψ is quasi-orthogonal then $M_\psi M_\psi^\top = M_\psi^\top M_\psi$.

(Direct proof? Recall that ψ is quasi-orthogonal iff $\text{abs}(M_\psi M_\psi^\top) = I_2 \otimes (4tI + 2J)$.)

Cf. any Hadamard matrix obviously commuting with its transpose.

The above equivalence also implies transposability.

Theorem

If ψ is quasi-orthogonal then $M_\psi M_\psi^\top = M_\psi^\top M_\psi$.

(Direct proof? Recall that ψ is quasi-orthogonal iff $\text{abs}(M_\psi M_\psi^\top) = I_2 \otimes (4tI + 2J)$.)

Cf. any Hadamard matrix obviously commuting with its transpose.

Also, if M is a $\{\pm 1\}$ -matrix whose determinant attains the Ehlich-Wojtas bound, then some Hadamard equivalent of M commutes with its transpose.